Accepted Manuscript

Feasibility of using RFLP of PCR-amplified 16S rRNA gene(s) for rapid differentiation of isolates of aerobic spore-forming bacteria from honey



Ana C. López, Adriana M. Alippi

PII:	S0167-7012(19)30524-X
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2019.105690
Article Number:	105690
Reference:	MIMET 105690
To appear in:	Journal of Microbiological Methods
Received date:	21 June 2019
Revised date:	15 August 2019
Accepted date:	15 August 2019

Please cite this article as: A.C. López and A.M. Alippi, Feasibility of using RFLP of PCRamplified 16S rRNA gene(s) for rapid differentiation of isolates of aerobic spore-forming bacteria from honey, Journal of Microbiological Methods, https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.mimet.2019.105690

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Feasibility of using RFLP of PCR-amplified 16S rRNA gene(s) for rapid differentiation of isolates of aerobic spore-forming bacteria from honey.

López, Ana. C., Alippi, Adriana. M.*

Unidad de Bacteriología, Centro de Investigaciones de Fitopatología, Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias y Forestales, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, cc 31, calle 60 y 119, 1900 La Plata, Argentina.

* *Correspondence:* A. M. Aippi - *E-mail:* alippi@biol.unlp.edu.ar – Tel: 00 54 221 423 6758 ext. 423; Fax 00 54 221 425 2346

ABSTRACT

This study aimed to assess the feasibility of using RFLP of PCR-amplified 16S rRNA gene (s) by using universal primers 27f/1492r and a combination of three restriction enzymes, *Alul*, *Cfol*, and *Taql*, for a low-cost, rapid screen for a primarily differentiation of isolates of the complex of aerobic spore-forming bacteria commonly found in honey samples. The described method produced unique and distinguishable patterns to differentiate among 80 isolates belonging to 26 different species of *Bacillus*, *Brevibacillus*, *Lysinibacillus*, *Rummeliibacillus*, and *Paenibacillus* reported in honey and other apiarian sources.

Keywords: PCR-RFLP; Bacillus; Paenibacillus; Brevibacillus; Lysinibacillus.

1. Introduction

"Honey is the natural sweet substance produced by honey bees from the nectar of plants or from secretions of living parts of plants or excretions of plantsucking insects on the living parts of plants, which the bees collect, transform by combining with specific substances of their own, deposit, dehydrate, store and leave in the honeycomb to ripen and mature"

www.fao.org/input/download/standards/310/cxs_012e.pdf. Honey is a supersaturated sugar solution containing small amounts of organic acids, minerals, vitamins, enzymes, proteins, and amino acids (Machado De-Melo et al., 2018). Honey quality is influenced by microorganisms, mainly yeasts, and spore-forming bacteria; nevertheless, commercially sold honey has minimal microbial contamination due to its natural antibacterial properties, including acidity, high osmotic pressure, hydrogen peroxide, and viscosity (Molan, 1992a, 1992b; Mundo et al., 2004; Snowdon and Cliver, 1996). Despite the various inhibitory factors, some microorganisms can survive in honey, particularly spore-forming bacteria, being the primary sources of contamination digestive tracts of larvae and adult bees, brood combs, environmental dust, air, soil, pollen, nectar and flower surfaces (Gilliam, 1979, 1997; Gilliam and Prest, 1978; Gilliam and Valentine, 1976).

The community of aerobic spore-forming bacteria reported in honey comprises Bacillus amyloliquefaciens, Bacillus badius, Bacillus cereus sensu lato, Bacillus circulans, Bacillus clausii, Bacillus coagulans, Bacillus firmus, Bacillus flexus, Bacillus licheniformis, Bacillus megaterium, Bacillus pumilus, Bacillus simplex, Bacillus subtilis, Brevibacillus borstelensis, Brevibacillus brevis, Brevibacillus laterosporus, Lysinibacillus fusiformis, Lysinibacillus sphaericus,

Paenibacillus alvei, Paenibacillus apiarius, Paenibacillus larvae, Paenibacillus polymyxa and Rummeliibacillus stabekisii (Alippi, 1995; Alippi et al., 2004; Alippi and Abrahamovich, 2019; Bartel et al., 2018; Evans and Amstrong, 2006; Gilliam, 1979; 1997; Gilliam and Morton, 1978; Gilliam and Valentine, 1976; lurlina and Fritz, 2005, Piccini et al., 2004; Sinacori et al., 2014; Snowdon and Cliver, 1996; Wen et al., 2017). Within this community, some groups are comprised of close phylogenetic relatives, i.e. the Bacillus cereus sensu lato consisting of Bacillus cereus sensu stricto, Bacillus anthracis, Bacillus mycoides; Bacillus cytotoxicus; Bacillus pseudomycoides; Bacillus thuringiensis, and Bacillus toyonensis (Guinebretière et al., 2013, Liu et al., 2018, Vilas-Boas, et al. 2007) and Bacillus subilis group consisting of Bacillus subtilis, Bacillus amyloliquefaciens; Bacillus athrophaeus, Bacillus licheniformis; Bacillus mojavensis, Bacillus paralicheniformis, Bacillus pumilus, Bacillus safensis, Bacillus siamensis, Bacillus tequilensis, Bacillus vallismortis, Bacillus velezensis, and Bacillus xiamenensis (Dunlap et al., 2016; Jeyaram et al., 2011; Lai et al., 2014;). Also, Lysinibacillus fusiformis and Lysinibacillus sphaericus are closely related to each other and with other Lysinibacillus species (Ahmed et al., 2007).

Aerobic spore-forming bacteria from honey have been identified using different methodologies, including isolation in selective, differential or chromogenic culture media, microscopy; biochemical tests, and the sequence of the 16S rRNA gene(s) (Alippi, 1995; Alippi et al., 2004; Alippi and Abrahamovich, 2019; Sinacori et al., 2014; Wen et al., 2017). Classical microbiological techniques, including microscopy and biochemical tests, are laborious and time-consuming. Only comparisons of complete 16S rRNA gene

sequences do allow differentiation between closely related species, in particular within *B. cereus* and *B. subtilis* groups or *Lysinibacillus* species; while partial or low-quality sequences of the 16S rRNA gene(s) can produce erroneous identification (Logan et al., 2009).

