

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

# Descriptive analysis of viability of fuel saving in commercial aircraft through the application of photovoltaic cells

Gabriel Ramírez-Díaz<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Vicente Nadal-Mora<sup>1</sup> and Joaquín Piechocki<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Grupo de Ingeniería Aplicada a la Industria, UIDET GTA-GIAI, Departamento de Aeronáutica, Facultad de Ingeniería, Calle 116 entre 47 y 48, La Plata, Buenos Aires, 1900, Argentina.

<sup>2</sup> Fellowship holder Comisión de Investigaciones Científicas de la Provincia de Buenos Aires

---

\* Correspondence to: Grupo de Ingeniería Aplicada a la Industria, UIDET GTA-GIAI, Departamento de Aeronáutica, Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, Calle 116 entre 47 y 48, La Plata, Buenos Aires, 1900, Argentina. Tel.: +54 0 221 423 6679 int. 147  
*e-mail address:* [ramirezdiaz.gabriel@gmail.com](mailto:ramirezdiaz.gabriel@gmail.com) (Gabriel Ramirez-Diaz)

## ABSTRACT

This paper presents the analysis of the technical feasibility to use a photovoltaic system to supply the electrical demand on two referential commercial aircraft, Airbus A340-300 and Cessna Conquest 441. The methodology approach comprises a process given by the selection of the photovoltaic technology, the calculation of the available solar radiation, the determination of the electrical demand, the layout definition of solar cells, the photovoltaic system capacity calculation, the estimation of the photovoltaic system weight, the estimation of fuel savings for photovoltaic system equipped aircrafts, and finally, the extrapolation of results to other aircrafts. The study concludes that the use of photovoltaic technology to supply power to the aircraft electrical system can result viable from the point of view of operational profitability, generating savings in fuel consumption. These fuel savings depends on the type of aircraft, the flying route and schedules of operation.

**Keywords:** Photovoltaic Cells; Commercial Aircrafts; Solar Aircrafts; Electrical System; Air Transport; Fuel Saving.

## Contents

1.	Introduction	3
2.	Data and methodology	3
2.1.	Photovoltaic System Model	5
2.2.	Available Radiation/Analyzed Scenarios	6
2.3.	Electrical Power Generation	6
2.4.	Electrical Demand	7
2.5.	Fuel Consumption	8
2.6.	Application of PV Technology to Other Aircrafts	8
3.	Results	9
3.1.	Analysis for the Airbus A340-300	9
3.1.1.	Comparison with other large, medium and regional jets aircrafts	9
3.2.	Analysis for the Cessna Conquest 441	10
3.2.1.	Comparison with other business jets and general aviation aircrafts	11
4.	Summary and conclusions	11
	Acknowledgments	12
	References	12

## Nomenclature

$AWP$	=	average Weight Passenger, kg
$E_B$	=	battery energy storage capacity, W h
$E_{pc_o}$	=	percentage of engine power in cruise condition of aircraft without PV system, %
$E_{pc_{pv}}$	=	percentage of engine power in cruise condition of aircraft with PV system, %
$E_S$	=	fuel consumed to supply power to electrical system, kg
$E_{TD}$	=	total energy demand for the design configuration, W h
$EW_o$	=	empty weight of aircraft without PV system, kg
$EW_{pv}$	=	empty weight of aircraft with PV system, kg
$f_D$	=	battery depth discharge factor, %
$f_{SL}$	=	system loss factor, dimensionless
$FC_{OAL}$	=	fuel consumed from start of the approximation until end of mission by the aircraft without PV system, kg
$FC_{OCL}$	=	fuel consumed at climb phase by the aircraft without PV system, kg
$FC_{O_{\Delta i+1}}$	=	fuel consumed from the apron until start cruise by the aircraft without PV system, kg
$FC_{O_{\Delta i}}$	=	fuel consumed in the stage $i$ of the cruise phase by the aircraft without PV system, kg
$FC_{O_{\Delta i+1}}$	=	fuel consumed from start approach until park on apron by the aircraft without PV system, kg
$FC_{OTO}$	=	fuel consumed at takeoff phase by the aircraft without PV system, kg
$FC_{OTX}$	=	fuel consumed at taxi phase by the aircraft without PV system, kg
$FC_{pv_{CL}}$	=	fuel consumed at climb phase by the aircraft with PV system, kg
$FC_{pv_{\Delta i+1}}$	=	fuel consumed from the apron until start cruise by the aircraft with PV system, kg
$FC_{pv_{\Delta i}}$	=	fuel consumed in the stage $i$ of the cruise phase by the aircraft with PV system, kg
$FC_{pv_{\Delta i+1}}$	=	fuel consumed from start approach until park on apron by the aircraft with PV system, kg
$FC_{pv_{TO}}$	=	fuel consumed at takeoff phase by the aircraft with PV system, kg
$FC_{pv_{TX}}$	=	fuel consumed at taxi phase by the aircraft with PV system, kg
$FOBO_{CR}$	=	fuel on board at start of the cruise phase by the aircraft without PV system, kg
$FOBO_o$	=	fuel required for the mission by the aircraft without PV system, kg
$FOBO_i$	=	fuel on board at time $i$ of the cruise phase by the aircraft without PV system, kg
$FOBO_{i-1}$	=	fuel on board at time $i-1$ of the cruise phase by the aircraft without PV system, kg
$FOBO_{\Delta i+1}$	=	fuel on board on the aircraft without PV system at end of mission, kg
$FOBPV_{CR}$	=	fuel on board at start of the cruise phase by the aircraft with PV system, kg
$FOBPV_o$	=	fuel required for the mission by the aircraft with PV system, kg
$FOBPV_i$	=	fuel on board at time $i$ of the cruise phase by the aircraft with PV system, kg
$FOBPV_{i-1}$	=	fuel on board at time $i-1$ of the cruise phase by the aircraft with PV system, kg

1		
2		
3		
4	$FOB_{pv_{i+1}}$	= fuel on board on the aircraft with PV system at end of mission, kg
5	$K_{ps}$	= percentage of power demand supplied, %
6	$M_B$	= mass of the batteries, kg
7	$M_C$	= mass of the photovoltaic module, kg
8	$m_{dc}$	= mass density of photovoltaic panels, kg/m <sup>2</sup>
9	$M_{PVS}$	= total mass of the PV system, kg
10	$MTOW$	= maximum takeoff weight, kg
11	$\eta_C$	= power transformation efficiency of cells, %
12	$\eta_D$	= battery discharge efficiency, %
13	$n_L$	= battery load efficiency, %
14	$n_{ST}$	= number of starts generator, dimensionless
15	$\rho_B$	= energy density of battery, Wh/kg
16	$P_D$	= total power demanded by the aircraft electrical system for the design point, W
17	$P_{DB}$	= power demanded by the system to load the battery, W
18	$P_{PVS}$	= power generation of the photovoltaic system, W
19	$PAX$	= number of passengers, dimensionless
20	$PC_{CF}$	= continuous electrical loads in cruise flight, W
21	$PC_{NL}$	= continuous electrical loads with night lights, W
22	$PI_{CF}$	= intermittent electrical loads in cruise flight, W
23	$PI_{COMM}$	= intermittent electrical loads of communications, W
24	$PI_G$	= starter-generator intermittent electrical loads, W
25	$PL_O$	= payload of aircraft without PV system, kg
26	$PL_{PV}$	= payload of aircraft with PV system, kg
27	$PSH$	= peak sun hour, W h/m <sup>2</sup>
28	$PT$	= total electrical power required per hour of operation, W
29	$R_{TW}$	= ratio maximum power plant thrust to maximum takeoff weight, kgf /kg
30	$s_{fc}$	= specific fuel consumption, kg/kgf h
31	$S_i$	= irradiated surface, m <sup>2</sup>
32	$t_{ALB}$	= available hours of radiation to load the battery, h
33	$t_{BO}$	= hours of battery operation, h
34	$t_{CR}$	= final time of phase climb, h
35	$t_{DL}$	= initial time of phase approach, h
36	$T_{max}$	= maximum power plant thrust, kgf
37	$t_{SG}$	= generator starting time, h
38	$t_{TF}$	= flight total time, h
39	$Z$	= fuel adjustment at apron, kg
40	$W_{TO_{CR}}$	= total mass of the aircraft without PV system at start of the cruise phase, kg
41	$W_{TO_{DL}}$	= total weight of the aircraft without PV system at end of cruise phase, kg
42	$W_{TO_{io}}$	= total mass on apron of the aircraft without PV system, kg
43	$W_{TO_i}$	= total weight of the aircraft without PV system at end of stage $i$ of the cruise phase, kg
44	$W_{TO_{i-1}}$	= total weight of the aircraft without PV system at end of stage $i-1$ of the cruise phase, kg
45	$W_{TO_{H+1}}$	= total weight of the aircraft without PV system at end of mission, kg
46	$W_{TPV_{CR}}$	= total mass of the aircraft with PV system at start of the cruise phase, kg
47	$W_{TPV_{DL}}$	= total weight of the aircraft with PV system at end of cruise phase, kg
48	$W_{TPV_{io}}$	= total mass on apron of the aircraft with PV system, kg
49	$W_{TPV_i}$	= total weight of the aircraft with PV system at end of stage $i$ of the cruise phase, kg
50	$W_{TPV_{i-1}}$	= total weight of the aircraft with PV system at end of stage $i-1$ of the cruise phase, kg
51	$W_{TPV_{H+1}}$	= total weight of the aircraft with PV system at end of mission, kg
52	$\Delta t_i$	= time between the stage $i$ and the stage $i-1$ of the cruise phase, h
53	$\Delta t_{i+1}$	= time from start approach until park on apron, h
54	$\Delta t_{CR}$	= time from mission start to cruise start, h
55	$\Delta PAX_{pv}$	= penalization in passengers transported due to the installation of the PV system, pax

## 1. Introduction

The aeronautic industry is usually seen at the forefront of technological change; however, photovoltaic technology has had a shy presence in the aviation industry. Nowadays, there is a growing interest on alternative energy sources applied to aeronautics, due to the potential fossil fuel shortage [1], the need to decrease the fuel demand [2–4], the development of “More Electrical Aircrafts” (MEA) [5–7] and the climate change. This interest can be seen, regarding the Photovoltaic (PV) Technology, in projects such as the Sunseeker and Solar Impulse. The Sunseeker I that was built between 1986 and 1989 and made its maiden flight in 1990. The Sunseeker Duo first flew in 2013 [8]. Ross [9] presents the progress of the Solar Impulse in 2008; one of the most ambitious projects of solar aviation and [10] presents the characteristics and aims of the Solar Impulse II up to June 2014. The Solar Impulse II started on March 2015 its challenge of going around the world [11].

