Spectrum and normal modes of non-hermitian quadratic boson operators
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We analyze the spectrum and normal mode representation of general quadratic bosonic forms \( H \) not necessarily hermitian. It is shown that in the one-dimensional case such forms exhibit either an harmonic regime where both \( H \) and \( H^\dagger \) have a discrete spectrum with biorthogonal eigenstates, and a coherent-like regime where either \( H \) or \( H^\dagger \) have a continuous complex two-fold degenerate spectrum, while its adjoint has no convergent eigenstates. These regimes reflect the nature of the pertinent normal boson operators. Non-diagonalizable cases as well critical boundary sectors separating these regimes are also analyzed. The extension to \( N \)-dimensional quadratic systems is as well discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

The introduction of parity-time (\( \mathcal{PT} \))-symmetric Quantum Mechanics [1,2] has significantly enhanced the interest in non-hermitian Hamiltonians. When possessing \( \mathcal{PT} \) symmetry, such Hamiltonians can still exhibit a real spectrum if the symmetry is unbroken in all eigenstates, undergoing a transition to a regime with complex eigenvalues when the symmetry becomes broken [1,2]. A generalization based on the concept of pseudohermiticity was then developed [3,4], which provides a complete characterization of diagonalizable Hamiltonians with real discrete spectrum and is equivalent to the presence of an antilinear symmetry. A similar approach had been already put forward in [5] in connection with the non-hermitian bosonization of angular-momentum and fermion operators introduced by Dyson [6,8]. An equivalent formulation of the general formalism based on biorthogonal states can also be made [4,8,10].

Non-hermitian Hamiltonians were first introduced as effective Hamiltonians for describing open quantum systems [11]. Non-hermitian Hamiltonians with \( \mathcal{PT} \) symmetry have recently provided successful effective descriptions of diverse systems and processes, specially in open regimes with balanced gain and loss. Examples are laser absorbers [12], ultralow threshold phonon lasers [13], defect states and special beam dynamics in optical lattices [14] and other related optical systems [15,16]. \( \mathcal{PT} \)-symmetric properties have been also observed and investigated in simulations of quantum circuits based on nuclear magnetic resonance [17], superconductivity experiments [18,19], microwave cavities [20], Bose-Einstein condensates [21], spin systems [22], and vacuum fluctuations [23]. Evolution under time-dependent non-hermitian Hamiltonians has also been discussed in [24,25].

Of particular interest are non-hermitian Hamiltonians which are quadratic in coordinates and momenta, or equivalently, boson creation and annihilation operators. They include the so-called Swanson models [24,27], based on one-dimensional \( \mathcal{PT} \)-symmetric Hamiltonians with real spectra, which have been examined and extended in different ways [24,31]. Effective quadratic non-hermitian Hamiltonians have also arisen in the description of LRC circuits with balanced gain and loss [32], coupled optical resonators [33], optical trimers [34] and the interpretation of the electromagnetic self-force [35].

The aim of this article is to examine the normal modes, spectrum and eigenstates of general, not necessarily hermitian, quadratic bosonic forms in greater detail, extending the methodology of [36,37] to the present general situation. Such quadratic forms can represent basic systems like a harmonic oscillator with a discrete spectrum, a free particle Hamiltonian with a continuous real spectrum, the square of an annihilation operator, in which case it has a continuous complex spectrum with coherent states [38] as eigenvectors, and the square of a creation operator, in which case it has no convergent eigenstates. We will here show that a general quadratic one-dimensional form belongs essentially to one of these previous categories, as determined by the nature of the normal boson operators, i.e., as whether one, both or none of them possesses a convergent vacuum. Explicit expressions for eigenstates are provided, together with an analysis of boundary and “nondiagonalizable” regimes. The extension to \( N \)-dimensional quadratic systems is then also discussed.

II. THE ONE-DIMENSIONAL CASE

A. Normal mode representation

We consider a general quadratic form in standard boson creation and annihilation operators \( a, a^\dagger \) \( ([a, a^\dagger] = 1) \),

\[
H = A \left( a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} (B_+ a^\dagger a^2 + B_- a^2) \quad (1)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} (a^\dagger a) \mathcal{H} \left( \frac{a}{a^\dagger} \right), \quad \mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B_+ \\ B_- & A \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)
\]

where \( A \) and \( B_\pm \) are in principle arbitrary complex numbers. By extracting a global phase we can always as-
sume, nonetheless, if and only if $A$ is hermitian and positive definite ($|B| < A$), such that $H$ represents a stable bosonic mode, we can always choose $u, v, \bar{u}$ and $\bar{v}$ such that $b^\dagger = b^\dagger$. This choice is no longer feasible in the general case.

The transformation can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} b \\ b^\dagger \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{W} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ a^\dagger \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{W} = \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ \bar{v}^* & \bar{u}^* \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\mathcal{W}$ satisfying $\text{Det} \mathcal{W} = 1$. We can then rewrite $H$ as

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} b \\ b^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{H} \begin{pmatrix} b \\ b^\dagger \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{M} \mathcal{W} H \mathcal{W}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} A' & B'_+ \\ B'_- & A' \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A' = A(uu^* + vv^*) - B_+ uv^* - B_- \bar{u}^* v, B'_+ = B_+ v^2 + B_- u^2 - 2Au v, B'_- = B_- \bar{u}^* + B_+ \bar{v}^* - 2A\bar{u}^* \bar{v}^*$ and

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is then seen from Eq. (9) that a diagonal $\mathcal{H}' (B'_+ = 0, A = \lambda)$ and hence a diagonal representation can be obtained if and only if i) the matrix

$$\mathcal{M} \mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B_+ \\ -B_- & -A \end{pmatrix},$$

whose eigenvalues are $\pm \lambda$ with

$$\lambda = \sqrt{A^2 - B_+ B_-},$$

is diagonalizable, i.e. $\lambda \neq 0$ if $\text{rank}(\mathcal{H}) > 0$, and ii) $\mathcal{W}^{-1}$ is a matrix with unit determinant diagonalizing $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{H}$, such that $\mathcal{W} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{H} \mathcal{W}^{-1} = \lambda \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{H}' = \lambda \mathcal{I}$. For instance, assuming $\lambda \neq 0$, we can set

$$u = \bar{u}^*, \quad v = \sqrt{\frac{A+\lambda}{2\lambda}}, \quad \bar{v}^* = \sqrt{\frac{A-\lambda}{2\lambda}}, \quad \bar{u}^* = \sqrt{\frac{B_-}{2\lambda}} \quad \bar{v}^* = \sqrt{\frac{B_+}{2\lambda}},$$

