Speckle activity images based on the spatial variance of the phase
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We propose the display of the local spatial variance of the temporal variations of the phase as an activity descriptor in dynamic speckle images. The spatial autocorrelation of the speckle intensity is calculated in sliding windows, and an estimation of the variance of the phase variations in each region of the sample is determined. The activity images obtained in this way depict some interesting features and in some cases they could be related to physical magnitudes in the samples. A simulation is presented, and examples corresponding to usual study cases are also shown, namely, fruit bruising and paint drying. © 2006 Optical Society of America
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1. Introduction

Dynamic speckle or biospeckle is a phenomenon occurring when laser light illuminates scattering surfaces with some type of activity. For example, fruits, seeds, and painted surfaces subjected to drying show this behavior. The study of the temporal evolution of the speckle patterns may provide an interesting tool to characterize the parameters involved in some biological applications and industrial processes.

The autocorrelation analysis of the intensity as a function of time is a classical method for the characterization of dynamic speckle patterns. FWHM is a possible estimator of speckle lifetime and consequently of the local activity. Another approach to analyze the dynamic speckle is given by the generation of the time history of the speckle pattern (THSP) and its characterization using different descriptors. Several algorithms were proposed to give a quantitative measurement of the speckle activity using the THSP. Although several methods are effective in some cases, most of them are difficult to associate with physical changes that occur in the sample.

In the activity images corresponding to dynamic speckle, the sizes, shapes, and spatial distribution of the areas of the same gray levels change with time. There are several methods to generate a qualitative display with a speckle pattern activity. In these cases, a gray level or false color display shows different regions of the samples that allow us to discriminate the differential activity of the scattering surfaces.

Some of the display methods already developed consist of heuristic tools that give little insight into the physical origins that can be attributed to the observed activity. As this estimation is an inverse problem, the causes of the activity most probably cannot be uniquely determined unless some a priori knowledge of the phenomenon is available. Nevertheless, under some not very stringent assumptions, estimations of the spatial variance of the phase between consecutive frames can be evaluated, and based on these results, segmentation image displays can also be obtained.

Phase can be easily associated with its physical origins. As a matter of fact, the origin of dynamic speckle phenomena is mainly due to random phase differences, Doppler effect, beating of waves with close frequencies, etc. If the reflectance of an object is constant, temporal variations of the intensity in its speckle patterns are due to phase changes. Therefore if the physical origin of the phase change is known or suspected, the processing leads to additional infor-
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In the next section, we will briefly describe the theory of the intensity variations in a speckle pattern generated by moving scatterers. Then we will show how an estimation of the spatial variance of phase can be calculated from its intensity autocorrelation. Finally, numerical simulations of a typical experiment will be shown, and the results obtained from two actual experiments on study cases will be presented, namely, the drying of paint on a coin and the presence of bruising in an apple are examples of a priori available knowledge that helps to interpret the results.

2. Theory

In this work we have used the same formulation already proposed in Ref. 10 as briefly described below. In this simplified model, the surface of the sample under study is considered to be composed of a set of discrete scattering centers. When coherent polarized laser light with wavelength \( \lambda \) is used for the illumination, the amplitude of the electric field \( U_0 \) at a point in the Fresnel region, can be calculated as

\[
U_0 = \sum_j a_j \exp(i\phi_j),
\]

where \( a_j \) and \( \phi_j \) are the amplitude and phase contributions due to the scattering center \( j \). The usual assumptions that lead to the definition of a well-developed speckle pattern are also assumed here.

When the sample shows local activity, the amplitude of the electric field can be written as

\[
U = \sum_j a_j \exp(i(\phi_j + \Delta \phi_j)),
\]

where \( \Delta \phi_j \) is the change in the optical phase because of the activity.

Assuming that a set of \( K \) speckle patterns is sequentially acquired at equal time steps, a quantitative measurement of the activity of the underlying process can be obtained through the evaluation of the spatial correlation coefficient \( c(k) \) between the first image and the following ones. Considering that the process is piecelike stationary during the acquisition time, \( c(k) \) is defined by

\[
c(k) = \frac{\langle I(0)I(k) \rangle - \langle I(0) \rangle \langle I(k) \rangle}{\left[ \langle I^2(0) \rangle - \langle I(0) \rangle^2 \right]^{1/2} \left[ \langle I^2(k) \rangle - \langle I(k) \rangle^2 \right]^{1/2}},
\]

where \( I(k) \) is the intensity at a point of each temporal frame \( k \) and \( \langle \cdot \rangle \) denotes the spatial mean value operator over each speckle image of the sequence.