Strains of closely related spore-forming bacteria have been identified using various novel or sophisticated methods, including the analysis of the 16S-23S rRNA intergenic transcribed spacers (ITS), i.e., ITS-PCR fingerprinting or ITS-RFLP (Daffonchio et al., 1998; Haque and Russel, 2005; Shaver et al. 2002); Raman spectroscopy (Hutsebaut et al., 2006), Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/lonization- time-of-flight- Mass (MALDI-TOF-MS) (Fernández et al., 2012; Pomastowski et al., 2019; Shu and Yang, 2017), and machine learning assisted Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy (Bağcıoğlu et al., 2019). However, most of these techniques require a high level of expertise and expensive equipment.

On the other hand, Restriction fragment length polymorphisms analysis (RFLP) of PCR amplified 16S rRNA gene(s) had been employed to examine the diversity of several spore-forming species isolated from different sources (Alippi et al., 2002; Ash et al., 1991; Jeyaram, 2011; Lopez and Alippi 2007, 2008; Manzano et al., 2003; Vaerewijck et al. 2001; Vardhan et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2006). Nevertheless, these studies have focused on the differentiation of a limited number of species or specific groups isolated from diverse ecological niches.

In the light of the above considerations, the objective of this study was to assess the feasibility of using RFLP of PCR-amplified 16S rRNA gene (s) by

using universal primers 27f/1492r for a low-cost, rapid screen for a primarily differentiation of the complex of aerobic spore-forming bacteria from honey.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Bacterial strains, media and culture conditions

A total of 80 strains of aerobic mesophilic spore-forming bacteria listed in Table 1 were examined in this work. The collection includes 61 isolates from honey or other apiarian sources belonging to the Collection of UB-CIDEFI (Unidad de Bacteriología del Centro de Investigaciones de Fitopatología) and 19 strains from International Culture Collections (Table 1). All isolates were routinely grown either on tryptic soy agar (TSA) (Britania®, Argentina) or on Müller-Hinton – Yeast –Peptone – Glucose – Pyruvate agar (MYPGP) (Dingman and Stahly, 1983) at the appropriate temperature according to the species tested (Table 1).

2.2 DNA preparation, PCR amplification, and RFLP analysis of 16S rRNA genes

Bacterial cells for DNA extraction were grown at the appropriate temperature and medium under aerobic conditions for 24-48 h according to the species used (Table 1). For DNA preparation, a rapid procedure using whole cells from plates was used as previously described (Alippi and Aguilar, 1998). Universal primers 27f (5'AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG 3') and 1492r (5' TACGGYTACCTTGTTACGACTT 3') described by Yu et al. (2013) were employed. PCRs were carried out in a final volume of 25 µl according to a previously described protocol (Yu et al., 2013). After amplification of the PCR product of approximately 1,492 bp, subsamples of 2µl were incubated with

endonucleases *Rsa*l, *Hae*III, *Alu*l, *Hinf*l, *Taq*l, and *Cfo*l, according to the manufacturer's specifications (Promega[®], CABA, Buenos Aires, Argentina). RFLP analysis was performed by electrophoresis in a 1.6% agarose gel at 70 V for 2 hrs. All the isolates listed in Table 1 were analyzed.

2.2. In silico analysis of 16S rRNA gene(s) sequences

Twenty-six different species belonging to 5 different genera of sporeforming bacteria reported in honey were analyzed. *In silico* RFLP analysis was performed using endonucleases *Rsa*l, *Hae*III, *Alu*I, *Hin*fl, *Taq*I, and *Cfo*I, respectively. A total of 94 theoretical restriction fragment patterns were obtained by using the software http://nc2.neb.com/NEBcutter2/.

We tested thirty-nine 16S rRNA sequences from type cultures retrieved from NCBI GenBank (Tables 2 and 3) and fifty-nine 16S rRNA sequences from strains obtained from honey samples previously reported (Alippi and Abrahamovich, 2019; Bartel et al., 2018, Minnaard and Alippi, 2016) (Tables 1 and 3).

3. Result and discussion

To determine whether species-specific amplicons from *Bacillus*, *Brevibacillus*, *Lysinibacillus*, *Rummeliibacillus*, and *Paenibacillus* strains from honey could be detected, restriction digests were carried out with *Alul*, *Cfol*, *Haelll*, *Hinfl*, *Rsal*, and *Taql* and RFLP patterns obtained *in silico* matched those obtained experimentally when 16S rRNA gene(s) sequences were near full lenght (> 1,400 nucleotides) (Tables 3 and 4). The sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene provides high-quality results in bacterial identification, depending on the quality of the sequence. As 16S rRNA gene(s) sequences in public

databases are sometimes of low-quality; an almost complete sequence (> 1,400 nt, < 0.5% ambiguity) from reference strains should be used for comparisons and phylogenetic analysis, particularly in the case of aerobic-spore-forming bacteria (Logan et al., 2009).

Our results corroborated this criterion since when comparing RFLP patterns obtained both *in silico* and experimentally, some differences were observed when sequence lengths were less than 1,400 nt (Tables 3 an 4). For instance, *B. amyloliquefaciens* strains xx and mv35 showed differences in the signature bands obtained *in silico* for restriction enzymes *Alul* and *Taql* (Table 3), while no differences were detectable in a gel (Table 4). A similar situation was observed for *B. cereus* strain LPcer1 and *B. megaterium* strain m435 for *Alul*, and *B. pumilus* strains m350 and m116 for *Cfol* (Tables 3 and 4). These discrepancies *in silico* were only found when the sequences analyzed were lower than 1,350 bp. Nevertheless, strains belonging to the same species showed a unique RFLP pattern for each restriction enzyme when tested experimentally (Table 4). Similar results have been reported by Jeyaram et al. (2011) when they examined strains belonging to the *B. subtilis* group for restriction enzymes *Rsal* and *Cfol*.