where signs of $v, \bar{v}^*$ are such that $2\lambda u \bar{u}^* = B_-, 2\lambda \bar{u}^* v = B_+$. Any further rescaling $b \to \alpha b, \bar{b}^\dagger \to \alpha^{-1} \bar{b}^\dagger, \alpha \neq 0$, remains feasible, since it will not affect their commutator nor $\mathcal{H}'$, although the choice directly leads to $\bar{b} = \bar{b}^\dagger$ when $\mathcal{H}$ is hermitian and positive definite (in which case $0 < \lambda \leq A$). Eqs. (13) remain also valid for $B_+ \to 0$ or $B_- \to 0$, in which case $\lambda \to A$, $u = \bar{u}^* \to 1$ and $(v, \bar{v}^*) \to (0, \sqrt{\frac{B_-}{2\lambda}})$. If no further conditions are imposed on $b, \bar{b}^\dagger$, the sign chosen for $\lambda$ is irrelevant, since $\mathcal{H}$ can be rewritten as $-\lambda (\bar{b} \bar{b}^\dagger + \frac{1}{2})$ for $\bar{b}^\dagger = -b, \bar{b}^\dagger = b^\dagger$ (also satisfying $[\bar{v}, \bar{b}^\dagger] = 1$). The sign can be fixed by imposing the condition that $b$ (rather than $\bar{b}^\dagger$) has a proper vacuum, as discussed in the next section, in which case the right choice for $A \geq 0$ is $\text{Re}(\lambda) \geq 0$.

The normal boson operators $b, \bar{b}^\dagger$ satisfying are then those diagonalizing this semialgebra:

$$[H, b] = -\lambda b, \quad [H, \bar{b}^\dagger] = \lambda \bar{b}^\dagger.$$

Therefore, if $|\alpha\rangle$ is an eigenvector of $H$ with energy $E_\alpha$,

$$H|\alpha\rangle = E_\alpha |\alpha\rangle,$$

then $b|\alpha\rangle$ and $\bar{b}^\dagger|\alpha\rangle$ are, respectively, eigenvectors with eigenvalues $E_\alpha \pm \lambda$, provided $b|\alpha\rangle$ and $\bar{b}^\dagger|\alpha\rangle$ are non zero:

$$H b|\alpha\rangle = (b^\dagger H + \lambda b^\dagger)|\alpha\rangle = (E_\alpha + \lambda) b|\alpha\rangle,$$

$$H \bar{b}^\dagger|\alpha\rangle = (b H - \lambda \bar{b}^\dagger)|\alpha\rangle = (E_\alpha - \lambda) b|\alpha\rangle.$$

As in the standard case, these operators then allow one to move along the spectrum, even if it is continuous, as discussed in sec.

The case where $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{H}$ is nondiagonalizable corresponds here to $\mathcal{H}$ of rank 1, and hence to an operator $H$ which is just the square of a linear combination of $a$ and $a^\dagger$:

$$H_{nd} = (\sqrt{B_-} a \pm \sqrt{B_+} a^\dagger)^2 / 2.$$

Such $H$ leads to $A = \pm \sqrt{B_- B_+}$ and $\lambda = 0$. This case, which includes the free particle case $H \propto P^2$, will be discussed in sec.
B. The harmonic case

Let $|0_a\rangle$ be the vacuum of $a$, $a^\dagger |0_a\rangle = 0$, and let us assume a vacuum $|0_b\rangle$ exists such that $b^\dagger |0_b\rangle = 0$. Then, $|0_b\rangle$ is necessarily a gaussian state of the form 
\[
|0_b\rangle \propto \exp\left(-\frac{\nu}{2u} z^2\right) |0_a\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{\nu}{2u}\right)^n \frac{(2n)!}{n!} |2n_a\rangle .
\]
Recalling that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{z^{2n}}{(n!)^2}$ converges to \(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-z^2}}\) if $|z| \leq 1$ and $z \neq 1$, we see that $|0_b\rangle$ has a finite standard norm $(0_b|0_b)$ only if $|\nu| < |u|$, implying
\[
\frac{|B_+|}{|B_-|} < \frac{|A + \lambda|}{|A - \lambda|}.
\]
Eq. (21) imposes an upper bound on $|B_+/B_-|$ for given values of $A$ and $B_+/B_-$. Similarly, assuming a vacuum $|0_b\rangle$ exists such that $\bar{b}|0_b\rangle = 0$, then
\[
|0_b\rangle \propto \exp\left(-\frac{\bar{\nu}}{2\bar{u}} z^2\right) |0_a\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{\bar{\nu}}{2\bar{u}}\right)^n \frac{(2n)!}{n!} |2n_a\rangle,
\]
with $(0_b|0_b)$ convergent only if $|\bar{\nu}| < |\bar{u}|$, i.e.,
\[
\frac{|B_-|}{|B_+|} < \frac{|A + \lambda|}{|A - \lambda|}.
\]
Eqs. (24)–(26) determine a common convergence window
\[
\frac{|A - \lambda|}{|A + \lambda|} < \frac{|B_+|}{|B_-|} < \frac{|A + \lambda|}{|A - \lambda|},
\]
equivalent to $|A - \lambda| < |B_+| < |A + \lambda|$, within which both $|0_b\rangle$ and $|0_a\rangle$ are well defined. For $A \geq 0$, such window can exist only if $A > 0$ and $\text{Re}(\lambda) > 0$, which justifies our previous sign choice of $\lambda$. This window corresponds to region I in Figs. 1C.

On the other hand, their overlap $(0_b|0_b)$ converges iff
\[
\frac{\nu \bar{\nu}^*}{u \bar{u}^*} = \frac{|A - \lambda|}{|A + \lambda|} \leq 1,
\]
and $\nu \bar{\nu}^* \neq u \bar{u}^*$, but these conditions are always satisfied due to Eq. (6) and the choice $\text{Re}(\lambda) \geq 0$ (for $A \geq 0$). In particular, if Eq. (24) holds, Eq. (26) is always fulfilled.

It is now natural to define, for $m,n \in \mathbb{N}$, the states
\[
|m_b\rangle = \left(\frac{\bar{b}}{\sqrt{m!}}\right)^n |0_b\rangle, \quad |m_b\rangle = \left(\frac{b}{\sqrt{n!}}\right)^m |0_b\rangle,
\]
which, since $[\bar{b}^\dagger b, \bar{b}^\dagger b] = \bar{b}^\dagger$ and $[b^\dagger \bar{b}, b^\dagger \bar{b}] = b^\dagger$, satisfy
\[
\bar{b}^\dagger |m_b\rangle = n|n_b\rangle, \quad b^\dagger \bar{b} |m_b\rangle = m|m_b\rangle,
\]
with
\[
\langle m_b | m_b \rangle = \delta_{mn} \langle 0_b | 0_b \rangle,
\]

implying that $\{|m_b\rangle\}$ and $\{|n_b\rangle\}$ form a biorthogonal set. Adding “normalization” factors $u^{-1/2}$ and $\bar{u}^{-1/2}$ in $|\bar{b}\rangle^\dagger = C^{-1}|\bar{b}\rangle + (\bar{u} - u\nu)^* |\bar{b}\rangle$, with $C = |u|^2 - |v|^2 = |b|^2 - |b|^2$, the $|m_b\rangle$ are linear combinations of standard Fock states $|\bar{b}\rangle^\dagger |0_b\rangle$ with $k = n, n - 2 \ldots$. Similar considerations hold for the $|m_b\rangle$.