The PV technology in aviation takes their first steps in 1974 with the project Sunrise I. Since then many other developments have been done, which are documented by [12–18]. Nevertheless the methodologies, models and

1  
2  
3  
4 studies available in the literature were focused on unmanned and experimental aircrafts [18–25]. Other projects deal  
5 with “High Altitude Long Endurance” (HALE) UAVs [26–34]. In recent years there have been done developments  
6 on airships, with the resurgence of these vehicles as a viable alternative of multipurpose platforms [35,36]. Several  
7 models and studies regarding the application of PV technology to airships were developed by [37–43]. These models  
8 can be extrapolated to the analysis of non-stratospheric aircrafts, such as radiation models [41], thermal behavior of  
9 the solar panels models [42], design and management of hybrid systems models, among others.

10 Although prior studies have addressed with more or less rigor the factors that affect the viability of the system,  
11 there have not been found studies in the literature about the feasibility to apply this technology in commercial  
12 aircrafts.

13 Studies such as [17–19] present design methodologies for solar powered aircraft for different applications. In  
14 Noth [18] the influence of aircraft scale and its interaction with aspects of the photovoltaic system, aerodynamics,  
15 structure, power plant, among others, are studied. In that research it can also be found a list of manned and  
16 unmanned aircraft designs that have been developed. In Shiau et al. [25] a genetic algorithm to adjust the  
17 dimensions and cruising speed of a UAV, departing from the preliminary design to the optimization of the energy  
18 consumption, has been developed.

19 Other studies [27,44,45] have analyzed a large number of airfoils for solar powered aircraft. Romeo et al. [27]  
20 have evaluated and optimized several wing plans. The blended wing body concept has also been studied as one of  
21 the best alternatives due to the availability of a large surface for the cells installation. Chen and Bernal [44] have  
22 analyzed 200 airfoils and characterized the best four options studied. On the other hand, in [32–34] the flight paths  
23 optimization for unlimited duration flights (HALE) are studied.

24 The MEA pose a challenge in the aircraft electrical system design, as explained in [6]. Some researchers show  
25 interest in hybrid systems [37,38,46–51]. James et al. [37] propose the use of a combined system of photovoltaic  
26 cells and fuel cells. Choi et al. [38] refer to the possibility of using other options such as advanced systems  
27 thermoelectric energy conversion (ATE) and nano materials solar energy collection, designed by NASA Langley  
28 Research Center. They show an approach to calculate the solar energy incident on the airship. With that calculation  
29 it is possible to maximize the energy produced per unit area, concluding that the area available is more than enough  
30 for supplying energy to the airship devices (propulsion, microwave beaming, laser power beam, housekeeping, radar  
31 surveillance, whose demand is 3,6 MW). So this study concluded that photovoltaic technology is the best choice in  
32 this case. Sun [50] proposes the use of hybrid power system for reuse the energy converted into heat by the  
33 photovoltaic cells..

34 A particular study is the one developed by Li et al. [42], in which the thermal characteristics of the photovoltaic  
35 system are analyzed. On the same work it has been developed a thermodynamic model to obtain the temperature  
36 profile in three dimensions and the power output of the system, considering the effects of the altitude, time of year  
37 and wind speed. These studies highlight some of the many parameters that must be taken into account to apply  
38 complementary and hybrid systems.

39 The alternative of using complementary and hybrid systems has also been analyzed for UAVs, as in the case of  
40 [24,52–54]. Wickenheiser et al. [24] propose the implementation of a hybrid system consisting of microwave and  
41 photovoltaic cells. In Deschenes et al. [52] the design of a Radio Control airplane that combines photovoltaic cells,  
42 batteries and internal combustion engines is shown. The aim of this project is to double the autonomy of the drone.  
43 In pursue of an emissions free aviation, fuel cells are considered by [55–57] as the best option for general aviation  
44 future aircrafts. Aktas [53] presents the selection of the technology for use in general aviation aircraft. Lapeña et al.  
45 [56] have shown the details of the first manned flight propelled by Boeing fuel cells , and in Romeo [57] the  
46 progress of the ENFICA-FC project is presented.

47 The other key branch of these studies consisted in analyzing the current state of commercial aviation and its  
48 tendency regarding fuel economy. In this aspect Liscouët-Hanke [58] develops a methodology for the analysis and  
49 simulation of systems interaction and performance for conventional aircrafts and MEA. The works [59,60] studied  
50 the relationship between the APU, environmental cost and economy of their use, proposing alternatives to reduce  
51 fuel consumption. In the same way, related to the importance of fuel consumption reduction, in Peeters et al. [2] the  
52 reduction of aircraft fuel consumption and emissions is presented, considering the designs from the 50's to the  
53 present. The result found is that fuel reduction has been approximately 70% per seat in that period. It also states the  
54 target for the next generation of aircrafts, which is to reduce present values by 10 to 15%. The publications [61,62]  
55 deal with the analysis of reducing fuel consumption at the aircraft operating point. Schilling [62] presents an  
56 algorithm for calculating aircraft fuel consumption.

57 On other hand, the energy density of the batteries are the biggest obstacle for the alternatives applications to the  
58 fossil fuels as shown in [63]. It is expected that the energy density be doubled in the next decade [64]. Lithium-air  
59 batteries would achieve density energy about 3000 Wh/kg [63,65]. The company Excellatron Solid State LLC has  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 announced developments for aeronautics industry with several possibilities for application in alternative systems  
5 [66], as the project CleanSky Joint Technology Initiative [67,68].

6 Batteries of lithium ion have begun to be used in recent years in commercial aircrafts such as B787 and A340.  
7 This new technology has presented some issues as occurred in the B787 in early 2013 [69], and although some  
8 preventive measures have been applied, it is necessary to continue working on the batteries safety and control  
9 [70,71].

10 Different authors have analyzed solar cells [72–80]. In [73–78] it is shown the evaluation of the life cycle of PV  
11 cells, related to investment and environmental costs. These works include third generation cells, presenting these  
12 cells as an alternative to reduce those costs in contrast to the first and second generation of cells. They state,  
13 however, that maintenance costs are expected to continue to be high; likewise it is necessary to further investigate  
14 this technology. In Kosten et al. [77] a variation of GaAs cells with a single union is presented. Such a change would  
15 greatly increase their efficiency. Finally, in Fthenakis et al. [78] attention is focused on NF<sub>3</sub> (nitrogen trifluoride),  
16 on aspects to take into account during cells manufacturing process, and the need to act proactively in front to the  
17 increasing PV cells production, process in which NF<sub>3</sub> is used, (an important greenhouse gas).

18 The advances in photovoltaic technology show that it is possible to approach to the cells efficiency theoretical  
19 limits, as shown for cells first generation [81–83]. A major challenge is to diminish the production cost as it is  
20 indicated in [84,85], using thin film cells. The third generation cells have a higher efficiency than its predecessors.  
21 They historically have been used in the aerospace industry, but currently the researches are focused on their use in  
22 terrestrial applications and its profitability [84].

23 Radiation models abound in the literature, especially the study of radiation patterns in specific areas in [86].  
24 Different models are analyzed and classified according to its accuracy. Regarding non-stationary system models,  
25 Colozza [87] has developed a methodology for sun radiation estimation over a surface, considering several factors.  
26 This study also refers to the optimization of cells efficiency, taking into account characteristics of its application,  
27 particularly cells cooling. This aspect is also addressed in Terry et al. [14] for the particular case of the Pathfinder.  
28 The cooling of the cells is a fundamental aspect; [88] shows that one of the major factors is the cell temperature:  
29 when the operating temperature increases the efficiency of the cells decreases.

30 Within this context in this paper an analysis of the feasibility of implementing the PV technology in commercial  
31 aircrafts is presented, focusing in what extent the photovoltaic technology can be used to supply energy to the  
32 electrical system of the aircraft, and if its application produces savings in fuel consumption.

33 For this purpose, the PV technology state of the art is boarded in first instance, both its current status and their  
34 background and goals. This study section determined the available technology for use in commercial aviation, and  
35 the possibilities in the medium and long term, as well as the breakthroughs that occurred in this type of technology  
36 every year.

37 Then a survey of the state of the aviation industry allowed highlighting different parameters for the selection of  
38 individual cases to apply photovoltaic technology. The reference parameter adopted to evaluate the aircraft electric  
39 energy consumption was the APU power.