In the present study, a simple RFLP by PCR amplification of the 16S rRNA gene using universal primers 27f/1492r followed by restriction digestion using *Alul*, *Cfol*, *Haelll*, *Hinfl*, *Rsal*, and *Taql*, distinctly differentiated closely related species. Within the whole collection analyzed here, a total of 88 restriction patterns were detected for all the restriction endonucleases tested (Table 5 and Fig. 1). For instance, the *Alul* restriction pattern of *B. licheniformis* was found to be unique (Table 4) and allowed us to distinguish it from other

closely related bacteria reported in honey and from other species within the B. subtilis group (Table S1). Similar results were obtained for B. badius, B. cereus sensu stricto, B. circulans, B. clausii, B. coagulans, B. firmus, B. megaterium, B. mycoides, B. pumilus, B. thuringiensis, and Br. borstelensis, respectively that showed species-specific Alul restriction patterns (Table 5). When using Cfol, eight species-specific restriction patterns unique for *B. cereus sensu stricto*, *B.* megaterium, B. mycoides, B. thuringiensis, Br. borstelensis, L. fusiformis, L. sphaericus, and R. stabekisii, respectively were observed (Table 5). In the case of Haell, nine unique patterns were obtained for B. badius, B. circulans, B. clausii, B. coagulans, B. firmus, Br. laterosporus, P. alvei, P. apiarius, and P. polymyxa (Table 5). When using Hinfl, 10 unique patterns were detected for B. badius, B. cereus sensu stricto, B. circulans, B. clausii, B. coagulans, B. firmus, P. alvei, P. larvae subsp. larvae, P. larvae subsp. pulvifaciens, and R. stabekisii. When using Rsal, nine unique patterns were obtained for *B. amyloliquefaciens*, B. coagulans, B. licheniformis, P. alvei, P. apiarius, P. larvae subsp. larvae, P. larvae subsp. pulvifaciens, P. polymyxa, and R. stabekisii. Finally, when testing Tagl, nine unique patterns were visualized for B. circulans, B. coagulans, B. firmus, Br. laterosporus, P. alvei, P. apiarius, P. larvae subsp. larvae, P. larvae subsp. pulvifaciens and P. polymyxa.

In the case of closely related groups, i.e., *B. cereus* and *B. subtilis* (Bağcıoğlu et al., 2019; Fan et al., 2017, Guinebretière et al., 2013; Dunlap et al., 2016; Hutsebaut et al., 2006; Haque and Russel, 2005; Jeyaram et al., 2011; Manzano et al., 2003; Shaver et al., 2002; Vilas-Boas et al., 2007), the technique described here allowed us to differentiate closely related species. Such as, species within the *B. cereus* group reported in honey (*B. cereus sensu*)

stricto, B. mycoides, and B. thuringiensis) can be separated by using Alul (Table 5 and Fig. 2A) or Cfol (Table 5 and Fig. 2B). B. cereus sensu stricto, B. thuringiensis, and B. mycoides showed distinct Alul-fragments of about 600 bp (Fig. 1A, lane C and Fig.2A, lane 1); 593 bp (Fig. 1A, lane L and Fig. 2A, lanes 3 and 4), and 550 bp (Fig. 1A, lane J and Fig. 2A, lane2), respectively. In addition, when using Cfol, B. cereus sensu stricto showed a distinct fragment of about 585 bp (Fig. 1B, lane C, and Fig. 2B, lane 1), while B. thuringiensis and B. mycoides showed distinct Cfol-fragments of about 531 bp (Fig. 1B, lane H, and Fig. 2B, lane 3) and 535 bp (Fig. 1B, lane G, and Fig. 2B, lane 2), respectively. It is interesting to point out that all the species belonging to the B. cereus group present a distinct Tagl pattern (C) different to the rest of the Bacillus and relatives from apiarian sources (Table 4 and Fig. 1F, pattern C). Members of this group showed a high degree of similarity with only 7-9 dispersed nucleotides differences in the 16S rRNA gene sequence (Ash et al., 1991; Daffonchio et al., 1998; Wu et al., 2006), these differences were enough to allow PCR-RFLP identification in the present study. Also, we tested in silico the rest of the species of the group, i.e., B. anthracis, B. cytotoxicus, B. pseudomycoides, and B. toyonensis and were able to discriminate among them by using Cfol (Table S2). Manzano et al. (2003) found differences among these species by using enzyme digestion of gyrB by Sau3AI, while Daffonchio et al. (1988) did not find apparent differences in their analysis of the 16S-23S rRNA ITS. In previous studies, we found differences between *B. cereus* and *B.* mycoides with a PCR-RFLP assay using Bacillus-specific primers U1/U2 followed by Alul or Haell digestion (Alippi et al., 2002).