We can then write, in agreement with Eqs. (17)–(18),
\[
H |n_b\rangle = \lambda \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) |n_b\rangle, \quad (29)
\]
and also,
\[
H^\dagger |m_b\rangle = \lambda^* \left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right) |m_b\rangle, \quad (30)
\]
where $H^\dagger = \lambda^* (b\bar{b} + \frac{1}{2})$. Hence, in the interval $[24]$ there is a lower-bounded discrete spectrum of both $H$ and $H^\dagger$, as corroborated in section 111.

This discrete spectrum will be proportional to $\lambda$. Assuming $A$ real, $\lambda$ is real and nonzero if $B_+ B_- < A^2$, satisfies
\[
B_+ B_- < A^2, \quad (31)
\]
For equal phases of $B_\pm$, it then comprises two cases:

i) $B_\pm$ real $(\theta = 0, \pi)$ satisfying (34), in which case $\lambda = \sqrt{A^2 - |B_+ B_-|} < A$ and $u, v, \bar{v}, \bar{u}$ in Eq. (13) are real. Here $H$ is invariant under time reversal, since $T \mathcal{A}^\dagger T = a^\dagger T a = a$. This is the Swanson case 24.ii) $B_\pm$ imaginary $(\theta = \pm \pi/2)$, in which case $\lambda = \sqrt{A^2 + |B_+ B_-|} > A$, with $u$ real and $v, \bar{v}, \bar{u}$ imaginary. Here $H$ has the antiunitary (or generalized $\mathcal{P}T$) symmetry [11, 13] $U_T$, with $U$ the phase transformation $(a, a^\dagger) \rightarrow (-ia, ia^\dagger)$.

For $\lambda$ real, Eq. (24) implies $|B_+ + B_-| < 2A$ in case i) and $|B_+ - B_-| < 2A$ in case ii), which can be summarized, for any case with real $\lambda$, as
\[
|B_+ + B_-| < 2A, \quad (32)
\]
Eq. (32) is equivalent to $\mathcal{H} + \mathcal{H}^\dagger$ positive definite, i.e.,
\[
\mathcal{H} + \mathcal{H}^\dagger > 0, \quad (33)
\]
such that $\text{Re}[Z^\dagger \mathcal{H} Z] > 0 \forall Z = (z_1, z_2)^T \neq 0$. Therefore, both $H$ and $H^\dagger$ will exhibit a discrete real positive spectrum iff Eq. (33) holds. Eq. (32) then leads to region I in Fig. 1 i.e., the stripe $|B_+ + B_-| \leq 2A$ when $B_\pm$ are real.

On the other hand, when $\lambda$ is complex the spectrum of $H$ can be made real just by multiplying $H$ by a phase $\lambda^* / |\lambda|$, as seen from (29). The ensuing operator $H'$ has the antiunitary symmetry $U_T$, with $U$ the Bogoliubov transformation $(a, a^\dagger) \rightarrow U(a) U^{-1} = (\mathcal{W}^*)^{-1} \mathcal{W}(a^\dagger)$. For complex $\lambda$, the stable sector adopts the form depicted in Fig. 1 (sector I). For a common phase $\theta = 0$ ($B_\pm$ real
FIG. 1. Regions of distinct spectrum for the operator (1) in the case of $B_\pm$ real (and $A > 0$). I denotes the region with discrete positive spectrum (Eq. (46)), II that with continuous complex twofold degenerate spectrum (Eq. (40)) and III that with no convergent eigenfunctions (Eq. (49)). The dashed curves depict the set of points where $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{H}$ is nondiagonalizable. The hermitian case corresponds to the line $B_- = B_+$. For intermediate phases the stable region is essentially the union of the previous triangle with a narrower stripe, asymptotically delimited by the lines $|B_+| - |B_-| = 2A\sin \theta$ for $|B_\pm| \gg A$. A similar type of diagram for a non-quadratic system was provided in [6].

C. The coordinate representation

We now turn to the representation of $H$ and its eigenstates in terms of coordinate and momentum operators

$$Q = \frac{a + a^\dagger}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad P = \frac{a - a^\dagger}{\sqrt{2}},$$

(34)
satisfying $[Q, P] = i$. The Hamiltonian (2) becomes

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \hat{A}_- P^2 + \hat{A}_+ Q^2 + \hat{B} (QP + PQ) \right]$$

(35)

$$= (Q \ P) \hat{\mathcal{H}} \left( \begin{array}{c} Q \\ P \end{array} \right), \quad \hat{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{S}^1\mathcal{H}\mathcal{S} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \hat{A}_+ & \hat{B} \\ \hat{B} & \hat{A}_- \end{array} \right).$$

(36)

where $\mathcal{S} = (i \ 1)/\sqrt{2}$ and

$$\hat{A}_\pm = A \pm \frac{B_+ + B_-}{2}, \quad \hat{B} = \frac{B_+ - B_-}{2i}.$$  

(37)

The hermitian case corresponds to $\hat{A}_\pm$ and $\hat{B}$ real, while the generalized discrete positive spectrum case [3] to $\hat{\mathcal{H}} + \mathcal{H}^\dagger > 0$. Thus, for $B_\pm$ real the border $|B_+ + B_-| = 2A$ corresponds to $\hat{A}_- = 0$ or $\hat{A}_+ = 0$, i.e. infinite mass or no quadratic potential, while for $B_\pm$ imaginary to $|\hat{B}| = A$.

The diagonal form (36) can then be rewritten as

$$H = \frac{\lambda}{2} (P'^2 + Q'^2),$$

(38)

where $Q' = \frac{b + \bar{b}^\dagger}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $P' = \frac{b - \bar{b}^\dagger}{\sqrt{2}}$ satisfy $[Q', P'] = i$ but are in general no longer hermitian. They are related to $Q, P$ through a general canonical transformation

$$\left( \begin{array}{c} Q' \\ P' \end{array} \right) = \hat{\mathcal{W}} \left( \begin{array}{c} Q \\ P \end{array} \right), \quad \hat{\mathcal{W}} = \mathcal{S}^1\mathcal{H}\mathcal{S} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} a^{\dagger} + \beta^* & -\alpha^{\dagger} + \bar{\beta}^* \\ \alpha + \beta^* & \bar{\beta}^{\dagger} + \alpha^* \end{array} \right),$$

(39)

where $(\beta^*)^\dagger = u \pm v$, $(\bar{\beta})^\dagger = \bar{u} \pm \bar{v}$ and $\text{Det}(\hat{\mathcal{W}}) = 1$. Here $\lambda$ can be expressed as

$$\lambda = \sqrt{\hat{A}_- \hat{A}_+ - \hat{B}^2},$$

(40)

with $\pm \lambda$ the eigenvalues of $\hat{\mathcal{M}}\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{S}^1\mathcal{M}\mathcal{H}\mathcal{S}$.