40 In the following stages the incident radiation over two selected reference aircrafts in various operational  
41 scenarios has been calculated. Afterwards the characteristics of the electrical system of the two aircrafts analyzed  
42 have been determined, together with the determination of the available surface for the cells and the weight of the  
43 photovoltaic system. Then the general methodology for determining fuel savings using the photovoltaic system has  
44 been developed. These fuel savings for the two selected aircrafts operational scenarios have been calculated. Finally  
45 the results obtained from the analysis have been extrapolated to other aircrafts.  
46  
47

## 48 **2. Data and methodology**

49  
50 The methodology followed consists of the selection of a technological model for the photovoltaic system applied  
51 to aircrafts, the determination of the power generated by a given operational model, a power demand estimation for  
52 the same operational model, and expected consequences that derive from the system implementation.  
53

### 54 *2.1. Photovoltaic System Model*

55  
56 The photovoltaic cells adopted in the current model are the so called cells of third generation, considered to be  
57 most efficient cells commercially available. The parameters adopted associated to them, were: power transformation  
58 efficiency,  $\eta_C$ , of 43.5% [80,81,89,90] and mass density of photovoltaic panels,  $m_{dc}$ , of 1.76 kg/m<sup>2</sup> [91]. The system  
59 loss factor,  $f_{SL}$ , adopted is 1.2. As above mentioned, when the operating temperature increases the efficiency of the  
60 cells decreases [92,93]. This thermal behavior of PV cells is positive for solar aircrafts, due to the operating  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 temperature. It is also possible the cooling of the PV cells, then increasing its efficiency above the values provided  
5 by the industry, which are for the standard test conditions (25°C, AM1.5D, 50 W/cm<sup>2</sup>). Even so in order to be  
6 conservative in the present work the efficiency used corresponds to the standard test condition [80,81].

7 The selected batteries for the photovoltaic system are commercial lithium ion, which have an energy density,  $\rho_B$ ,  
8 of 400 Wh/kg [13,23,94], a battery depth discharge factor,  $f_D$ , 85%, a discharge efficiency,  $\eta_D$ , 99.9% and a battery  
9 load efficiency,  $n_L$ , 99.9% [95].

10 The photovoltaic system consists of 4 main elements: inverter, regulator, batteries and photovoltaic module  
11 (cells, frame, protective surface). It is considered that inverters and regulators are already present in standard  
12 aircraft, while batteries and solar cells are incorporated.

13 The solar cells arrangement developed was based on different criteria depending on the type of aircraft  
14 considered. The first aircraft selected was the Airbus A340-300. For this aircraft two solar cells arrangements were  
15 selected, which are shown in Fig. 1. For the first one, the solar cells are distributed on the surface of the wings and  
16 the horizontal stabilizer of the aircraft. For the second the surface of upper half of aircraft body is incorporated to the  
17 first arrangement.

18 The second aircraft selected was the Cessna Conquest 441. Five cells configurations were considered, which are  
19 shown in Fig. 2. They include cells on different surfaces: wings, horizontal stabilizer, and body.

20  
21 **Fig. 1.** A340-300 cell arrangement alternatives: (a) configuration 1, (b) configuration 2. The shadowed areas show the solar cells distribution on  
22 the aircraft. Source: Made from <http://www.the-blueprints.com/>

23  
24 **Fig. 2.** Cessna Conquest 441 cell arrangement alternatives: (a) configuration 1, (b) configuration 2, (c) configuration 3, (d) configuration 4 and  
25 (e) configuration 5. The shadowed areas show the solar cells distribution on the aircraft. Source: Made from <http://www.the-blueprints.com/>

## 26 2.2. Available Radiation/Analyzed Scenarios

27  
28 Colozza [87] introduced an estimation method to estimate photovoltaic electricity production, which is adopted.  
29 This method was used in this study to demonstrate the sensitivity of the system for different operation conditions  
30 (day of the year, direction of flight, among others), and to determine the available radiation for the different  
31 scenarios.

32 The scenarios analyzed consider two days of the year: the ones of major radiation in the northern hemisphere,  
33 June 21<sup>st</sup>, and of major radiation in the southern hemisphere, December 21<sup>st</sup>. For these two days the following cases  
34 were evaluated:

- 35 1) Flight from Ezeiza Airport to Madrid Airport.
- 36 2) Flight from Madrid Airport to Ezeiza Airport.
- 37 3) Aircraft parked in Madrid Airport.
- 38 4) Aircraft parked in Ezeiza Airport.
- 39 5) Aircraft parked in Jujuy Airport, in northern Argentina.
- 40 6) Aircraft parked in Rosario Airport, in central Argentina.
- 41 7) Aircraft parked in Rio Grande Airport, in southern Argentina.

42 The cases 1 to 4 were evaluated for the aircraft Airbus A340-300, while the sceneries 5 to 7 were analyzed for  
43 the Cessna Conquest 441. Each of the scenarios was evaluated for the two days mentioned.

44 To calculate the incident radiation over the aircraft the following parameters were considered: the shape of the  
45 irradiated body, the day of year, the time of day, the latitude, the direction of flight, the flight altitude and the type of  
46 cells.

47 Two flight routes were adopted for the A340-300 that join Ezeiza and Madrid airports. The first one was an  
48 approximation of a currently used route and the second was a hypothetical route. The purpose was to consider a  
49 flight of maximum duration (aircraft maximum range). The scenario Ezeiza-Madrid and Madrid-Ezeiza real  
50 navigation path has a flight duration of 12 hours at cruising speed. The alternative route involves a flight duration of  
51 15 h. The departure times are identical in both cases: departure from Madrid at 10:00 a.m. local time and departure  
52 from Ezeiza at 7:00 a.m. local time.

53 For the Cessna Conquest 441 the operational scenarios were considered to be in the Argentine territory: south,  
54 center and north of the country. The missions considered were: maximum range with minimal payload, maximum  
55 range with maximum payload and 24 hours operation (it was assumed three flights of maximum range with  
56 minimum payload).

## 57 2.3. Electrical Power Generation

58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

The electrical power capacity estimations were done following the Peak Sun Hour (PSH) criteria, which is supported by the fact that power is generated and accumulated by the aircraft photovoltaic system, depending on aircraft electrical power demand, along its operative profile. The value 4 PSH is adopted for all the cases analyzed as a conservative value according to Colozza's method.

The power generation of the photovoltaic system,  $P_{PVS}$ , is calculated by:

$$P_{PVS} = PSH S_I n_c f_{SL}^{-1}, \quad (1)$$

where,  $S_I$  is the irradiated surface. Therefore, the percentage of power demand supplied,  $K_{ps}$ , is:

$$K_{ps} = P_{PVS} P_D^{-1} 100\%, \quad (2)$$

where,  $P_D$  is the total power demanded by the aircraft electrical system for the design point.

The total mass of the PV system is given by:

$$M_{PVS} = M_B + M_C, \quad (3)$$

where  $M_C$  is the mass of the photovoltaic module, given by  $M_C = m_{dc} S_I$ , and  $M_B$  is the mass of the batteries, obtained by  $M_B = E_B \rho_B^{-1}$ .

An amount of energy that could be stored in the batteries, depends on the criteria adopted. This parameter,  $E_B$ , is given by  $E_B = PT t_{BO} f_D^{-1} n_D^{-1}$ ,

where  $t_{BO}$  is the amount of hours of battery operation (number of hours to supply the electrical system from the batteries) and  $PT$  is the electrical power required per hour of operation.

Therefore, the mass of the batteries is obtained from:

$$M_B = PT t_{BO} \rho_B^{-1} f_D^{-1} n_D^{-1}. \quad (4)$$

#### 2.4. Electrical Demand

The electrical demand for both Airbus A340-300 and Cessna Conquest 441 was estimated. Airbus A340-300 specifications were taken from the aircraft flight crew operating manual. The cases analyzed are shown in Table 1.

**Table 1** Configuration of electric system and electric demand per flight phase for the A340-300.

Electrical demand for the Cessna Conquest 441 was also estimated from its manuals, which divide the electrical loads (28 VCC) into two groups: continuous electrical loads ( $PC$ ) and intermittent electrical loads ( $PI$ ). For the estimation of the electrical demand it was assumed that the continuous loads are supplied constantly throughout all flight phases, while the intermittent loads are supplied 5 minutes per flight hour for all loads that comprise this category, with the exception of the electrical loads of communication (10 minutes for every hour flight). The photovoltaic system does not consider the electrical loads of the ice protection equipment. The battery system associated with the PV system is considered as an electrical load in the sizing of the photovoltaic system. The number of starts ( $n_{ST}$ ) considered was one for single flight operation and three for 24 hours of operation.

Therefore, in general, the total energy demand for the design configuration,  $E_{TD}$ , is given by:

$$E_{TD} = PT t_{TF} + P_{DB} t_{ALB} + PI_G t_{SG} n_{ST}, \quad (5)$$

where,  $PI_G$  is the starter-generator intermittent electrical loads,  $t_{TF}$ ,  $t_{ALB}$  and  $t_{SG}$  are flight total time, the available hours of radiation to load the battery and generator starting time (30 s), respectively.  $PT$  is the total electrical power (load) per hour of flight (operation) and this given by:

$$PT = PC_{CF} + PC_{NL} + PI_{CF} 5 \text{ min}/60 \text{ min} + PI_{COMM} 10 \text{ min}/60 \text{ min}, \quad (6)$$

where  $PC_{CF}$  and  $PC_{NL}$  are the continuous electrical loads in cruise flight and in night light, respectively, and,  $PI_{CF}$  and  $PI_{COMM}$  are the intermittent electrical loads in cruise flight and for communications, respectively.

The power demanded by the system for loading the battery,  $P_{DB}$ , is:



$$P_{DB} = E_B \eta_L^{-1} t_{ALB}^{-1}, \quad (7)$$

where  $\eta_L$  is the battery load efficiency.  $E_B$  is the energy stored, which is given by:

$$E_B = t_{BO} P T f_D^{-1} \eta_D^{-1}, \quad (8)$$

where  $t_{BO}$  are the hours of battery operation,  $f_D$  is the depth discharge factor and  $\eta_D$  is the discharge efficiency of the batteries.