Also, within the closely related *B. subtilis* group, the combination of *Alul* and Tagl or Rsal restriction patterns obtained for B. subtilis, B. amyloliquefaciens, B. pumilus, and B. licheniformis allowed us to differentiate them within the group and from those generated by the rest of the species tested and reported in honey (Table 5, Fig. 1A patterns A, H, and K). For instance, a fragment of 825 bp after Alul digestion was found in all B. *licheniformis* strains tested, while absent in the rest of the group (Table 4 and Fig. 1A, pattern H). Also, B. licheniformis strains lacked a 430 bp fragment that was present in the rest of the group (Table 4 and Fig. 1A, pattern H). On the other hand, B. pumilus strains lacked an Alul fragment of 170 bp present in the rest of the group (Table 4 and Fig. 1A, pattern K). When using Tagl, the strains of B. subtilis and B. licheniformis showed a distinct pattern (H) different from the pattern (A) observed in *B. pumilus* and *B. amyloliquefaciens* strains (Table 4 and Fig. 1F). Also, Rsal restriction patterns obtained allowed us to differentiate B. subtilis (G) and B. licheniformis (F) from B. amyloliquefaciens (A) and B. pumilus (A) (Table 5 and Fig. 1E). Similar results were observed by Jeyaram et al. (2011) with a PCR-RFLP assay using primers fD1/rD1 followed by a restriction with Rsal or Cfol. However, Wu et al. (2006) found some limitations when trying to distinguish among members of the *B. subtilis* group and also three species in the *B. cereus* cluster by using Amplified Ribosomal DNA Restriction Analysis (ARDRA) of PCR amplicons obtained with B-K1 primers. On the other hand, when tested *in silico*, all the species belonging to the *B*. subtilis group that have not been reported in honey, the combination of Alul, Cfol, Rsal, and Tagl allowed us to differentiate them (Table S1).

Within the rest of the *Bacillus* species, *B. badius* showed unique *Alul*, *Haelll*, and *Hinfl* patterns (Table 4 and Fig. 1A lane B, Fig. 1C, lane B, and Fig. 1D, lane B); *B. circulans* and *B. firmus* showed distinct patterns with *Alul*, *Haelll*, *Hinfl*, and *Taql* (Table 4 and Fig. 1A, 1C, 1D, and 1F, lanes D and G, respectively); *B. clausii* showed unique *Alul*, *Haelll*, *Hinfl*, and *Taql* patterns (Table 4 and Fig. 1A, 1C,1D, and 1F, lanes E); *B. coagulans* also showed *Alul*, *Haelll*, *Hinfl*, *Rsal*, and *Taql* patterns (Table 4 and Fig. 1A, 1C, 1D, 1E, and 1F, lanes F, F, F, E, and F, respectively), and finally, *B. megaterium* strains showed unique *Alul* and *Cfol* patterns (Table 4 and Fig. 1A, line I and Fig. 1B, lane F). Similar results were observed by Wu et al. (2006) with isolates of *B. badius*, *B. clausii*, and B. *coagulans* for *Alul* and *Taql*.

When testing *Brevibacillus* species, *Br. borstelensis*, *Br. brevis*, and *Br. laterosporus* showed unique *Alul*, *Taq*, *and Hae*III patterns respectively that allowed us to differentiate them from the rest of aerobic spore formers found in honey (Table 5). Also, the three species can be differentiated among them by using *CfoI* (Table 5). It was previously reported that *Br. brevis* and *Br. laterosporus* can be separated by using *TaqI* (Wu et al., 2006). As far as we know, there is no previous information about RFLP patterns for *Br. borstelensis*.

In the case of *Lysinibacillus* reported in honey, we were able to differentiate the species *L. sphaericus* from *L. fusiformis* by using *Cfol* (Table 5 and Fig. 1B, patterns J, and K, respectively). Concerning *R. stabekisii*, unique restriction patterns with *Cfol*, *Hinf*l, and *Rsa*l were obtained (Table 5 and Fig. Fig. 1B pattern M, Fig. 1D pattern N, and Fig. 1E pattern O). As far as we know, this paper is the first report for differentiation of *R. stabekisii by* PCR-RFLP.

On the other hand, species of *Paenibacillus* reported in apiarian sources, including honey (n= 6), can be separated by using *Taq*I or *Rsa*I. It was previously reported that a combination of seven restriction endonucleases (*Alul, Mspl, Haelll, Hinfl, Cfol, Rsa*I, and *Taq*I) and specific primers U1/U2 could separate 25 different species of *Paenibacillus* between them except in the case of *P. borealis* and *P. macquariensis* (Alippi et al., 2002).

Wu et al. (2006) developed a PCR-ARDRA protocol by using *Bacillus*specific primers B-K1F/B-K1R for PCR amplification and two restriction enzymes (*Alul* and *Taql*) to differentiate 15 reference strains belonging to *Bacillus* (n=10), *Paenibacillus* (n=3) and *Brevibacillus* (n=2) species, but the procedure was restricted by their inability to differentiate closely related species within B. *subtilis* and *B. cereus* groups and some *Paenibacillus* and *Bacillus* species.

In conclusion, we have found that a PCR-RFLP assay using universal primers 27f/1492r and a combination of three restriction enzymes, *Alul*, *Cfol*, and *Taql*, was suitable in distinguishing 26 different species of *Bacillus*, *Brevibacillus*, *Lysinibacillus*, *Rummeliibacillus*, *and Paenibacillus*. The method is simple and can be used for a prescreening and isolate differentiation for the aerobic spore-forming species, which are commonly found in honey samples. With a similar preliminary survey, this technique could be used on a variety of other food-related samples, taking into account that a potential problem will be the finding of new or undescribed species of bacteria in a sample.

Acknowledgments.

This research was supported by ANPCyT Argentina (Grant No. PICT 2017-2014). A.C.L is a member of the Scientific Research Career of CONICET (CCT La Plata), and AMA is a Member of the Scientific Research Career of CIC (Prov. Bs. As.), Argentina.

Legends to tables and figures:

Table 1: List of bacterial strains and culture conditions used in this study with

 GenBank accession numbers and references.

Table 2: Accession numbers of 16S rRNA gene sequences from Culture

 Collections used for *in silico* analysis and comparisons.

Table 3: Theoretical prediction of restriction fragments size (in base pairs)generated by Alul, Cfol, Haelli, Hinfl, Rsal, and Taql based on published 16SrRNA gene sequences from GenBank.

Table 4: Gel detectable restriction fragments size (in base pairs) of a PCR-amplified 16S rRNA gene fragment of 1,490 bp digested with Alul, Cfol., Haelll,Hinfl, Rsal, and Taql.