Setting $Q(x) = x |x\rangle$, the coordinate representations $\psi_0^b(x) = \langle x | 0_b \rangle$, $\psi_0^b(x) = \langle x | 0_i \rangle$ of the vacua can be found from Eqs. (29) and (21). They can also be derived by solving the corresponding differential equations $\langle x | b \rangle |0_b \rangle = 0$, $\langle x | \bar{b} \rangle |0_b \rangle = 0$, i.e.,

$$[\alpha x + \beta \partial_x] \psi_0^b(x) = 0, \quad [\bar{\alpha} x + \bar{\beta} \partial_x] \psi_0^b(x) = 0,$$

(41)
and read
\[ \psi_0^b(x) \propto \exp \left[ -\frac{\alpha}{2\beta} x^2 \right], \quad \psi_0^b(x) \propto \exp \left[ -\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{2\beta} x^2 \right]. \tag{42} \]

Since \( \text{Re}[z_1 \bar{z}_2] = \frac{|z_1|^2 - |z_2|^2}{|z_1 + z_2|^2} \) \( \forall \ z_1 \neq z_2 \in \mathbb{C} \), it is verified that they have finite standard norms iff \( |v| < |u| \) and \( |v| < |\bar{u}| \). The wave functions of the excited states \( |n_b\rangle \) and \( |m_b\rangle \) can be similarly obtained by applying \( \bar{b}^\dagger \) and \( \bar{b}^\dagger \) to the functions \( |1\rangle \), according to Eq. \( \text{(26)} \):
\begin{align*}
\psi_n^b(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{\beta^*}{2\beta}} \right]^n H_n \left( \frac{x}{\gamma} \right) \psi_0^b(x), \tag{43} \\
\psi_m^b(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{m!}} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{\beta^*}{2\beta}} \right]^m H_m \left( \frac{x}{\gamma^*} \right) \psi_0^b(x), \tag{44}
\end{align*}

where \( \gamma = \sqrt{\beta^*\beta} \) and \( H_n(x) \) is the Hermite polynomial of degree \( n \). These functions satisfy the biorthogonality relation \( \text{(28)} \), i.e., \( \int_\infty^- \psi_m^b(x) \psi_n^b(x) dx = \delta_{mn} \langle 0_b | 0_b \rangle \), with \( \langle 0_b | 0_b \rangle = 1 \) if normalization factors \( \left( \sqrt{\pi \beta} \right)^{-1/2} \) and \( \left( \sqrt{\pi \beta^*} \right)^{-1/2} \) are added in \( \text{(26)} \). They are verified to be the finite norm solutions to the Schrödinger equations associated with \( H \) and \( H^\dagger \) respectively. In the case of \( \psi_n^b(x) \), the latter reads
\[ -\frac{1}{2} \hat{A}_-\psi'' - \hat{B} \left[ x\psi' + \frac{\psi}{2} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \hat{A}_+ x^2 \psi = E \psi, \tag{45} \]
with \( E = \lambda(n + 1/2) \), while in the case of \( \psi_m^b(x) \), \( \hat{A}_\pm \), \( \hat{B} \) are to be replaced by \( \hat{A}_\pm^* \) and \( \hat{B}^* \), with \( E = \lambda^*(m + 1/2) \).

D. The case of continuous spectrum

If \( |v| < |u| \) but \( |\bar{v}| < |\bar{u}| \), the vacuum \( |0_b\rangle \) of \( \bar{b} \) is no longer well defined, since the coefficients of its expansion in the states \( |n_b\rangle \), Eq. \( \text{(29)} \), become increasingly large for large \( n \), and the associated eigenfunction \( \psi_0^b(x) \), Eq. \( \text{(12)} \), becomes divergent. This situation occurs whenever
\[ \frac{|B_+|}{|B_-|} < \frac{|A - \lambda|}{|A + \lambda|}. \tag{46} \]

i.e. below the window \( \text{(24)} \), and corresponds to regions \( \Pi \) in Figs. \( \Pi \) and \( \Pi \). The same occurs with the excited states \( |n_b\rangle \) defined in Eq. \( \text{(26)} \).

Instead, it is now the operator \( \bar{b}^\dagger \) which has a convergent vacuum, namely
\[ |0_{\bar{b}}\rangle \propto \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left( -\frac{\bar{u}^*}{2\nu} \right)^n \frac{\sqrt{2n!}}{n!} |2n_\nu\rangle, \tag{47} \]
satisfying \( \bar{b}^\dagger |0_{\bar{b}}\rangle = 0 \). Since we can write \( H \) as
\[ H = -\lambda [(-b)\bar{b}^\dagger + 1/2], \tag{48} \]
it becomes clear that \( H |0_{b\nu}\rangle = -\lambda/2 |0_{b\nu}\rangle \). Moreover, due to the commutation relation \( [\bar{b}^\dagger, -\bar{b}] = 1 \), we may as well consider \( -\bar{b} \) as a creation operator and \( \bar{b}^\dagger \) as an annihilation operator, and define the states
\[ |n_{\bar{b}}\rangle = \frac{(-\bar{b})^n |0_{b\nu}\rangle}{\sqrt{n!}}, \tag{49} \]
which then satisfy \( -\bar{b}\bar{b}^\dagger |n_{\bar{b}}\rangle = n |n_{\bar{b}}\rangle \), and hence
\[ H |n_{\bar{b}}\rangle = -\lambda (n + 1/2) |n_{\bar{b}}\rangle. \tag{50} \]

Since the previous states \( |0_b\rangle \) and \( |n_b\rangle \) remain convergent, and Eq. \( \text{(29)} \) still holds, it is seen that \( H \) possesses in this case two sets of discrete eigenstates constructed from the vacua of \( \bar{b} \) and \( b^\dagger \), with opposite energies. The wave functions of the “negative” band are given by
\begin{align*}
\psi_0^{\bar{b}^\dagger}(x) &\propto \exp \left[ \frac{\alpha^*}{2\beta^*} x^2 \right], \\
\psi_n^{\bar{b}^\dagger}(x) &\propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{2\beta^*}} \right]^n H_n \left( \frac{ix}{\gamma} \right) \psi_0^{\bar{b}^\dagger}(x), \tag{51}
\end{align*}
which are convergent since now \( \text{Re}(\alpha^*/\beta^*) < 0 \).