## 2.5. Fuel Consumption

The fuel consumption of an aircraft equipped with a photovoltaic system and a standard aircraft were compared for the operational scenarios mentioned. The following assumptions were considered:

- The specific fuel consumption, sfc, is constant along the entire flight.
- The Thrust to Weight ratio, RTW, remains constant throughout the flight mission and it is equal to the maximum thrust (Tmax) to the maximum takeoff weight (MTOW) ratio.
- The power extracted from the engines, for cruise flight condition without photovoltaic system,  $E_{pcO}$ , is 80% of the total power available.
- The power extracted from the engines to supply 100% of the electrical system consumption is 1.6% of the maximum power [96].
- The duration of the mission phases corresponding to taxi, takeoff, climb, approach and landing, are defined for the case of the A340-300, as indicated in reference LTO cycle of ICAO, annex 16 vol. II [97]. For the Cessna Conquest 441 it was taken from [98] as the average duration of the LTO cycle in general aviation.

Table 2 shows the calculations performed to obtain the fuel saved by the aircraft equipped with the PV system for the entire flight, for the calculations, the phases apron, taxi, takeoff and climb were considered together as only one phase. For cruise phase, parameters are calculated for each time fraction: from the  $t_{i-1}=t_{CR}$  (final time of phase climb) until  $t_i=t_{DL}$  (initial time of phase approach), dividing the total cruise phase according to the desired accuracy. The phases approach, landing and taxi, until the mission end are considered together as only one phase.

**Table 2** Calculations performed regarding fuel consumption and fuel saved.

## 2.6. Application of PV Technology to Other Aircrafts

Comparative Analysis for the This analysis aims to be a basic comparison of the relative potential of introducing the PV technology to other aircrafts.

The analysis is based in the comparison of the parameters and indicators identified in the study for two reference aircrafts: A340-300 (for large, medium and regional jets) and Cessna Conquest 441 (for business jets and general aviation).

The parameters identified for the comparison are: electrical power generation, electrical demand, electrical power generation to electrical demand ratio, and percentage of increased empty weight due to the installation of the PV system.

The electrical power generation was calculated as shown in 2.3, taking for large, medium and regional jets, the available irradiated surface corresponding to the configurations 1 (cells distributed on the surface of the wings and the horizontal stabilizer of the aircraft) and 2 (is equal to the first one, incorporating the surface of upper half of aircraft body). For business jets and general aviation aircrafts, the available irradiated surface was the required to supply the 100% of electrical demand. In both cases the radiation was considered equal to 4 PSH.

The electrical demand for large, medium and regional aircrafts was considered as the 85% of APU electrical power. This hypothesis is based in the analysis of the A340-300 and is endorsed by the FAR-25, which indicates that the APU should be capable of supplying all the electrical demand of the airplane.

The electrical demand for business jets and general aviation aircrafts was considered as the electrical demand in standard operation condition (without energy supply to the anti-ice system, optional systems and abnormally loads). This demand is equal to the 15% of the maximum capacity of the electrical system, which is obtained from the airplane datasheet.

The electrical power generation to electrical demand ratio was calculated for the scenarios: flight of maximum autonomy and 12 h of continue operation by day.



1  
2  
3  
4 The percentage of increased empty weight by installing the PV system for business jets and general aviation  
5 aircrafts is calculated as shown in 2.3. The hypothesis adopted was that the batteries weight corresponds to the  
6 required to operate a time equivalent to maximum autonomy of the aircraft.  
7

### 8 **3. Results**

#### 9 *3.1. Analysis for the Airbus A340-300*

10 According to the methodology presented in II.B, the calculated radiation for the A340-300 in the different  
11 scenarios, gives the following results.

- 12 - Scenario 1, 12 hours flight: the total radiation per day varies between 4,300 and 9,800 W/m<sup>2</sup>, depending on day  
13 of the year.
- 14 - Scenario 2, 15 hours flight: the total radiation per day varies between 5,850 and 11,900 W/m<sup>2</sup>, depending on day  
15 of the year.
- 16 - Scenario 3, Aircraft on the apron: the total radiation per day varies between 2,150 and 8,860 W/m<sup>2</sup>, depending on  
17 day of the year.

18 The Fig. 3 shows the available radiation on the solar cells for different scenarios, given by day of the year, site  
19 and operation. In the Fig. 3 when the day number is mentioned followed by the airport code, it means that the  
20 aircraft was parked on that airport during the day solar hours. On the other hand, when the operation, the day  
21 number and the hours of flight are mentioned, then the aircraft receives solar radiation on ground before departure  
22 and then during the flight.  
23  
24  
25

26 **Fig. 3.** Radiation on the solar cells for different scenarios in the A340-300, day 92 (June 21<sup>st</sup>) and day 275 (December 21<sup>st</sup>).

27  
28 Considering the above results it was assumed for the different calculations 4 PSH as the available average  
29 radiation, because it was considered as an average conservative value. The design condition to dimension the PV  
30 system for the A340-300 was a 14 hours flight with the electrical demand shown in Table 1.

31 The implementation of the PV system in the above configurations carries an increased empty weight of the  
32 aircraft, which is shown in Table 3.  
33

34 **Table 3** Weight composition of the PV system and increased empty weight of the aircraft (A340-300).

35  
36 Then the fuel saved by implementing the PV system was calculated, according to the methodology presented in  
37 II.E. The results obtained are shown in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5.  
38

39 **Fig. 4.** Total fuel saved by type of flight and PV system configuration for the A340-300.

40  
41 **Fig. 5.** Average fuel saved per flight hour by type of flight and PV system configuration for the A340-300.

42  
43 It can be seen that implementing the photovoltaic system on the A340-300 allows a reduction on fuel  
44 consumption of 252 kg (20 kg per flight hour) and 594 kg (46 kg per flight hour) for a flight mission range of 11,112  
45 km, for configurations 1 and 2, respectively. As the range departs from the design point, the fuel consumption  
46 reduction decreases, reaching zero at 1,800 km. For shorter ranges there is an increment in fuel consumption due to  
47 the PV system introduction (more fuel is required to transport the weight of the PV system).

48 The reduction in fuel consumption for the case of a flight range of 11,112 km is 0.30% of the total fuel  
49 consumed for configuration 1 and 0.71% for configuration 2.  
50

#### 51 *3.1.1. Comparison with other large, medium and regional jets aircrafts*

52  
53 Figure 6 shows the electrical demand supplied by the PV systems for 12 h of aircraft continuous operation, for  
54 different aircraft, taking the A340-300 as a reference. For all the cases it was taken 4 PSH as available radiation.  
55

56 **Fig. 6.** Percentage of electrical demand supplied by the PV system for 12 h of aircraft continuous operation, configuration 1 cells arrangement  
57 for different aircrafts.

58  
59 **Fig. 7.** Percentage of electrical demand supplied by the PV system for maximum autonomy flight, configuration 1 cells arrangement for different  
60 aircrafts.  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 The same analysis was done for the configuration 2 (see Fig. 8 and Fig. 9).  
5

6 **Fig. 8.** Percentage of electrical demand supplied by the PV system for 12 h of aircraft continuous operation, configuration 2 cells arrangement  
7 for different aircrafts.

8 **Fig. 9.** Percentage of electrical demand supplied by the PV system maximum autonomy flight, configuration 2 cells arrangement for different  
9 aircrafts.

### 10 11 3.2. Analysis for the Cessna Conquest 441 12

13 According to the methodology presented in II.B, the calculated radiation for the Cessna Conquest 441 in the  
14 different scenarios, gives the following results.

- 15 - Southern of the Argentine territory: the total radiation per day varies between 580 and 8,450 W/m<sup>2</sup>, depending  
16 on day of the year.
- 17 - Center of the Argentine territory: the total radiation per day varies between 2,900 and 8,850 W/m<sup>2</sup>, depending on  
18 day of the year.
- 19 - Northern of the Argentine territory: the total radiation per day varies between 4,100 and 8,600 W/m<sup>2</sup>, depending  
20 on day of the year.

21 The Fig. 10 shows the available radiation on the solar cells for different scenarios, given by day of the year, site  
22 and operation. In all cases it was considered that the aircraft was parked on the airport during the day solar hours.  
23

24 **Fig. 10.** Radiation on the solar cells for different scenarios in the Cessna Conquest 441, day 92 (June 21<sup>st</sup>) and day 275 (December 21<sup>st</sup>).  
25

26 To analyze the Cessna Conquest, seven cases were considered, conformed by 5 types of cells configurations  
27 (according to the flight type) and availability or storage unavailability (battery), and in all cases it was assumed that  
28 the electrical demand is standard. The application of the methodology for calculating the electrical demand of the  
29 Cessna Conquest 441, allowed to obtain, for the different scenarios, the values shown in the table 4, which are for  
30 the standard operating conditions approximately 15% of the maximum capacity of the electrical system.  
31

32 **Table 4** Cases analyzed for the Cessna Conquest 441, according to the PV system configuration, flight types and electrical demand.  
33

34 The implementation of the PV system in the previous cases involves the following increase of the empty weight  
35 of the aircraft.  
36

37 **Table 5** Weight composition of the PV system and increased empty weight of aircraft (Cessna Conquest 441).  
38

39 In this aircraft the photovoltaic system implementation would give the following reductions in fuel consumption  
40 (see Fig. 11).