Table 5: Restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) patterns of PCR

 amplified 16S rRNA genes among aerobic spore-forming species used in this

 study.

Figure 1. Restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) patterns of PCRamplified 16S rRNA genes found among all the aerobic spore-forming isolates analyzed (n=80) digested with (A) Alul, (B) Cfol, (C) Haelll, (D) Hinfl, (E) Rsal, and (F) Tagl, respectively. (A) Alul: Lane MM: Molecular size marker 100 bp ladder (InbioHighway[®], Tandil, Buenos Aires, Argentina) (size is indicated on the left in bp). Lanes: A, B. amyloliquefaciens m39; B, B. badius CCT 0196; C. B. cereus m6c; D, B. circulans ATCC 4515; E, B. clausii Fr231; F, B. coagulans ATCC 35670; G, B. firmus ATCC 8247; H, B. licheniformis mv55; I, B. megaterium m327; J, B.mycoides m425; K, B. pumilus m330; L, B. thuringiensis mv50b; M, Br. borstelensis RC; N, Br. laterosporus LAT170; O, L. sphaericus m533; P, P. alvei m291a; Q, P. apiarius ATCC 29575; R, P. larvae subsp. larvae PL36. (B) Cfol: Lane MM: Molecular size marker 100 bp ladder (InbioHighway[®], Tandil, Buenos Aires, Argentina). Lanes: A, B. amyloliquefaciens m39; B, B. badius CCT 0196; C, B. cereus ATCC11778; D, B. circulans ATCC 4515; E, B. licheniformis mv68; F, B.megaterium m435; G, B. *mycoides* m425, H, *B. thuringiensis* ATCC10792^T; I, *Br. borstelensis* m348; J, *L.* fusiformis mv119; K, L. sphaericus LMDZA; L, P. alvei mv82; M, R. stabekisii mv111. (C) Haelli. Lanes: MM: Molecular size marker 100 bp ladder (InbioHighwav[®], Tandil, Buenos Aires, Argentina) (size is indicated on the left in bp); A, B. amyloliquefaciens m163b; B, B. badius CCT 0196; C, B. cereus mv33; D, B. circulans ATCC 4515; E, B. clausii Fr231; F, B. coagulans ATCC 35670; G, B. firmus ATCC 8247; H, B. megaterium m327; I, Br. laterosporus LAT170; J, P. alvei m420; K, P. apiarius ATCC 29575; L, P. larvae subsp. larvae PL36; M, *P. larvae* subsp. *pulvifaciens* ATCC13537^T. (D) *Hinf*l. Lanes: MM, Molecular size marker 100 bp ladder (InbioHighway[®], Tandil, Buenos Aires, Argentina); A,

B. subtilis m191; B, B. badius CCT 0196; C, B. cereus LPcer1; D, B. circulans ATCC 4515; E, B. clausii Fr231; F, B. coagulans ATCC 35670; G, B. firmus ATCC 8247; H, B. thuringiensis ATCC10792^T; I, Br. laterosporus LAT169; J, P.alvei m291a; K, P. apiarius ATCC 29575; L: P. larvae subsp. larvae PL36; M, P. larvae subsp. pulvifaciens ATCC13537; N, R. stabekisii mv111. (E) Rsal: MM: Molecular size marker 100 bp ladder (InbioHighway[®], Tandil, Buenos Aires, Argentina) (size is indicated on the left in bp); lane A, B. amyloliquefaciens m39; B, B. badius CCT0 196; lane C, B. cereus m6c; D, B. clausii Fr231; E, B. coagulans ATCC 35670; F, B. licheniformis mv68; G, B. pumilus m360; H, Br. laterosporus LAT169; I, L. fusiformis mv119; J, P. alvei mv82; K, P. apiarius ATCC 2957; L, P. larvae subsp. larvae PL36; M, P. larvae subsp. pulvifaciens ATCC13537^T; N, *P. polymyxa* NRRLB-510; O, *R. stabekisii* mv11. (F) *Taql*: Lanes: MM, Molecular size marker 100 bp ladder (InbioHighway[®], Tandil, Buenos Aires, Argentina), A, B. amyloliquefaciens mv35; B, B. badius CCT0196; C, B. cereus m387; D, B. circulans ATCC 4515; E, B. clausii m448b; F, B. coagulans ATCC 35670; G, B. firmus ATCC 8247; H, B. subtilis m347; I, Br. borstelensis RC; J, Br. laterosporus LAT171; K, P. alvei m420; L, P. apiarius ATCC 29575; M: P. larvae subsp. larvae PL36; N. P. larvae subsp. pulvifaciens SAG 290; O, P. polymyxa NRRL B-510.

Figure 2. Distinct differentiation among species of *Bacillus cereus* group reported in honey by PCR-RFLP. **(A):** Gel electrophoresis of a PCR-amplified 16S rRNA gene fragment of 1,492 bp digested with *Alul*. Lanes: M, Molecular size marker 100 bp ladder (InbioHighway[®], Tandil, Buenos Aires, Argentina) (size is indicated on the left in bp); 1, *B. cereus* m6c; 2, *B. mycoides* m425; 3, *B.*

thuringiensis mv50b; *B. thuringiensis* ATCC 10792^T. **(B)** Gel electrophoresis of a PCR-amplified 16S rRNA gene fragment of 1,492 bp digested with *Cfol.* Lanes: M, Molecular size marker 100 bp ladder (InbioHighway[®], Tandil, Buenos Aires, Argentina) (size is indicated on the left in bp); 1, *B. cereus* m387; 2, *B. mycoides* m336; *B. thuringiensis* mv50b.