However, these eigenvalues do not exhaust, remarkably, the entire spectrum. The Schrödinger equation \( \text{(45)} \) has in the present case two linearly independent bound states \( |n_{\bar{b}}\rangle \) and \( |\nu_{\bar{b}}\rangle \), for any complex energy
\[ E_\nu = \lambda \left( \nu + \frac{1}{2} \right), \tag{52} \]
with \( \nu \in \mathbb{C} \). As demonstrated in the appendix, the associated eigenfunctions \( \psi_0^{\bar{b}^\dagger}(x) = \langle x | n_{\bar{b}} \rangle \) and \( \psi_\nu^{\bar{b}^\dagger}(x) = \langle x | \nu_{\bar{b}} \rangle \) are given explicitly by:
\begin{align*}
\psi_\nu^{\bar{b}^\dagger}(x) &= \Xi(\nu) \left( \sqrt{\frac{\beta^*}{2\beta}} \right)^n \exp \left( -\frac{i\hat{B} + \lambda}{2A_-} x^2 \right) \left[ H_\nu \left( \frac{x}{\gamma} \right) + (-1)^n H_\nu \left( \frac{ix}{\gamma} \right) \right], \tag{53} \\
\psi_\nu^{\bar{b}^\dagger}(x) &= \Xi(\nu) \left( \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{2\beta^*}} \right)^n \exp \left( -\frac{i\hat{B} - \lambda}{2A_-} x^2 \right) \left[ H_\nu \left( \frac{ix}{\gamma} \right) + (-1)^n H_\nu \left( -\frac{ix}{\gamma} \right) \right], \tag{54}
\end{align*}
where \( n = \lfloor \mathrm{Re}(\nu) \rfloor \), with \( \lfloor x \rfloor \) the greatest integer lower than \( x \) (floor function), and

\[
\Xi(\nu) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{[\nu - 1]!} & \nu = -1, -2, \ldots \\ \sqrt{\nu + 1} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]  

(55)

For integer \( \nu \geq 0 \), these functions are proportional to the previous expressions (53) and (51). For general \( \nu \in \mathbb{C} \), they satisfy

\[
H|\nu_b\rangle = \lambda \left( \nu + \frac{1}{2} \right) |\nu_b\rangle, \\
H|\nu_f\rangle = -\lambda \left( \nu + \frac{1}{2} \right) |\nu_f\rangle,
\]

(56)

(57)

with

\[
|\nu_b\rangle \propto \sqrt{\nu} |\nu - 1\rangle, \\
\bar{b}^\dagger |\nu_b\rangle \propto \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sqrt{\nu + 1} |\nu + 1\rangle & (\nu \neq -1) \\ |0\rangle & (\nu = -1) \end{array} \right., \]

(58)

\[
|\nu_f\rangle \propto \sqrt{\nu} |\nu - 1\rangle, \\
(-b)|\nu_f\rangle \propto \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sqrt{\nu + 1} |\nu + 1\rangle & (\nu \neq -1) \\ |0\rangle & (\nu = -1) \end{array} \right.,
\]

(59)

where the proportionality constant is a phase factor. Expressions (50)–(55) are in agreement with Eqs. (44)–(48). They are valid in this region for both real or complex \( \lambda \).

Note that if \( \bar{b}^\dagger \ket{-1b} \) would vanish, then \( \bra{-1b} \) would be proportional to \( |0\rangle \), which is not the case. A similar argument holds for \( b \ket{-1b} \). It is also verified that in the case of discrete spectrum (region I), such state \( \bra{-1b} \) does not exist, i.e., the solution of the first order differential equation \( \langle x | \bar{b}^\dagger | -1b \rangle = \langle x | 0 \rangle \) is divergent. In addition, we remark that Eqs. (43) and (47) are always linearly independent solutions of the Schrödinger equation (42), but in region I the function (51) is always divergent whereas (53) is divergent except for \( \nu = n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \).

E. The case of no convergent eigenstates

If now \( |\bar{v}|/u < 1 \) but \( |v/u| > 1 \), i.e.,

\[
|B_+| > |A + \lambda|, \\
|B_-| > |A - \lambda|,
\]

(60)

neither \( b \) nor \( \bar{b} \) have a convergent vacuum, so that the eigenstates \( |\nu_b\rangle \) and \( |\nu_f\rangle \) of Sec. II are not well defined. In fact, Eqs. (43) and (47) become divergent for any \( \nu \), so that \( H \) has no convergent eigenfunctions for any value of \( E \). This case corresponds to regions III in Figs. I and II.

On the other hand, it is the operator \( \bar{b}^\dagger \) which now has a well defined vacuum \( |0\rangle \), in addition to \( \bar{b} \), which preserves its vacuum \( |0\rangle \). Therefore, one can define the states \( |\nu_b\rangle \) and \( |\nu_f\rangle \) in the same way as the treatment of previous section, and also \( |\nu_b\rangle \) and \( |\nu_f\rangle \) for any \( \nu \in \mathbb{C} \), which will be eigenstates of \( H^\dagger \). Hence, in this case \( H^\dagger \), rather than \( H \), has two linearly independent bounded eigenfunctions for every complex value of \( E \). In contrast, in II \( H^\dagger \) has no bounded eigenstate.

F. Non diagonalizable case

The matrix \( MH \) becomes non diagonalizable when \( \lambda = 0 \), i.e. \( \text{rank} H = 1 \). This case occurs whenever \( B_+ B_- = A^2 \) and corresponds to the dashed curve in Fig. 1 which lies in regions II and III. The operator \( H \) takes here the single square form (49).

We first analyze the sector lying in region II. In the limit \( B_+ \rightarrow 0 \), with \( B_- = A^2 / B_+ \rightarrow \infty \), \( H \) becomes proportional to \( a^2 \). Its eigenstates then become the well known coherent states

\[
|\alpha_a\rangle \propto \exp(\alpha a^\dagger) |0_a\rangle,
\]

(61)

satisfying \( a|\alpha_a\rangle = \alpha |\alpha_a\rangle \), \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \), with \( 2B_- H |\pm \alpha_a\rangle \rightarrow \alpha^2 |\pm \alpha_a\rangle \). This implies a continuous two-fold degenerate spectrum, as in the rest of region II. The spectrum of \( H \) in II is then similar to that of \( a^2 \), reflecting the fact that here both \( b \) and \( \bar{b}^\dagger \) have a convergent vacuum and are then annihilation operators.