When the Doppler effect is the dominant cause of the activity, the phase change \( \Delta \phi_j \) may be due to an alteration \( \Delta h_j \) in the height of the scattering centers normal to the surface.

\[
\Delta \phi_j = (2\pi/\lambda)(1 + \cos \theta_j)\Delta h_j,
\]

where \( \theta_j \) is the angle between the illuminating beam and the observation direction normal to the surface at the scattering center \( j \).

It was demonstrated in Refs. 11 and 12 that the correlation coefficient \( c(k) \) decreases exponentially with the variance of the phase change. This result was obtained under the assumption that all amplitudes and phase changes of the scattering centers are statistically independent of each other and of the amplitudes and phases of all other scatterers, that the phase change \( \Delta \phi_j \) given by Eq. (4) follows a normal distribution with a variance \( \sigma^2(\Delta \phi_j) \), and that there are no changes in the reflectivity of the sample. Consequently, the correlation coefficient can be expressed as

\[
c(k) = \exp\left[-\sigma^2(\Delta \phi)\right],
\]

where the phase change \( \Delta \phi \) must be evaluated with respect to the first speckle pattern of the temporal sequence \( k = 0 \). Equation (5) holds both for objective and for subjective speckle patterns, as long as the surface roughness and the microstructure variation cannot be resolved. Therefore local estimations of the phase variance can be calculated if the regions where the space average is performed are large enough for the statistics to be effective.

3. Computer Simulation of Time Varying Speckle Patterns

Sequences of time varying speckle patterns can be computer simulated using the method described in Ref. 10. The intensity \( I(m, n, k) \) in each speckle pattern point belonging to a given temporal sequence is evaluated from

\[
I(m, n, k) = |F^{-1}[H F e^{i\phi(m, n, k)}]|^2,
\]

where \( m = n = 0, 1, \ldots, N - 1 \) are the pixel coordinates of the CCD detector used to acquire the speckle images; \( \phi(m, n, k) \) is an \( N \times N \) matrix, which represents the phase distribution of the scattered light from the rough surface; \( i \) is the imaginary unit; \( F \) and \( F^{-1} \) are the direct and inverse Fourier transforms, respectively; and \( H \) is a circular low-pass filter with radius \( r \) in the Fourier space, which represents the circular aperture of the camera lens and determines the average speckle size of the speckle grains.

The speckle phase \( \phi(m, n, 0) \) corresponding to the first frame \( k = 0 \) is chosen as a matrix with uniform distributed values in the interval \( (-\pi, \pi) \). Considering that all scattering centers move randomly up and
down along the direction normal to the surface of the sample, the phase \( \phi(m, n, k) \) is evaluated from

\[
\phi(m, n, k) = \phi(m, n, k - 1) + G(m, n, k) \\
\times \sigma[\Delta \phi(m, n, k - 1, k)], \\
k = 1, \ldots, K - 1,
\]

(7)

where \( \sigma[\Delta \phi(m, n, k - 1, k)] \) is the standard deviation of the phase change between two consecutive speckle patterns, and \( G(m, n, k) \) is an \( N \times N \) random matrix with Gaussian distributed values having a mean \( \mu = 0 \), and a standard deviation \( \sigma = 1 \), which has to be recalculated at every frame \( k \).

Through a simple mathematical derivation, the standard deviation of the phase change between two consecutive speckle patterns \( \sigma[\Delta \phi(m, n, k - 1, k)] \) can be expressed in terms of the correlation coefficient \( c(k) \) of each image with respect to the first frame of the sequence as

\[
-\ln[c(k)] = -\ln[c(k - 1)] + \sigma[\Delta \phi(k - 1, k)]^2.
\]

(8)

Therefore the sequence of computer simulated speckle patterns generated by Eq. (6) is produced using a phase distribution given by

\[
\phi(m, n, k) = \phi(m, n, k - 1) + G(m, n, k) \\
\times \sqrt{\ln c(k - 1) - \ln c(k)}.
\]

(9)

The simulation model requires \( c(k) \) to be a monotonically decreasing function. This is a limitation of the model, but this is the case that appears at least in several processes such as paint drying and metal corrosion. If this requirement is not verified or due to noise, the term inside the square root of Eq. (9) could be negative in some pixels or regions, and then \( \sigma \) in Eq. (8) will not represent the phase variance. Even when the expression inside the square root of Eq. (9) is not negative, this is not a guarantee that the conditions required for the model are fulfilled. However, we are going to see that even in these cases, the processed image gives some useful qualitative information on the distribution of the activity in the object.