References

- Ahmed, I., Yokota, A., Yamazoe, A., Fujiwara, T. 2007. Proposal of Lysinibacillus boronitolerans gen.nov. sp. nov., and transfer of Bacillus fusiformis to Lysinibacillus fusiformis comb. nov. and Bacillus sphaericus to Lysinibacillus sphaericus comb. nov. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 57, 1117-1125.
- Alippi, A.M., 1995. Detection of *Bacillus larvae* spores in Argentinian selective medium. Microbiología 11, 343-350.
- Alippi, A.M., Aguilar, O.M., 1998. Characterization of isolates of *Paenibacillus larvae* subsp. *larvae* from diverse geographical origin by the polymerase chain reaction and Box primers. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 72, 21-27. https://doi.org/10.1006/1998/4748.
- Alippi, A.M., Lopez, A.C., Aguilar, O.M. 2002. Differentiation of *Paenibacillus larvae* subsp. *larvae*, the cause of American Foulbrood of honeybees, by using PCR and restriction fragment analysis of genes encoding 16S rRNA. Appl. Environm. Microbiol., 68, 3655-3660.
- Alippi, A. M., Reynaldi, F. J., López, A. C., De Giusti, M. R., Aguilar, O. M., 2004.
 Molecular epidemiology of *Paenibacillus larvae larvae* and incidence of
 American Foulbrood Argentinean honeys from Buenos Aires Province. J. Apic.
 Res. 43, 135-143.

Alippi, A. M., Abrahamovich, E., 2019. HiCrome Bacillus agar for presumptive

identification of *Bacillus* and related species isolated from honey samples. Int. J. Food Microbiol., 305. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2019.108245

- Ash, C., Farrow, J.A.E., Wallbanks, S., Collins, M. D., 1991. Phylogenetic heterogeneity of the genus *Bacillus* revealed by comparative analysis of small subunit ribosomal RNA sequences. Lett. Appl. Microbiol, 13, 202-206.
- Bağcıoğlu, M., Fricker, M., Johler, S., Ehling-Schulz, M., 2019. Detection and identification of *Bacillus cereus, Bacillus cytotoxicus, Bacillus thuringiensis, Bacillus mycoides* and *Bacillus weihenstephanensis* via machine learning based FTIR Spectroscopy. Front. Microbiol., 10, 902.
- Bartel, L. C., Abrahamovich, E, Mori, C., López, A. C., Alippi, A. M., 2018. Bacillus and Brevibacillus strains as potential antagonists of Paenibacillus larvae and Ascosphaera apis. J. Apic. Res., 58 (1), 117-132. https://doi.org/10.1080/00218839.2018.1495439
- Daffonchio, D., Borin, S., Consolandi, A., Mora, D., Manachini, P.L., Sorlini, C., 1998.
 16S- 23S rRNA internal transcribed spacers as molecular markers for the species of the 16S rRNA group I of the genus *Bacillus*. FEMS Microbiol. Lett., 163, 229–236.
- Dingman, D. W., Stahly, D. P., 1983. Medium promoting sporulation of *Bacillus larvae* metabolism of medium components. Appl. Environm. Microbiol. 46, 860-869.
- Dunlap, C. A., Kim, S. J., Kwon, S. W., & Rooney, A. P. 2016. Bacillus velezensis is not a later heterotypic synonym of Bacillus amyloliquefaciens; Bacillus methylotrophicus, Bacillus amyloliquefaciens subsp. plantarum and 'Bacillus oryzicola' are later heterotypic synonyms of Bacillus velezensis based on phylogenomics. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 66, 1212-1217.
- Fan, B., Blom, J., Klenk, H. P., & Borriss, R., 2017. *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens, Bacillus velezensis,* and *Bacillus siamensis* form an "operational group *B*.

amyloliquefaciens" within the *B. subtilis* species complex. Front. Microbiol. 8, 22.

- Evans, J.D., Armstrong, T-N., 2006. Antagonistic interactions between honey bee bacterial symbionts and implications for disease. BMC Ecol. 6. doi:10.1186/1472-6785-6-4.
- Fernández-No, I.C., Böhme, K., Díaz-Bao, M., Cepeda, A., Barros-Velázquez, J., Calo-Mata, P., 2013. Characterisation and profiling of *Bacillus subtilis, Bacillus cereus* and *Bacillus licheniformis* by MALDI-TOF mass fingerprinting. Food Microbiol. 33, 235-242. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2012.09.022
- Gilliam, M., 1979. Microbiology of pollen and bee bread: The genus *Bacillus*. Apidologie 10, 269-274.
- Gilliam, M., 1997. Identification and roles of non-pathogenic microflora associated with honey bees. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 155,1-10.
- Gilliam, M., Morton, H. L., 1978. Bacteria belonging to the genus *Bacillus* isolated from honey bees, *Apis mellifera*, fed 2.4-D and antibiotics. Apidologie 9, 213-221.
- Gilliam, M., Valentine, D.K., 1976. Bacteria isolated from the intestinal contents of foraging workers honey bees, *Apis mellifera*: the genus *Bacillus*. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 28, 275–276.
- Guinebretière, M. H., Auger, S., Galleron, N., Contzen, M., De Sarrau, B., De Buyser,
 M. L., Lamberet, G., Fagerlund, A., Granum, P.E., Lereclus, D., De Vos, P.,
 Nguyen-The, C., Sorokin, A., 2013. *Bacillus cytotoxicus* sp. nov. is a novel
 thermotolerant species of the *Bacillus cereus* Group occasionally associated
 with food poisoning. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 63, 31-40.
- Haque, A., Russell, N.J., 2005. Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of *Bacillus cereus* isolated from Bangladeshi rice. International J. Food Microbiol. 98, 23–34.