In fact, for \( \lambda \rightarrow 0 \) and \( A > 0 \), the operators \( b \) and \( \bar{b}^\dagger \) of Eq. (41) become proportional, i.e. \( \bar{b}^\dagger \rightarrow \sqrt{B_- / B_+} b \), such that \( H \propto b^2 \) at leading order. At the curve \( \lambda = 0 \) and within region II, \( H \) takes the exact form

\[
H = \frac{|B_-| - |B_+|}{2} \bar{b}^2, \\
\bar{b} = \sqrt{B_- a + \sqrt{B_- a^2}},
\]

(62)

where \( \bar{b} \) fulfills \( \bar{b}^\dagger \bar{b} = 1 \) and has a convergent vacuum \( |0_b\rangle \) since here \( B_+ < B_- \). It then represents a proper annihilation operator. The eigenstates of \( H \) become its coherent states \( |\alpha_{\bar{b}}\rangle \propto \exp(\alpha \bar{b}^\dagger) |0_b\rangle \) satisfying \( \bar{b} |\alpha_{\bar{b}}\rangle = \alpha |\alpha_{\bar{b}}\rangle \), such that

\[
H |\pm \alpha_{\bar{b}}\rangle = \frac{|B_-| - |B_+|}{2} \alpha^2 |\pm \alpha_{\bar{b}}\rangle,
\]

(63)

with \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \). The spectrum is then complex continuous and two-fold degenerate, as in the rest of sector II. The eigenfunctions become

\[
\psi_\alpha(x) = \langle x | \alpha_{\bar{b}} \rangle \propto e^{\mp i \frac{\sqrt{B_- + b} - \sqrt{B_- - b}}{\sqrt{B_- + b} + \sqrt{B_- - b}} \left( x - 2 \alpha \frac{\sqrt{B_- + b} - \sqrt{B_- - b}}{\sqrt{B_- + b} + \sqrt{B_- - b}} \right)^2},
\]

(64)

On the other hand, in region III, \( |B_+| > |B_-| \) and along the curve \( \lambda = 0 \) we have instead

\[
H = \frac{|B_-| - |B_+|}{2} \bar{b}^2, \\
\bar{b}^\dagger = \sqrt{B_- a + \sqrt{B_- a^2}},
\]

(65)

with \( \bar{b}^\dagger \) a proper creation operator satisfying \( \bar{b} \bar{b}^\dagger = 1 \) and having no bounded vacuum. Hence, here \( H \) has no bounded eigenstates while \( H^\dagger \) has a continuous complex spectrum.

Finally, in the hermitian limit \( |B_+| = |B_-| = A \), i.e. when the curve \( \lambda = 0 \) crosses the border between II and III, \( H \rightarrow \frac{A}{2}(e^{-i\phi} a + e^{i\phi} a^\dagger)^2 \), becoming proportional to \( a^2 \) (or equivalently, to \( P^2 \) if \( \phi = \pi/2 \)). It then possesses a continuous two-fold degenerate nonnegative real spectrum, although with non normalizable eigenstates (\( |x\rangle \) or
A solution given by convergent, while dual states finite biorthogonal norms. However, the dual states undergoes an annihilation → creation transition, loosing its bounded vacuum and becoming at the crossing a coordinate or momentum operator at the crossing with the Hermitian case.

G. Intermediate regions

We finally discuss the border between regions I and II or III. These intermediate lines have either [v/u] = 1 or [v/u] = 1. When crossing from I to II (III), \( \hat{b} \) \( (b) \) undergoes an annihilation → creation transition, becoming at the crossing a coordinate or momentum.

As can be verified from Eqs. \( 53 \) and \( 54 \) when \( \lambda \neq 0 \), at the border between I and II \( H \) has still a discrete spectrum and satisfies Eq. \( 29 \), since \( 53 \) remains convergent just for \( n = 0 \). On the other hand, \( 54 \) has no longer a finite norm since \( (iB - \lambda)/(2A) \) is an imaginary number. However, the dual states \( |0_k \rangle \) and \( |n_k \rangle \), while also lacking a finite norm \( (n_k | n_k) \), still have finite biorthogonal norms \( (n_k | n_k) \), fulfilling Eq. \( 28 \). In contrast, at the border I-III \( H \) ceases to have convergent eigenfunctions for any value of \( \nu \), since \( |n_k \rangle \) stops being convergent, while dual states \( |n_k \rangle \) remain convergent.

When \( \lambda = 0 \), which corresponds to the case \( B_+ = 0 \) and \( B_+ + B_- = 2A \) (the border between I and regions II-III in Fig. 1), we have \( \hat{v} = \hat{u} \). In this case, and for \( A \neq B_- \), Eq. \( 13 \) becomes of first order and has a unique solution given by

\[
\psi^b_{\nu}(x) \propto e^{-\frac{\mu - \nu^2}{2} x^2} x^\nu, \tag{66}
\]

where we have set \( E = \lambda(\nu + 1/2) \), with \( \lambda = B_- - A \), along this line. Hence, at the border with region III \( (B_- < A) \) Eq. \( 54 \) is always divergent for \( |x| \rightarrow \infty \), while at the border with II \( \hat{b} \) it is always convergent for \( |x| \rightarrow \infty \) yet regular at \( x = 0 \) for \( \nu = n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \) as in the previous case. For these values, Eq. \( 56 \) becomes proportional to Eq. \( 13 \).

Regarding the dual states, at this line \( \hat{b} = \hat{b}^d = \sqrt{2i} uQ \), (since \( u \) is real) and as such \( |0_k \rangle \) is the state with \( Q = 0 \), i.e., \( (x | 0_k) \propto \delta(x) \). In fact, for \( \hat{v} \rightarrow \hat{u} \) the coordinate representation of the state \( |0_k \rangle \) in Eq. \( 22 \) becomes a delta function, or, as also seen from Eq. \( 29 \):

\[
(x | 0_k) \rightarrow \frac{e^{-x^2/2}}{\pi^{1/4}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{H_{2n}(x)H_{2n}(0)}{2^{2n}(2n)!} = \pi^{1/4} \delta(x), \tag{67}
\]

where we have used \( \delta(x) = (x | 0_k) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (x | n_k) \langle n_k | 0_k \rangle \). It is then still verified that \( (0_k | 0_k) \) is a finite number. The same holds for the remaining states \( |n_k \rangle \), with \( (x | n_k) \) involving derivatives of the delta function, such that Eq. \( 29 \) still holds.

III. THE GENERAL \( N \)-DIMENSIONAL CASE

We now discuss the main features of the \( N \)-dimensional case. We consider a general \( N \)-dimensional quadratic form in boson operators \( a_i, a_i^\dagger \) satisfying \( [a_i, a_j^\dagger] = \delta_{ij} \), \( [a_i, a_j] = 0 \), \( i, j = 1, \ldots, N \):

\[
H = \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} a_i^\dagger a_j + \frac{1}{2} (B^c_{ij} a_i^\dagger a_j^\dagger + B^c_{ij} a_i a_j) \tag{68}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} (a^\dagger a) \mathcal{H} (a^\dagger a), \quad \mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B^c \n B^c & -A^T \end{pmatrix}. \tag{69}
\]

Here \( B \pm \) are symmetric \( N \times N \) matrices of elements \( B_{ij} \), such that \( H \) satisfies

\[
\mathcal{H}^T = RH \mathcal{R}, \quad \mathcal{R} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{70}
\]

Following the treatment of \( 34 \) for the general hermitian case, we define new operators \( b_i, b_i^\dagger \) through a generalized Bogoliubov transformation

\[
\frac{b_i}{b_i^\dagger} = W (\frac{a_i}{a_i^\dagger}), \quad W = \begin{pmatrix} U & V \\ V^* & U^* \end{pmatrix}, \tag{71}
\]

where again \( b_i \) may not coincide with \( b_i^\dagger \) although the bosonic commutation relations are preserved:

\[
[b_i, b_j^\dagger] = \delta_{ij}, \quad [b_i, b_j] = [b_i^\dagger, b_j^\dagger] = 0. \tag{72}
\]