4. Experiments and Results

We used the described numerical model to generate speckle activity images based on the spatial variance of the phase. To test for the possibility of obtaining segmentation images based on the variance of phase changes, we numerically simulated a sequence of active speckle images with different values of the variance in two different regions. Afterward, the simulated speckle patterns were processed as follows: For each pixel of the speckle patterns, the \( c(1) \) value was calculated from the first two frames 0 and 1 by means of Eq. (3) using a \( 5 \times 5 \) window. The window size is given by a trial and error optimization procedure between statistics and resolution. Large windows reduce spatial resolution and improve variance precision, while the converse is true for small windows.

The same calculation was performed with the frames 0 and 2 to obtain \( c(2) \). A map of \( c(1) \) and \( c(2) \) was obtained by displacing the window over the speckle patterns. Using these maps, an estimation of the local value of \( \sigma \) was calculated by means of Eq. (8). Then this procedure was repeated for all the consecutive sets of three frames belonging to a given temporal sequence. Finally, the temporal average of the previously computed \( \sigma \) values was evaluated.

The space averaging operation that is applied inside the window and is required in the calculation of the variance is in conflict with high space resolution in the resulting image. The use of a sliding window results in a tiling appearance of the image. To reduce this deleterious effect, we decided to perform the estimation over a set of consecutive frames taken in groups of three and to average the results.

Figure 1(a) shows one of these simulated speckle patterns where the spatial phase variations were set to different values in two square regions. Although no hint of that variation can be observed in the previous image, Fig. 1(b) displays the processed image in which the loci of equal \( \sigma \) values are clearly perceived.

Afterward, we performed several experiments with actual speckle patterns already used in previous works. The temporal sequences of speckle patterns were acquired using the setup shown in Fig. 2. A divergent laser beam (\( \lambda = 632.8 \) nm, polarized) was used to illuminate the object while a CCD camera acquired the dynamic speckle patterns and a frame grabber digitized and stored the intensity data for each frame. The experimental conditions were: pixel size, 8.4 \( \mu \)m \( \times \) 9.8 \( \mu \)m; magnification, 1/3; focal distance of the objective, 50 cm (with added close-up rings); pupil size, 3.1 mm; illumination angle, \( \sim \)45°. A region wider than the object was illuminated to assure that the object has received uniform illumination.

Then, the spatial correlation evaluated inside the sliding windows was calculated for sets of three consecutive frames as described above. The variance of...
the phase was estimated using Eq. (8), and the activity image was generated using scaled values of the calculated variance. For the assumptions stated in the theory to be valid and the phase variance to be accurately determined, the speckle pattern must be well sampled. This means that the mean speckle size should be greater than the pixel size. This fact was also taken into account both in the numerical simulations and in the experiments. The average speckle size used both in the computer simulation and in the experiments was 2 pixels. Noise in the CCD camera, in the laser source, and in the image processor, limits the performance of the method. Because of the noise, activity changes meaning differences in σ smaller than 0.1 rad cannot be accurately detected.

As explained in Ref. 10, paint drying is a process that was found to be well fitted to apply the described theoretical model. For this reason, one test involved the painting of a coin with a layer of spatial variable thickness due to its relief. It was expected that the paint located in thinner layers, because of the protruding parts of the coin, would dry faster and therefore would be less active than in thicker regions. Figure 3(a) shows the original coin illuminated by incoherent light. One frame of the sequence of speckle patterns is depicted in Fig. 3(b) where no hint of the coin relief can be perceived. Figure 3(c) shows the processed image obtained using the proposed method. Thinner painted regions appear darker than the thicker ones, thus indicating that they are drier and consequently less active and display smaller variance of the phase variations. Note that not only coarse features, such as the numbers on the coin, but also finer detail as on the rim, can also be observed.

We have also performed a test on a controlled bruising experiment on an apple. This is an object that had previously been used as a case study to evaluate other display techniques. The object was a region of an apple where intentional bruising was produced by letting a calibrated ball with a diameter of 21.9 mm and a weight of 133.6 g fall from a height of 20 cm. The bruising could not be visually perceived. An inert object (a piece of metal) was in-
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Fig. 4. Display of the activity in a bruised apple experiment (the
imaged area is 20 mm × 20 mm). The upper right corner shows
an inert object included as a reference, and it appears dark. The
bruised region is in the middle to lower region of the image and
appears with a bright rim indicating higher activity than in the
healthy regions.
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