- Hutsebaut, D., Vandroemme, J., Heyrman, J., Dawyndt, P., Vandenabeele, P., Moens,
 L., 2006. Raman microspectroscopy as an identification tool within the
 phylogenetically homogeneous '*Bacillus subtilis*'-group. Syst. App. Microbiol. 29
 8, 650-660.
- Iurlina, M.O., Fritz, R., 2005. Characterization of microorganisms in Argentinean honeys from different sources. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 105, 297-304.
- Jeyaram, K., Romi, W., Singh, T. A., Adewumi, G. A., Basanti, K., Oguntoyinbo, F. A. 2011. Distinct differentiation of closely related species of *Bacillus subtilis* group with industrial importance.J. Microbiol. Methods 87, 161-164. http://doi: 10.1016/j.mimet.2011.08.011.
- Lai, Q., Liu, Y., Shao, Z., 2014. *Bacillus xiamenensis* sp. nov., isolated from intestinal tract contents of a flathead mullet (Mugil cephalus). Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 105, 99-107
- Liu, Y., Lai, Q., Chao, Z., 2018. Genome analysis-based reclassification of *Bacillus weihenstephanensis* as a later heterotypic synonym of *Bacillus mycoides*. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 68, 106–112. DOI 10.1099/ijsem.0.002466
- Logan, N. A., Berge, O., Bishop, A. H., Busse, H. J., De Vos, P., Fritze, D., Heyndrickx,
 M., Kampfer, P., Rabinovitch, L., Salkinoja-Salonen, M. S., Seldin, L., Ventosa,
 A., 2009. Proposed minimal standards for describing new taxa of aerobic,
 endospore-forming bacteria. Int. J.Syst. Bacteriol. 59, 2114-2121.
- López, A.C., Alippi, A.M., 2007. Phenotypic and genotypic diversity of *Bacillus cereus* isolates recovered from honey. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 117, 175-184.
- López, A.C., Alippi, A.M., 2008. Diversity of *Bacillus megaterium* isolates cultured from honeys. LWT-Food Science and Technology 2, 1-8.
- Machado De-Melo, A. A., Ligia Bicudo de Almeida, M., Sancho M.T., Pascual, A., 2018.
 Composition and properties of *Apis mellifera* honey: A review. J. Apic. Res. 57, 5-37. doi: 10.1080/00218839.2017.1338444

- Manzano, M., Cocolin, L., Cantoni, C., Comi, G., 2003. Bacillus cereus, Bacillus thuringiensis and Bacillus mycoides differentiation using a PCR-RE technique. International J. Food Microbiol. 81, 249–254.
- Minnaard, J., Alippi, A.M. 2016. Partial characterization of bacteriocin-like compounds from two strains of *Bacillus cereus* with biological activity against *Paenibacillus larvae*, the causal agent of American Foulbrood disease. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 63, 442-449.
- Molan, P. C., 1992a. The antibacterial activity of honey: 1. The nature of antibacterial activity. Bee World 73, 5–28.
- Molan, P. C., 1992b. The antibacterial activity of honey: 2. Variation in the potency of the antibacterial activity. Bee World 73, 59–76.
- Mundo, M. A., Padilla-Zakour, O. I., Worobo, R. W., 2004. Growth inhibition of foodborne pathogens and food spoilage organisms by select, raw honeys. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 97,1–8.
- Piccini, C., Antúnez, K. Zunino, P., 2004. An approach to the characterization of the honey bee hive bacterial flora. J. Apic. Res. 43, 101–104.
- Pomastowski, P., Złoch, M., Rodzik, A., Ligor, M., Kostrzewa, M., Buszewski, B., 2019. Analysis of bacteria associated with honeys of different geographical and botanical origin using two different identification approaches: MALDI-TOF MS and 16S rDNA PCR technique. PloS ONE 14 (5). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0217078.
- Shaver, Y.J., Nagpal, M.L., Rudner, R., Nakamura, L.K., Fox, K.F., Fox, A., 2002.
 Restriction fragment length polymorphism of rRNA operons for discrimination and intergenic spacer sequences for cataloging of *Bacillus subtilis* sub-groups.
 J. Microbiol. Methods 50, 215-23.

- Shu, L-J., Yang, Y-L., 2017. Bacillus classification based on matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry-effects of culture conditions. Sci. Rep.7:15546. DOI:10.1038/s41598-017-15808-5
- Sinacori, M., Francesca, N., Alfonzo, A., Cruciata, M., Sannino, C., Settanni, L., Moschetti, G., 2014. Cultivable microorganisms associated with honeys of different geographical and botanical origin. Food. Microbiol. 38, 284-294.
- Snowdon, J.A., Cliver, D.O., 1996. Microorganisms in honey. Int. J. Food, Microbiol. 31, 1-26.
- Vaerewijck, M. J. M., De Vos, P., Lebbe, L., Scheldeman, P., Hoste, B., & Heyndrickx, M., 2001. Occurrence of *Bacillus sporothermodurans* and other aerobic spore-forming species in feed concentrate for dairy cattle. *J. Appl. Microbiol.*, 91,1074-1084.
- Vardhan, S., Kaushik, R., Saxena, A. K., Arora, D. K., 2011. Restriction analysis and partial sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene as index for rapid identification of *Bacillus* species. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 99, 283–296.
- Vilas-Boas, G. T., Peruca, A. P. S., Arantes, O. M. N., 2007. Biology and taxonomy of Bacillus cereus, Bacillus anthracis, and Bacillus thuringiensis. Can. J. Microbiol., 53, 673-687.
- Wen, Y., Wang, L., Yue J., Zhang, J., Su, L., Zhang, X., Zhou, J., Li, Y., 2017. The microbial community dynamics during the vitex honey ripening process in the honeycomb. Front. Microbiol. 8, 1649. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2017.01649.
- Wu, X. Y., Walker, M.J., Hornitzky, M., Chin, J., 2006. Development of a group-specific PCR combined with ARDRA for the identification of *Bacillus* species of environmental significance. J. Microbiol. Methods.64, 107-119.
- Yu, J., Zhou, X. F., Yang, S. J., Liu, W. H, Hu, X. F., 2013. Design and application of specific 16S rDNA targeted primers for assessing endophytic diversity in

Dendrobium officinale using nested PCR-DGGE. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 97, 9825–9836 http://doi: 10.1007/s00253-013-5294-y.