These conditions imply \( 36 \), \( 37 \):

\[
WMR W^T R = M, \tag{73}
\]

(\( M \) is the matrix \( 13 \) extended to \( 2N \times 2N \) i.e.,

\[
U(U^*)^T - V(V^*)^T = 1, \tag{74}
\]

\[
VU^T - UV^T = 0, \quad VU^T - U^T V = 0. \tag{75}
\]

We can then rewrite \( H \) exactly as in Eqs. \( 5 \)–\( 9 \):

\[
H = \frac{1}{2} (\frac{b_i}{b_i^\dagger}) \mathcal{H} (\frac{b_i}{b_i^\dagger}), \quad \mathcal{H} = MW \mathcal{H} W^{-1}, \tag{76}
\]

where \( \mathcal{H} \) has again the form \( 54 \) and satisfies \( 70 \) due to Eq. \( 29 \). The problem of obtaining a normal mode representation

\[
H = \sum_{i} \lambda_i (\frac{b_i}{b_i^\dagger}) + \frac{1}{2}, \tag{77}
\]

leads then to the diagonalization of the matrix

\[
\mathcal{M} \mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B_+ \\ -B_- & -A^T \end{pmatrix}, \tag{78}
\]

which is that representing the commutation relations of Eq. \( 14 \) in the present general case: \( [H, (a_i^\dagger)] = \mathcal{M} \mathcal{H} (a_i^\dagger) \).

A basic result is that the eigenvalues of \( 78 \) always come in pairs of opposite sign, as in the hermitian case.
\[ (\mathcal{H} - \lambda \mathds{1})^T = \mathcal{R} \mathcal{H} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{M} - \lambda \mathds{1} = \mathcal{R} \mathcal{M} (\mathcal{H} + \lambda \mathds{1}) \mathcal{R} \mathcal{M} \]

and hence \( \det [\mathcal{H} - \lambda \mathds{1}] = \det [\mathcal{H} + \lambda \mathds{1}] \), entailing that if \( \lambda \) is an eigenvalue of \( \mathcal{H} \), so is \(-\lambda\).

From Eq. (70) we also see that if \( Z_i \) are eigenvectors of \( \mathcal{H} \) satisfying \( \mathcal{H} Z_i = \lambda_i Z_i \), then \( Z_i^{\dagger} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{M} Z_j (\lambda_i + \lambda_j) = 0 \), implying the orthogonality relations

\[ Z_i^{\dagger} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{M} Z_j = 0 \quad (\lambda_i \neq -\lambda_j). \tag{79} \]

The pairs \((b_i, \bar{b}_i)\) emerge then from the eigenvectors \( Z_i, Z_j \) associated to opposite eigenvalues \( \pm \lambda_i \), which are to be scaled such that

\[ Z_i^{\dagger} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{M} Z_i = 1. \tag{80} \]

Writing \( Z_i = (\bar{U}^* - V^*)_i \) and \( Z_j = (-V^* U)^T_i \), we can form with them the eigenvector matrix \( \mathcal{W}^{-1} \), with Eqs. (74) - (78) ensuring that \( \mathcal{W} \) will satisfy Eq. (73).

Therefore, if \( \mathcal{H} \) is diagonalizable, a diagonalizing matrix \( \mathcal{W} \) satisfying (73) will exist such that \( \mathcal{H} \) can be written in the diagonal form (74). The \( N \)-dimensional \( \mathcal{H} \) can then be reduced to a sum of \( N \) commuting one-dimensional systems (complex normal modes) described by operators \( h_i, \bar{b}_i \), satisfying

\[ [\mathcal{H}, b_i] = -\lambda_i b_i, \quad [\mathcal{H}, \bar{b}_i^\dagger] = \lambda_i \bar{b}_i^\dagger, \tag{81} \]

diagonalizing the commutator algebra with \( \mathcal{H} \) and satisfying then Eqs. (74) - (78) \( \forall b = b_i \).

Now, if a common vacuum \( |0\rangle_b \) exists such that

\[ b_i |0\rangle_b = 0, \tag{82} \]

for \( i = 1, \ldots, N \), it must necessarily be of the form (79)

\[ |0\rangle_b \propto \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} (U^{-1} V)_{ij} a_i^\dagger a_j^\dagger \right] |0\rangle_a, \tag{83} \]

where \( U^{-1} V \) is a symmetric matrix due to Eq. (70). Eq. (83) can be directly checked by application of \( b_i \). Similarly, assuming a common vacuum \( |0\rangle_b \) exists such that

\[ \bar{b}_i |0\rangle_b = 0, \tag{84} \]

for \( i = 1, \ldots, N \), it must be of the form

\[ |0\rangle_b \propto \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} (U^{-1} V)_{ij} a_i^\dagger a_j^\dagger \right] |0\rangle_a. \tag{85} \]

Assuming these series are convergent, which implies that \( U^{-1} V \) and \( \bar{U}^{-1} \bar{V} \) have both all singular values \( \sigma_i \leq 1, \sigma_i < 1 \), we can define the states

\[ |n_1, \ldots, n_N \rangle_b = \left( \prod_i \frac{\bar{b}_i^{n_i}}{\sqrt{n_i!}} \right) |0\rangle_b, \tag{86} \]

\[ |m_1, \ldots, m_N \rangle_b = \left( \prod_i \frac{b_i^m_i}{\sqrt{m_i!}} \right) |0\rangle_b. \tag{87} \]

Due to the commutation relations (72), these states form again a biorthogonal set,

\[ \langle m_1, \ldots, m_N | b_1, \ldots, n_N \rangle_b = \delta_{m_1 n_1} \cdots \delta_{m_N n_N} \langle 0 | 0 \rangle_b, \tag{88} \]

and satisfy

\[ H | n_1, \ldots, n_N \rangle_b = \sum_i \lambda_i \left( n_i + \frac{1}{2} \right) | n_1, \ldots, n_N \rangle_b, \tag{89} \]

\[ H^\dagger | m_1, \ldots, m_N \rangle_b = \sum_i \lambda_i^* \left( m_i + \frac{1}{2} \right) | m_1, \ldots, m_N \rangle_b. \tag{90} \]

Thus, both \( H \) and \( H^\dagger \) possess in this case a discrete spectrum. Such spectrum can be real if \( H \) has some antilinear (generalized \( PT \)) symmetry (for instance, \( H \) real).

In a general situation, a common vacuum may exist just for a certain subset of operators \( b_i \) and \( \bar{b}_i \), leading to terms \( H_i \) with behaviors similar to those encountered in the previous section. An important difference is to be found in the non-diagonalizable cases: The corresponding modes may not necessarily be of the form (79) and are not necessarily associated with vanishing eigenvalues \( \lambda_i = 0 \), since Jordan forms of higher dimension can arise, as was already shown in two-dimensional systems (37, 46), in the context of hermitian yet unstable Hamiltonians. Besides, \( \mathcal{H} \) may remain diagonalizable in the presence of vanishing eigenvalues (37, 46).