- 41 - Flight with maximum payload and 100% electrical energy storing capacity: reduction in consumption of  
42 5.7 kg, 0.88% of total consumption.
- 43 - Flight with maximum payload and without electrical energy storing capacity: reduction in consumption of  
44 9.1 kg, 1.4% of total consumption.
- 45 - Maximum range flight and 100% electrical energy storing capacity: reduction in consumption of 3.2 kg,  
46 0.22% of total consumption.
- 47 - Maximum range flight and without electrical energy storing capacity: reduction in consumption of 22.8 kg,  
48 1.58% of total consumption.
- 49 - 24 h flight, operating 14 hours with the energy stored in the batteries: the consumption rises to 26.8 kg by  
50 flight of maximum range, that is, it generates an increase in fuel consumption of 1.86% .  
51

52 **Fig. 11.** Total fuel saved per type of flight according to the PV system configuration, for the cases indicated in table 4.  
53

54 From the analysis performed, it can be seen that the implementation of the photovoltaic system on the Cessna  
55 441 could even allow to the aircraft to operate 17.5 h during the high solar radiation season and, 8.5 h when the  
56 radiation is low in Argentina, in both cases supplying 100% of the electrical demand and generating savings in fuel  
57 consumption.  
58

59 In this aircrafts type deploying the photovoltaic cells only to 15% of the wings area is enough to supply 100% of  
60 the electricity demand for a flight with maximum payload and MTOW. For the case of two maximum range flights  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 in a day, in the high solar radiation season, it would be required to install photovoltaic cells over the 30% of the  
5 wings area. On the other hand for the case of 24 h of operation it would be required a surface covered with cells  
6 equivalent to 88% of wing area. Finally considering the ice protection system and optional devices, all the available  
7 surface of the aircraft (configuration 4 and 5) should have installed the photovoltaic cells to supply 100% of the  
8 energy required.  
9

### 10 3.2.1. Comparison with other business jets and general aviation aircrafts

11  
12 To compare the feasibility of implementing the photovoltaic system on other aircrafts, the guidelines shown in  
13 2.6 were applied. The results obtained from the analysis of capacity and demand of the electrical system are  
14 comparable, since both the geometrical characteristics as electrical demand does not vary strongly in this type of  
15 aircraft.

16 In Fig. 12 and Fig. 13 it can be seen (for 12 h of operation per day on all aircrafts) that the Cessna Conquest 441  
17 is the 7th aircraft with the largest restrictions for applying the PV system, from the point of view of the required  
18 surface, and the 4th with greatest restrictions regarding empty weight increase of the aircraft.  
19

20 **Fig. 12.** Surface required by the PV system for condition of 12 h of operation per day, assuming 4 PSH, for different aircrafts.

21  
22 **Fig. 13.** Increased empty weight by installing the PV system for condition of 12 h of operation per day, assuming 4 PSH, for different aircrafts.

23  
24 If we consider only the operation of the aircraft during a single flight of maximum autonomy, the Cessna  
25 Conquest 441 is the most restricted in required surface and empty weight increase, as show in Fig. 14 and Fig. 15,  
26 where the ID. Airplanes are 1) C. Caravan 208, 2) C. X, 3) C Stationar 206H, 4) Super King Air 200/B200, 5) C.  
27 XLS, 6) C. Sovereign, 7) C. Skyhawk, 8) C.M2, 9) C. Latitude, 10) C. Mustang, 11) C. CJ4, 12) Learjet 25, 13)  
28 Gulfstream G150, 14) C. Conquest 441.  
29

30 **Fig. 14.** Surface required by the PV system a maximum autonomy flight per day, assuming 4 PSH, for different aircrafts.

31  
32 **Fig. 15.** Increased empty weight by install the PV system for a maximum autonomy flight per day, assuming 4 PSH, for different aircrafts.

## 33 4. Summary and conclusions

34  
35 In this work, the feasibility of implementing photovoltaic technology to supply the electrical demand of aircrafts  
36 has been studied by a defined methodology, which comprises: the selection of the photovoltaic technology, the  
37 calculation of available radiation, the estimation of electrical demand, the layout of solar cells, the PV system  
38 capacity calculation, the determination of the photovoltaic system weight, the calculation of fuel savings for PV  
39 system equipped aircrafts, and finally the extrapolation of results to the other aircrafts. It is worth to mention that  
40 this works deals only with the operational feasibility of the PV system, and not regarding the investment costs and  
41 certification feasibility.  
42

43 Given the characteristics of electrical demand in the commercial aircraft, third-generation multijunction  
44 photovoltaic cells have been chosen. They currently have an efficiency of 43.5%, promising to achieve up to 60; an  
45 efficiency of 45% has been used for the calculations.

46 The batteries proposed to implement the photovoltaic system correspond to Lithium-Ion, with capacity 400  
47 Wh/kg.

48 The methodology to determine the available solar radiation showed a strong influence on the type of mission,  
49 navigation path and day of the year, and a second order influence on the aircraft geometry and on its orientation to  
50 the sun.

51 The calculation of the PV system capacity was realized for an average radiation of 4 PSH. This value was  
52 considered representative and conservative for the operations considered.

53 Regarding aircraft electrical demand an almost linear relationship with its dimensions can be observed. This fact  
54 allows extrapolating the results obtained from the analysis of the A340-300 to other jet aircrafts (large, medium and  
55 regional jets).

56 The feasibility of applying a photovoltaic system on a commercial aircraft such as the A340-300 is dependent on  
57 each particular operational case: flying hours per day, schedules of operation and especially of the constancy of  
58 these variables. In the case of A340-300 covering the flight Buenos Aires-Madrid and Madrid-Buenos Aires, doing  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 one flight per day, the fuel savings per year could be 169,300 kg, equivalent to the fuel required by the A340-300 for  
5 two flights between Buenos Aires-Madrid.

6 The implementation of photovoltaic system in business jets and general aviation aircrafts as the Cessna Conquest  
7 441 is technically feasible; a reduction in fuel consumption could be achieved.

8 The implementation of a photovoltaic system on an aircraft involves an empty weight increase, which can be  
9 translated into a penalty of any of the following aspects: reduction in payload, reduction in the flight range, and  
10 reduction in fuel economy. The alternatives of penalizing the payload or range are not considered as a viable option,  
11 so the focus was pointed on savings in fuel consumption.

12 Conceptual designs of next generation of commercial aircraft, such as the BWB (Blend Wide Body), have  
13 geometric characteristics that would allow higher efficiencies in the implementation of PV systems than for current  
14 aircrafts. Likewise, the advancement in technology of solar cells and batteries is really noticeable from year to year,  
15 so the application of photovoltaic technology in commercial aircrafts may be perceived as a viable alternative in the  
16 near future.

17 The photovoltaic system implementation finds its greatest obstacle in the storage capacity of the batteries, as this  
18 leads to a significant increase of weight of the aircraft. For the A340-300 it is unfeasible to have a storage capacity  
19 of 100% of the energy captured in a day of 4 PSH, so it was limited to 25% of the electrical demand. Then the stored  
20 energy can supply only 100% of the demand required by no more than 3.5 h.

21 Regarding the supply of the electrical demand, the results show that a PV system with cells on the aircraft wings  
22 supplies 45% of the aircraft electrical demand, while if the aircraft has additional cells on the fuselage, the PV  
23 system would be able to supply 100% of the aircraft electrical demand.

24 The aircrafts of shorter range (regional aircrafts) exhibit greater viability to supply electrical demand for the case  
25 of only one flight of maximum range per day. If the aircrafts operate the same number of hours per day, the viability  
26 of the PV system is higher in the aircrafts of large and medium range than for short range aircrafts. For this criterion  
27 the A340-300 is situated in an intermediate case.

28 For the case of the Cessna Conquest 441 and similar aircrafts it is feasible to store 100% of the energy  
29 generated by the PV system, however, in such a way this system performance regarding fuel consumption reduction  
30 is not optimized.

31 Regarding future work, this analysis could be extended to other aircrafts and operational scenarios. Other aspect  
32 could be the management of the airlines operations to obtain the maximum fuel reduction with their PV aircraft  
33 fleet.

34 Furthermore, the feasibility analysis could consider the use of PV system batteries combined to the aircraft  
35 batteries to reduce weight.

36 Finally, other aspect that has not been considered is the study certification process for these types of aircrafts that  
37 could be included in future work.

## 38 Acknowledgments

39 This material is based upon work supported by the Comisión de Investigaciones Científicas de la Prov. de  
40 Buenos Aires CIC-PBA, through the grant program "BENTR11".

## 41 References

- 42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47 [1] Mazraati M, Alyousif OM. Aviation fuel demand modeling in OECD and developing countries: impacts of fuel efficiency. *OPEC*  
48 *Energy Review* 2009;23–46.  
49 [2] Peeters P, Middel J, Hoolhorts a. Fuel efficiency of commercial aircraft: An overview of historical and future trends. *National*  
50 *Aerospace Laboratory NLR: Amsterdam* 2005:1–37. doi:[http://www.transportenvironment.org/Publications/prep\\_hand\\_out/lid/398](http://www.transportenvironment.org/Publications/prep_hand_out/lid/398).  
51 [3] Graham WR, Hall C a., Vera Morales M. The potential of future aircraft technology for noise and pollutant emissions reduction.  
52 *Transport Policy* 2014;34:36–51. doi:10.1016/j.tranpol.2014.02.017.  
53 [4] Ryerson MS, Hansen M. Capturing the impact of fuel price on jet aircraft operating costs with Leontief technology and econometric  
54 models. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies* 2013;33:282–96. doi:10.1016/j.trc.2011.05.015.  
55 [5] Abdel-Fadil R, Eid A, Abdel-Salam M. Electrical Distribution Power Systems of Modern Civil Aircrafts. *2nd International Conference*  
56 *on Energy Systems and Technologies* 2013:201–10.  
57 [6] Giraud X, Sartor M, Roboam X, Sareni B, Piquet H, Budinger M, et al. Load allocation problem for optimal design of aircraft electrical  
58 power system. *International Journal of Applied Electromagnetics and Mechanics* 2013;43:37–49. doi:10.3233/jae-131708.  
59 [7] Lücken A, Kut T, Langkowski H, Dickmann S, Schulz D. Concept analysis of an electrical fuel cell integration in modern aircraft. *4th*  
60 *International Conference on Clean Electrical Power: Renewable Energy Resources Impact, ICCEP 2013* 2013:543–7.  
61 doi:10.1109/ICCEP.2013.6586906.  
62 [8] <http://www.solar-flight.com/projects/sunseeker-duo/> n.d.  
63 [9] Ross H. "Fly around the World with a Solar Powered Airplane ." *Solar Energy* 2008:1–11. doi:10.2514/6.2008-8954.  
64  
65



- 1  
2  
3  
4 [10] Farish M. Flight fantastic. Professional Engineering 2014.  
5 [11] <http://www.solarimpulse.com/> n.d.  
6 [12] Vashishtha VK, Kumar A, Makade R, Lata S. Solar Power the Future of Aviation Industry. International Journal of Engineering  
7 Science and Technology (IJEST) 2011;3:2051–8.  
8 [13] Zhu X, Guo Z, Hou Z. Solar-powered airplanes: A historical perspective and future challenges. Progress in Aerospace Sciences  
9 2014;71:36–53. doi:10.1016/j.paerosci.2014.06.003.  
10 [14] Haws TD, Bowman WJ. Thermal Analysis of the Pathfinder Aircraft 37th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit. AIAA,  
11 1999.  
12 [15] Boucher RJ, Inc. AF. History of Solar Flight. AIAA/SAE/ASME 20th Joint Propulsion Conference 1984:1–22.  
13 [16] Maccready PB, Lissaman PBS, Morgan WR, Burke JD. Sun-powered aircraft designs 1983;20:487–93. doi:10.2514/3.44898.  
14 [17] Alvi O ur R. Development of Solar Powered Aircraft for Multipurpose Application. 51st AIAA/ASME/ASCE/AHS/ASC Structures,  
15 Structural Dynamics, and Materials Conference 18th, Orlando, Florida: American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics; 2010, p. 1–  
16 16.  
17 [18] Noth a., Siegwart R, Engel W. Design of Solar Powered Airplanes for Continuous Flight. 2007. doi:DISS. ETH NO. 18010.  
18 [19] Colozza A. Feasibility of a Long Duration Solar Powered Aircraft on Venus. 2nd International Energy Conversion Engineering  
19 Conference, Providence, Rhode Island: American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics; 2004, p. 1–10.  
20 [20] Mehta A, Joshi C, Solanki K, Yadav S. Design and fabrication of solar R/C model aircraft. International Journal of Modern  
21 Engineering Research (IJMER) 2013;3:752–8.  
22 [21] Gao XZ, Hou ZX, Guo Z, Fan RF, Chen XQ. The equivalence of gravitational potential and rechargeable battery for high-altitude long-  
23 endurance solar-powered aircraft on energy storage. Energy Conversion and Management 2013;76:986–95.  
24 doi:10.1016/j.enconman.2013.08.023.  
25 [22] Marshall P. Propulsion System Thrust Sizing. Fundamentals of Aircraft and Airship Design: Volume 1, 2012, p. 467–90.  
26 doi:10.2514/5.9781600867538.0467.0490.  
27 [23] Jashnani S, Nada TR, Ishfaq M, Khamker a., Shaholia P. Sizing and preliminary hardware testing of solar powered UAV. Egyptian  
28 Journal of Remote Sensing and Space Science 2013;16:189–98. doi:10.1016/j.ejrs.2013.05.002.  
29 [24] Wickenheiser AM, Garcia E. Conceptual Design Considerations for Microwave- and Solar-Powered Fuel-Less Aircraft. Journal of  
30 Aircraft 2009;46:510–9. doi:10.2514/1.37669.  
31 [25] Shiau J-K, Ma D-M, Chiu C-W, Shie J-R. Optimal Sizing and Cruise Speed Determination for a Solar-Powered Airplane. Journal of  
32 Aircraft 2010;47:622–9. doi:10.2514/1.45908.  
33 [26] Zhong GX, Xi HZ, Zheng G, Xia LJ, Qian CX. The influence of wind shear to the performance of high-altitude solar-powered aircraft.  
34 Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part G: Journal of Aerospace Engineering 2014;228:1562–73.  
35 [27] Romeo G, Frulla G, Cestino E. Design of a High-Altitude Long-Endurance Solar-Powered Unmanned Air Vehicle for Multi-Payload  
36 and Operations. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part G: Journal of Aerospace Engineering 2007;221:199–216.  
37 doi:10.1243/09544100JAERO119.  
38 [28] Mosimann L, Ducard G. Limitations in total system weight for solar aircraft designed for infinite flight. Control Applications (CCA),  
39 2014 IEEE Conference on, IEEE; 2014, p. 997–1002.  
40 [29] Barbosa R, Escobar B, Sanchez VM, Hernandez J, Acosta R, Verde Y. Sizing of a solar/hydrogen system for high altitude long  
41 endurance aircrafts. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy 2014;39:16637–45. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2014.05.152.  
42 [30] Mardanpour P, Hodges DH. Passive morphing of flying wing aircraft: Z-shaped configuration. Journal of Fluids and Structures  
43 2014;44:17–30. doi:10.1016/j.jfluidstructs.2013.09.020.  
44 [31] Gao X-Z, Hou Z-X, Guo Z, Chen X-Q, Chen X-Q. Joint optimization of battery mass and flight trajectory for high-altitude solar-  
45 powered aircraft. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part G: Journal of Aerospace Engineering 2014;228:2439–51.  
46 [32] Spangelo SC, Gilbert EG, Klesh AT, Kabamba PT, Girard AR, Arbor A. Periodic Energy-Optimal Path Planning for Solar-Powered  
47 Aircraft. AIAA Guidance, Navigation, and Control Conference 2009, August 10, 2009 - August 13, Chicago, Illinois: American  
48 Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics; 2009.  
49 [33] Sachs G, Lenz J, Holzapfel F. Periodic Optimal Flight of Solar Aircraft with Unlimited Endurance Performance. Applied Mathematical  
50 Sciences 2010;4:3761–78.  
51 [34] Klesh AT, Kabamba PT. Energy-optimal path planning for Solar-powered aircraft in level flight. AIAA Guidance, Navigation, and  
52 Control Conference 2007, August 20, 2007 - August 23, vol. 3, Hilton Head, South Carolina: 2007, p. 2966–82.  
53 [35] Miller SH, Fesen R, Hillenbrand L, Rhodes J, Baird G, Blake G, et al. Airships: A New Horizon for Science. Pasadena, CA: 2014.  
54 [36] Ilieva G, Páscoa J, Dumas A, Trancossi M. MAAT - Promising innovative design and green propulsive concept for future airship's  
55 transport. Aerospace Science and Technology 2014;35:1–14. doi:10.1016/j.ast.2014.01.014.  
56 [37] James D, Colozza A, Wagner R. A Regenerative Electric Power System for High Altitude Airships. 1st International Energy  
57 Conversion Engineering Conference 17 - 21 August 2003, Portsmouth, Virginia: American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics;  
58 2003, p. 1–8.  
59 [38] Choi SH, Elliott JR, King GC, Park Y, Kim J, Chu S, et al. Power Technology for Application-Specific Scenarios of High Altitude  
60 Airships. 3rd International Energy Conversion Engineering Conference 15 - 18 August 2005, San Francisco, California: 2005, p. 1–9.  
61 doi:10.1117/12.657130.  
62 [39] Garg AK, Burnwal SK, Pallapothu A, Alawa RS, Ghosh AK. Solar Panel Area Estimation and Optimization for Geostationary  
63 Stratospheric Airship. 11th AIAA Aviation Technology, Integration, and Operations (ATIO) Conference, including the AIAA 20 - 22  
64 September 2011, Virginia Beach, VA: American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics; 2011, p. 1–13.  
65 [40] Aerospace. 2nd AIAA “Unmanned Unlimited” Systems, Technologies, and Operations. AIAA 2003-6663, San Diego, California:  
American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics; 2003, p. 1–16. doi:10.2514/6.2003-6663.  
[41] Dai Q, Fang X. A simple model to predict solar radiation under clear sky conditions. Advances in Space Research 2014;53:1239–45.  
doi:10.1016/j.asr.2014.01.025.  
[42] Li X, Fang X, Dai Q. Research on Thermal Characteristics of Photovoltaic Array of Stratospheric Airship. Journal of Aircraft  
2011;48:1380–6. doi:10.2514/1.C031295.  
[43] Lim J, Choi S, Shin S, Lee D-H. Wing Design Optimization of a Solar-HALE Aircraft. International Journal of Aeronautical and Space  
Sciences 2014;15:219–31. doi:10.5139/IJASS.2014.15.3.219.