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have first analyzed the spectrum and normal modes of a general one-dimensional quadratic bosonic form, showing that it can exhibit three distinct regimes:

i) An harmonic phase characterized by a discrete spectrum of both \( H \) and \( H^\dagger \), with bounded eigenstates constructed from gaussian vacua, which form a biorthogonal set. Such phase, which comprises the cases considered in (23, 24), arises when the deviation from the stable hermitian case is not “too large” (Eq. (24)), equivalent to (22, 39) for \( \lambda > 0 \), in which case the generalized normal boson operators \( b^\dagger, b \) can be considered as creation and annihilation operators respectively. According to the phase of \( \lambda \), the discrete spectrum can be real or complex, but in the latter it can be made real by applying a trivial phase factor (as opposed to discrete regimes in nonquadratic Hamiltonians (13)).

ii) A coherent-like phase where \( H \) exhibits a complex twofold degenerate continuous spectrum while \( H^\dagger \) has no bounded eigenstates. It corresponds to large deviations from the hermitian harmonic case. The normal operators \( b^\dagger, b \) can be considered as a pair of annihilation operators, each with a convergent vacuum yet still satisfying a bosonic commutator. The spectrum is then similar to that of a square of a bosonic annihilation operator.

iii) An adjoint coherent phase where \( H^\dagger \) has a continuous complex spectrum while \( H \) has no bounded eigenstates. Here the normal modes are a pair of creation...
operators. While ii) and iii) might be considered as having no proper biorthogonal eigenstates, the convergent eigenstates (of $H$ or $H^\dagger$) constitute a generalization of the standard coherent states, which arise here in the particular case of a non-diagonalizable matrix $M\mathcal{H}$. These regimes may be considered to correspond to a broken generalized $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry, since there are complex eigenvalues. Nonetheless, the latter do not emerge from the coalescence of two or more real eigenvalues \[\xi\] but from the onset of convergence of eigenstates with complex quantum number $\nu$.

We have also analyzed the transition curves between these previous regimes, where one of the operators changes from creation to annihilation (or viceversa). At these curves such operator is actually a coordinate (or momentum), and even though there is just a discrete spectrum (with bounded eigenstates) of either $H$ or $H^\dagger$, the biorthogonality relations are still preserved. Explicit expressions for eigenfunctions were provided in all regimes.

The normal mode decomposition of the $N$-dimensional non-hermitian case has also been discussed, together with the corresponding harmonic regime. It opens the way to investigate in detail along these lines the spectrum of more complex specific non-hermitian quadratic systems.

Appendix: Solutions of the Schrödinger equation in the case of continuous spectrum

The solutions to the Schrödinger equation \[\psi(x) = \exp\left(-\frac{i\tilde{B} + \lambda}{2A_\pm} x^2\right) \phi\left(\frac{x}{\gamma}\right), \quad (A.1)\]

We obtain the Hermite equation \[\phi''(z) - 2z\phi'(z) + 2\nu\phi(z) = 0, \quad (A.2)\]

with $z = x/\gamma$ and $\nu = (2E - \lambda)/(2\lambda)$. For complex $\nu$, four solutions are:

\[\phi^{(1)}(z) = H_\nu(z), \quad \phi^{(2)}(z) = H_\nu(-z)\]
\[\phi^{(3)}(z) = e^{z^2} H_{-\nu-1}(iz), \quad \phi^{(4)}(z) = e^{z^2} H_{-\nu-1}(-iz), \quad (A.3)\]

where $H_\nu$ are the Hermite functions \[\text{Hermite}\]. Since the Hermite equation is of second order, any of these solutions can be written as a linear combination of two others. For instance, for real $A, B_\pm > 0$:

\[H_\nu(z) = \frac{2^{\nu}\Gamma(\nu + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{z^2} \left[ e^{\nu\pi i/2} H_{-\nu-1}(iz) + e^{-\nu\pi i/2} H_{-\nu-1}(-iz) \right]. \quad (A.4)\]

Additionally, note that for integer $\nu > 0$, $\phi_1 = (-1)^\nu \phi_2$ whereas for integer $\nu < 0$, $\phi_3 = (-1)^{\nu+1} \phi_4$.

The asymptotic behaviour of the Hermite functions for $|\arg z| < 3/4$ goes as follows:

\[H_\nu(z) \sim (2z)^\nu + O(|z|^{\nu-2}), \quad (A.5)\]

and for $\pi/4 + \delta \leq \arg z \leq 5\pi/4 - \delta$ (which includes $z$ on the real negative axis):

\[H_\nu(z) \sim (2z)^\nu \left[ 1 + O(|z|^{-2}) \right] - \frac{\sqrt{\pi} e^{\nu\pi i}}{\Gamma(-\nu)} e^{z^2} z^{-\nu-1} \left[ 1 + O(|z|^{-2}) \right]. \quad (A.6)\]

Note that:

\[e^{z^2} \exp\left[-\frac{i\tilde{B} + \lambda}{2A_\pm} x^2\right] = \exp\left[-\frac{i\tilde{B} - \lambda}{2A_\pm} x^2\right]. \quad (A.7)\]

For hermitian $H$, $\tilde{B}$ is either a real number or zero, and $\lambda$ determines whether the eigenfunctions are bounded or not (i.e., if $\lambda$ is real and positive then there are some bounded eigenfunctions, whereas for $\lambda$ negative or imaginary every eigenfunction is divergent). In such case, for positive, integer $\nu$ only $\phi^{(1)}(z)$ and $\phi^{(2)}(z)$, since they are linearly dependent) may be bounded (see Eq. (A.4)), and for other values of $\nu$ there are no bounded eigenfunctions. On the other hand, for non-Hermitian $H$, the convergence of both linearly independent eigenstates may be assured provided that $\text{Re}[(i\tilde{B} - \lambda)/A_\pm] > 0$, which is fulfilled in region II, i.e., when both $\tilde{b}$ and $\tilde{b}^\dagger$ have convergent vacua. Moreover, both linearly independent eigenstates may be convergent even if $\lambda$ is an imaginary number or zero, which implies for real $A, B_\pm$, that region II extends into the imaginary part of the spectrum in Fig. II.

The eigenfunctions of $H$ must then be constructed from $|n_b\rangle$ in such a way that they behave as the eigenstates $|n_b\rangle$ and $|n_{b^\dagger}\rangle$, i.e., they satisfy Eqs. (A.9) and (A.10), and they must be even or odd with respect to coordinate inversion $x \rightarrow -x$ (since the Hamiltonian is parity invariant). These considerations lead to the eigenfunctions \[\text{Hermite}\] and \[\text{Hermite}\].
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