- 1  
2  
3  
4 [44] Chen W, Bernal LP. Design and Performance of Low Reynolds Number Airfoils for Solar-Powered Flight. 46th AIAA Aerospace  
5 Sciences Meeting and Exhibit 7 - 10 January 2008, Reno, Nevada: American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics; 2008, p. 1–18.
- 6 [45] Hobold GM, Agarwal RK. A methodology for predicting solar power incidence on airfoils and their optimization for solar-powered  
7 airplanes. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part G: Journal of Aerospace Engineering 2014;0954410014549391.
- 8 [46] Devilliers N, Péra M-C, Bienaimé D, Grojo M-L. Influence of the energy management on the sizing of Electrical Energy Storage  
9 Systems in an aircraft. Journal of Power Sources 2014;270:391–402. doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2014.07.113.
- 10 [47] Lacressonniere F, Bru E, Fontes G, Roboam X. Experimental validation of a hybrid emergency network with low and medium voltage  
11 Li-Ion batteries for more electrical aircraft. 2013 15th European Conference on Power Electronics and Applications, EPE 2013 2013.  
12 doi:10.1109/EPE.2013.6634664.
- 13 [48] Roboam X, Langlois O, Piquet H, Morin B, Turpin C. Hybrid power generation system for aircraft electrical emergency network. IET  
14 Electrical Systems in Transportation 2011;1:148. doi:10.1049/iet-est.2010.0045.
- 15 [49] Singh R, Pomet C, Kaiser S, Isikveren AT, Hornung M. Integrated fuel-battery hybrid for a narrow-body sized transport aircraft.  
16 Aircraft Engineering and Aerospace Technology: An International Journal 2014;86:568–74.
- 17 [50] Sun KW, Ni M. Feasibility Analysis of Hybrid Power System Used on Solar-Powered Aircraft. Advanced Materials Research, vol. 860,  
18 Trans Tech Publ; 2014, p. 118–23.
- 19 [51] Njoya Motapon S, Dessaint L a., Al-Haddad K. A comparative study of energy management schemes for a fuel-cell hybrid emergency  
20 power system of more-electric aircraft. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics 2014;61:1320–34. doi:10.1109/TIE.2013.2257152.
- 21 [52] Deschenes A, Brown K, Sobin A, West G, College DW. Design, Construction, and Testing of RC Aircraft for a Hybrid Propulsion  
22 System. 49th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting including the New Horizons Forum and Aerospace Exposition 4 - 7 January 2011,  
23 Orlando, Florida: American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics; 2011, p. 1–11. doi:10.2514/6.2011-840.
- 24 [53] Aktas D. General Aviation Electric - Powered Aircraft Feasibility. 50th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting including the New  
25 Horizons Forum and Aerospace Exposition 09 - 12 January 2012, Nashville, Tennessee: American Institute of Aeronautics and  
26 Astronautics; 2012, p. 1–12. doi:10.2514/6.2012-1040.
- 27 [54] Verstraete D, Lehmkuehler K, Gong a., Harvey JR, Brian G, Palmer JL. Characterisation of a hybrid, fuel-cell-based propulsion system  
28 for small unmanned aircraft. Journal of Power Sources 2014;250:204–11. doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2013.11.017.
- 29 [55] Peters R, Samsun RC. Evaluation of multifunctional fuel cell systems in aviation using a multistep process analysis methodology.  
30 Applied Energy 2013;111:46–63. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2013.04.058.
- 31 [56] Lapeña-Rey N, Mosquera J, Bataller E, Ortí F. First Fuel-Cell Manned Aircraft. Journal of Aircraft 2010;47:1825–35.  
32 doi:10.2514/1.42234.
- 33 [57] Romeo G, Borello F, Correa G, Cestino E. ENFICA-FC: Design of transport aircraft powered by fuel cell & flight test of zero emission  
34 2-seater aircraft powered by fuel cells fueled by hydrogen. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy 2013;38:469–79.  
35 doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2012.09.064.
- 36 [58] Liscouët-Hanke S. A model-based methodology for integrated preliminary sizing and analysis of aircraft power system architectures.  
37 Université de Toulouse, 2008.
- 38 [59] Fleuti E, Hofmann P. Aircraft APU Emissions at Zurich Airport. 2005.
- 39 [60] Transportation Research Board (TRB). Report 64: Handbook for Evaluating Emissions and Costs of APU's and Alternative Systems.  
40 2012.
- 41 [61] Airbus. Getting to grips with fuel economy. 2004.
- 42 [62] Schilling GD. Modeling Aircraft Fuel Consumption with a Neural Network. Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, 1997.
- 43 [63] Imanishi N, Yamamoto O. Rechargeable lithium-air batteries: Characteristics and prospects. Materials Today 2014;17:24–30.  
44 doi:10.1016/j.matod.2013.12.004.
- 45 [64] Gao X-Z, Hou Z-X, Guo Z, Liu J-X, Chen X-Q. Energy management strategy for solar-powered high-altitude long-endurance aircraft.  
46 Energy Conversion and Management 2013;70:20–30. doi:10.1016/j.enconman.2013.01.007.
- 47 [65] Kraysberg A, Ein-Eli Y. Review on Li-air batteries - Opportunities, limitations and perspective. Journal of Power Sources  
48 2011;196:886–93. doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2010.09.031.
- 49 [66] Padbury R, Zhang X. Lithium-oxygen batteries—Limiting factors that affect performance. Journal of Power Sources 2011;196:4436–  
50 44. doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2011.01.032.
- 51 [67] Izquierdo D, Barrado A, Raga C, Sanz M, Lazaro A. Protection Devices for Aircraft Electrical Power Distribution Systems : State of  
52 the Art. IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems 2011;47.
- 53 [68] Seresinhe R, Lawson CP, Sabatini R. Environmental impact assessment, on the operation of conventional and more electric large  
54 commercial aircraft. SAE International Journal of Aerospace 2013;6:56–64.
- 55 [69] Williard N, He W, Hendricks C, Pecht M. Lessons learned from the 787 Dreamliner issue on lithium-ion battery reliability. Energies  
56 2013;6:4682–95. doi:10.3390/en6094682.
- 57 [70] Becker CS, Muennix J, Sauer DU, Lammering T, Sauterleute A, Hauber B, et al. Design of a Safe and Reliable Li-ion Battery System  
58 for Applications in Airborne System. AIAA SciTech2014, National Harbor/Maryland 2014.
- 59 [71] Sean R. A fast, inexpensive method for predicting overcharge performance in lithium-ion batteries. Energy & Environmental Science  
60 2014;7:760–7. doi:10.1039/C3EE42305K.
- 61 [72] Latvels J, Grzibovskis R, Vembris A, Blumberga D. Improvement of Solar PV Efficiency. Potential Materials for Organic Photovoltaic  
62 Cells. Scientific Journal of Riga Technical University Environmental and Climate Technologies 2013;12:28–34. doi:10.2478/rtuect-  
63 2013-0013.
- 64 [73] Fthenakis V, Kim HC. Life cycle assessment of high-concentration photovoltaic systems. Progress in Photovoltaics: Research and  
65 Applications 2012;20:6–11. doi:10.1002/ppv.1186.
- [74] Kim HC, Fthenakis VM. Comparative life-cycle energy payback analysis of multi-junction a-SiGe and nanocrystalline/a-Si modules.  
Progress in Photovoltaics: Research and Applications 2011;19:228–39. doi:10.1002/ppv.990.
- [75] Valdivia CE, Yastrebova N, Schriemer H, Hall TJ, Masson D, Desfonds E, et al. High-power-density concentrated solar cell systems  
for electricity generation in buildings. 2006.
- [76] Fthenakis V, Kim H, Frischknecht R. Life Cycle Inventories and Life Cycle Assessment of Photovoltaic Systems. PVPS Task 12,  
Report T12-02:2011. 2011.
- [77] Kosten ED, Atwater JH, Parsons J, Polman A, Atwater H a. Highly efficient GaAs solar cells by limiting light emission angle. Light:  
Science & Applications 2013;2:1–6. doi:10.1038/lsa.2013.1.

- 1  
2  
3  
4 [78] Fthenakis V, Clark DO, Moalem M, Chandler P, Ridgeway RG, Hulbert FE, et al. Life-cycle nitrogen trifluoride emissions from  
5 photovoltaics. *Environmental Science and Technology* 2010;44:8750–7. doi:10.1021/es100401y.
- 6 [79] Robert M, Fthenakis V. Concentrated Photovoltaics. In: Fthenakis V, editor. *Third Generation Photovoltaics*, vol. 20, 2009, p. 26.  
7 doi:10.1364/OPN.20.9.000026.
- 8 [80] Green MA, Keith E, Yoshihiro H, Warta W, Dunlop ED. Solar cell efficiency tables (Version 45). *Progress in Photovoltaics: Research  
9 and Applications* 2014;20:6–11. doi:10.1002/pip.2573.
- 10 [81] Alharbi FH, Kais S. Theoretical limits of photovoltaics efficiency and possible improvements by intuitive approaches learned from  
11 photosynthesis and quantum coherence. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 2015;43:1073–89. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2014.11.101.
- 12 [82] Taguchi M, Yano A, Tohoda S, Matsuyama K, Nakamura Y, Nishiwaki T, et al. 24.7% record efficiency HIT solar cell on thin silicon  
13 wafer. *Photovoltaics, IEEE Journal of* 2014;4:96–9.
- 14 [83] Liao MH. The demonstration of a highly efficient SiGe Type-II hetero-junction solar cell with an optimal stress design. *Thin Solid  
15 Films* 2013;544:112–5. doi:10.1016/j.tsf.2013.04.100.
- 16 [84] Avrutin V, Izyumskaya N, Morko H. Semiconductor solar cells: Recent progress in terrestrial applications. *Superlattices and  
17 Microstructures* 2011;49:337–64. doi:10.1016/j.spmi.2010.12.011.
- 18 [85] Azzouzi G, Tazibt W. Improving Silicon Solar Cell Efficiency by Using the Impurity Photovoltaic Effect. *Energy Procedia*  
19 2013;41:40–9. doi:10.1016/j.egypro.2013.09.005.
- 20 [86] Badescu V, Gueymard C a., Cheval S, Oprea C, Baciu M, Dumitrescu A, et al. Computing global and diffuse solar hourly irradiation on  
21 clear sky. Review and testing of 54 models. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 2012;16:1636–56.  
22 doi:10.1016/j.rser.2011.12.010.
- 23 [87] Colozza A. NASA/CR-2003-212084: Convective Array Cooling for a Solar. Brook Park, Ohio: 2003.
- 24 [88] Fazelpour F, Vafaiepour M, Rahbari O, Shirmohammadi R. Considerable parameters of using PV cells for solar-powered aircrafts.  
25 *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 2013;22:81–91.
- 26 [89] Herb J. Commercialization of New Lattice-Matched Multi-Junction Solar Cells Based on Dilute Nitrides. San Jose, California: 2012.
- 27 [90] Cotal H, Fetzer C, Boisvert J, Kinsey G, King R, Hebert P, et al. III–V multijunction solar cells for concentrating photovoltaics. *Energy  
28 & Environmental Science* 2009;2:174. doi:10.1039/b809257e.  
29 <http://www.spectrolab.com/solarpanels.htm> n.d.
- 30 [91] Ben Or A, Appelbaum J. Dependence of multi-junction solar cells parameters on concentration and temperature. *Solar Energy  
31 Materials and Solar Cells* 2014;130:234–40. doi:10.1016/j.solmat.2014.07.010.
- 32 [92] Kim HT, Kim CD, Kim MJ, Sohn Y-S. AC analysis of temperature effects on conversion efficiency of CuInGaSe<sub>2</sub> solar cells. vol. 19.  
33 2011.
- 34 [93] Gallagher K. Breakthrough 400 Watt-hour/kilogram Lithium-ion Battery Poised to Revolutionize Cost, Range and Safety in Electric  
35 Vehicles. 2011.
- 36 [94] Rydh CJ, Sandén BA. Energy analysis of batteries in photovoltaic systems. Part I: Performance and energy requirements. *Energy  
37 Conversion and Management* 2005;46:1957–79.
- 38 [95] Waters DF, Cadou CP. Engine-integrated solid oxide fuel cells for efficient electrical power generation on aircraft. *Journal of Power  
39 Sources* 2015. doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2015.02.108.
- 40 [96] ICAO. Annex 16 Environmental Protection Volume II - Aircraft Engine Emissions. vol. II. Quebec, Canada: 2008.
- 41 [97] Fleuti E, Polyméris J. Aircraft NO<sub>x</sub>-Emissions within the Operational LTO Cycle 2004:15.
- 42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



**Fig. 1a. A340-300 cell arrangement, configuration 1**  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)



**Fig. 1b. A340-300 cell arrangement, configuration 2**  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)








Fig. 2a. Cessna Conquest 441 cell arrangement, configuration 1.  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




**Fig. 2b. Cessna Conquest 441 cell arrangement, configuration 2.**  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




**Fig. 2c. Cessna Conquest 441 cell arrangement, configuration 3.**  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)



**Fig. 2d. Cessna Conquest 441 cell arrangement, configuration 4.**  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




**Fig. 2e. Cessna Conquest 441 cell arrangement, configuration 5.**  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)







**Figure 3**  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)



**Figure 4**  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)



**Figure 5**  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)



**Figure 6**  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)





Figure 7  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)



**Figure 8**  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)





Figure 9  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)





**Figure 10**  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)






Figure 11  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)



**Figure 12**  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)



**Figure 13**  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure 14  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure 15  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Table 1

Configuration of electric system and electric demand per flight phase for the A340-300.

Condition	Operational Phase	Phase Time, min	Delivered Power, kVA	Electric Demand, kVAh
Ground operation (only APU)	Operation in platform	84	92	129.0
Ground operation (APU+GEN)	Taxi, Takeoff and Landing	20	125.3	42.0
Abnormal operation (failure of one generator)	Climb, Cruise and Approach	720	109.5	1,314.0
Ground operation (APU+GEN)	Taxi, Takeoff and Landing	20	125.3	42.0
Total electric power demanded				1,526.0



Table 2

Calculations performed regarding fuel consumption and fuel saved.

	Step	Without PV system	With PV system
Approach, Taxi, Takeoff and Climb	Total weight for the aircraft on the apron ( $t = 0$ )	$WT_{0,0} = EW + PL + FOB_{0,0}$	$WT_{PV,0} = EW_{pv} + PL_{pv} + FOB_{PV,0}$
	Aircraft empty weight and payload	$FL - PAX \cdot AWP$	$EW_{pv} = EW + M_{pv}$ , and $PL_{pv} = (PAX - \Delta PAX_{pv}) \cdot AWP$
	Fuel required for the mission	$FOB_{0,0}$	In this study it is assumed $\Delta PAX_{pv} = 0$ $FOB_{PV,0} = FOB_{0,0} - E_3 + Z$
	Fuel consumed to supply the electrical system		$E_3 = s_f \cdot (Epc_e - Epc_{pv}) \cdot T_{min} \cdot \Delta t_{pv}$ Where, $Epc_{pv} = 2\% \cdot Epc_e \cdot X_{pv}$
	Fuel on board at the start of the cruise phase	$FOB_{0,c} = FOB_{0,0} - Fco_{0,c} - Fco_{0,t} - Fco_{0,x}$	$FOB_{PV,c} = FOB_{PV,0} - Fco_{0,c} - Fco_{0,t} - Fco_{0,x} - s_f \cdot R_{TP} \cdot (WT_{PV,0} - W_{0,0}) \cdot Epc_{pv} \cdot \Delta t_{c}$
	Fuel consumed from the apron until start cruise	$Fco_{0,c} = FOB_{0,0} - FOB_{0,c}$	$Fco_{PV,c} = FOB_{PV,0} - FOB_{PV,c}$
Cruise	Total weight of the aircraft at start of the cruise phase	$W_{0,c} = W_{0,0} - Fco_{0,c}$	$WT_{PV,c} = WT_{PV,0} - Fco_{PV,c}$
	Fuel on board at stage $i$ of the cruise phase	$FOB_{0,i} = FOB_{0,c} - s_f \cdot R_{TP} \cdot W_{0,i} \cdot Epc_e \cdot \Delta t_i$	$FOB_{PV,i} = FOB_{PV,c} - s_f \cdot R_{TP} \cdot WT_{PV,i} \cdot Epc_{pv} \cdot \Delta t_i$
	Fuel consumed in the stage $i$ of the cruise phase	$Fco_{0,i} = FOB_{0,c} - FOB_{0,i}$	$Fco_{PV,i} = FOB_{PV,c} - FOB_{PV,i}$
	Total weight of the aircraft at stage $i$ of the cruise phase	$W_{0,i} = W_{0,c} - Fco_{0,i}$	$WT_{PV,i} = WT_{PV,c} - Fco_{PV,i}$
	Total weight of the aircraft at finishing the mission	$W_{0,f} = W_{0,0} - Fco_{0,f}$	$WT_{PV,f} = WT_{PV,0} - Fco_{PV,f}$
	Fuel consumed from start approach until parked on apron	$Fco_{0,f} = FOB_{0,0} - FOB_{0,f}$	$Fco_{PV,f} = FOB_{PV,0} - FOB_{PV,f}$
Approach, Landing, Taxi and Apron	Fuel on board at finishing mission	$FOB_{0,f} - FOB_{0,f} - Fco_{0,f}$	$FOB_{PV,f} - FOB_{PV,f} - Fco_{0,f} - s_f \cdot R_{TP} \cdot (WT_{PV,0} - W_{0,0}) \cdot Epc_{pv} \cdot \Delta t_{a,f}$
	<b>Total Fuel Saved</b>		$\sum (Fco_i - Fco_{PV,i})$

Where:  $EW$  is the empty weight of aircraft,  $PL$  is the Payload,  $FOB$  is the fuel on board,  $PAX$  is the number of passengers on board,  $AWP$  is the average passenger weight,  $\Delta PAX_{pv}$  is the penalization in passengers transported due to the installation of the PV system,  $Fco$  is the fuel consumption,  $s_f$  is specific fuel consumption,  $T$  is thrust of the aircraft power plants,  $Epc$  is Percentage of Engine Power in used Cruise.

$R_{TP}$  is Thrust to Weight ratio, given by  $T_{min} / MTOW$  and  $Z$  is the fuel adjustment at apron ( $t = 0$ ) to obtain the same amount of fuel reserve at the end of the flight for both configurations, and it is determined by an iterative calculation. According to the mission, it can take positive or negative values.

**Table 3**

Weight composition of the PV system and increased empty weight of the aircraft (A340-300).

Component	Configuration 1		Configuration 2	
	Mass, kg	PV system weight/EW, %	Mass, kg	PV system weight/EW, %
Solar Cells	794		1.794	
Battery	490		1.135	
Total Weight of System	1.284	1	2.93	2.3

Table 4

Cases analyzed for the Cessna Conquest 441, according to the PV system configuration, flight types and electrical demand.

Case	Cells Configuration†	Battery	Flight types	Flight hours per day	Electrical demand per flight, kWh	Observations
1	1	No	Flight with maximum payload, maximum takeoff weight and standard electrical demand‡	4.1	7.2	
2	1	Yes	Ditto cases 1	4.1	7.2	§
3	2	No	Maximum flight range with maximum takeoff weight and standard electrical demand**	8.5	14.9	
4	2	Yes	Ditto cases 3	8.5	14.9	§
5	3	Yes	24 hours of operation per day and standard electrical demand‡	24	44.3	¶
6	4	Yes	Ditto cases 5, plus system anti ice operating 24 h	24	75.2	**
7	5	Yes	Ditto cases 6, plus optional equipment operating 24 h	24	79.2	**

† See cells configuration in the Fig. 2.

‡ Without energy supplied to the anti-ice system, optional systems, abnormally loads.

§ When having energy storage capacity, two flights per day could be done in high radiation hours (summer) or flight in nighttime using the energy stored during the day (at the airport in low).

¶ Using the energy stored in the batteries to operate for 14 of the 24 h.

\*\* This case is cited to highlight the increase in electrical demand, to consider one configuration operative with additional loads.

**Table 5**

Weight composition of the PV system and increased empty weight of aircraft (Cessna Conquest 441).

<b>Case</b>	<b>Mass of cells, kg</b>	<b>Mass of batteries, kg</b>	<b>Total system mass, kg</b>	<b>PV system weight / EW, %</b>
1	9	n/a	9	0.3
2	9	24	33	1.3
3	17.5	n/a	17.5	0.7
4	17.5	46.5	64	2.5
5	52	86	138	5.4