Table 1. List of bacterial strains and culture condition used in this study with GenBank accession numbers and references.

Strain	AccessionNumber	Culture conditions ^a	Culture collection ^b	Reference
Bacillus amvloliquefaciens				
m39	MG004187.1	TSA/30°C	UB-CIDEFI	Alippi and Abrahamovich, 2019
m287b	MG004189.1	TSA/30°C	UB-CIDEFI	Alippi and Abrahamovich, 2019
m163b	MG004188.1	TSA/30°C	UB-CIDEFI	Alippi and Abrahamovich, 2019
m164b	MG004193.1	TSA/30°C	UB-CIDEFI	Alippi and Abrahamovich, 2019
mv35	MG004186.1	TSA/30°C	UB-CIDEFI	Alippi and Abrahamovich, 2019
XX	KP177517.1	TSA/30°C	UB-CIDEFI	Bartel et al., 2018
m291b	MG004190.1	TSA/30°C	UB-CIDEFI	Alippi and Abrahamovich, 2019
Bacillus badius				
CCT 0196	N/A ^c	TSA/32°C	CCT	N/A
Bacillus cereus				
ATCC 11778	AF290546	TSA/32°C	ATCC	N/A
m6c	KP005456.1	TSA/32°C	UB-CIDEFI	Minnaard and Alippi, 2016
mv33	KU230015.1	ISA/32°C	UB-CIDEFI	Bartel et al., 2018
m387	KP005455.1	ISA/32°C	UB-CIDEFI	Minnaard and Alippi, 2016
m434	KU230027.1	ISA/32°C	UB-CIDEFI	Bartel et al., 2018
LPcer1	KX431225.1	TSA/32°C	UB-CIDEFI	Bartel et al., 2018
MexB	KU230012.1	ISA/32°C	UB-CIDEFI	Bartel et al., 2018
Mexc	KU230013.1	15A/32°C	OB-CIDEFI	Bartel et al., 2018
ATCC 4515	NI/A		ATCC	Ν/Δ
A TCC 4515	INA	WITFGF/37 C	AIG	IVA
Er231	KU232014 1			Partel et al. 2018
m1/18b	KU232014.1 KX685150 1	MYPGP/37°C	UB-CIDEFI	Bartel et al., 2018
BelENT	N/A	MYPGP/37°C	UB-CIDEFI	N/Δ
Bacillus coaquians				
ATCC 35670	N/A	TSA/30°C	ATCC	N/A
Bacillus firmus			1100	
ATCC 8247	N/A	TSA/32°C	ATCC	N/A
Bacillus licheniformis				
NRRLB-1001	N/A	TSA/30°C	NRRL	N/A
mv55	KU232018.1	TSA/30°C	UB-CIDEFI	Bartel et al., 2018
mv68	MF187633.1	TSA/30°C	UB-CIDEFI	Alippi and Abramovich, 2019
Bacillus megaterium				
NRRL B-939	N/A	TSA/32°C	NRRL	N/A
m327	MF187637.1	TSA/32°C	UB-CIDEFI	Alippi and Abrahamovich, 2019
m435	KU232028.1	TSA/32°C	UB-CIDEFI	Bartel et al., 2018
Bacillus mycoides				
ATCC 10206	N/A	TSA/32°C	ATCC	N/A
m336	MF187638.1	TSA/32°C	UB-CIDEFI	Alippi and Abrahamovich,2019
m425	N/A	TSA/32°C	UB-CIDEFI	N/A
Bacillus pumilus		TO A (0.000 C)		
A ICC 7061	AY8/6289.1	TSA/30°C		N/A
mv41aA	MG366818.1	TSA/30°C	UB-CIDEFI	Alippi and Abranamovich, 2019
mv49b	KU232016.1	ISA/30°C	UB-CIDEFI	Bartel et al., 2018
(IIV / 4 m (91	IVIF972935.1	15A/30°C		Alippi and Abranamovich, 2019
m116	KU232019.1	TSA/30 C		Dartel et al., 2010
m299	NU232020.1 ME197625 1	15A/30°C	UB-CIDEFI	Alippi and Abrahamovich 2010
m332	ME187646 1	TSA/30 C	UB-CIDEFI	Alippi and Abrahamovich, 2019
m339	MG366884 1	TSA/30°C	UB-CIDEFI	Alippi and Abrahamovich 2019
m350	KU232023 1	TSA/30°C	UB-CIDEFI	Bartel et al. 2018
m357	ME187634 1	TSA/30°C	UB-CIDEFI	Alippi and Abrahamovich 2019
m358	MG345110.1	TSA/30°C	UB-CIDEFI	Alippi and Abrahamovich, 2019
m360	MF187636.1	TSA/30°C	UB-CIDEFI	Alippi and Abrahamovich, 2019
m363	KU232024.1	TSA/30°C	UB-CIDEFI	Bartel et al. 2018
m414	KU232026.1	TSA/30°C	UB-CIDEFI	Bartel et al., 2018
Bacillus subtilis				,
NRRL B-543	N/A	TSA/30°C	NRRL	N/A
m13	MF187645.1	TSA/30°C	UB-CIDEFI	Alippi and Abrahamovich, 2019
cm45	MF187639.1	TSA/30°C	UB-CIDEFI	Alippi and Abrahamovich, 2019
m191	MF187644.1	TSA/30°C	UB-CIDEFI	Alippi and Abrahamovich, 2019
m329	KU232021.1	TSA/30°C	UB-CIDEFI	Bartel et al., 2018
m334	KU232022.1	TSA/30°C	UB-CIDEFI	Bartel et al., 2018
m347	KP175515.1	TSA/30°C	UB-CIDEFI	Bartel et al., 2018
m392	MF187640.1	ISA/30°C	UB-CIDEFI	Alippi and Abrahamovich, 2019
Bacillus thuringiensis	D10001 1	TO A 1000 C	4 700	N//A
A ICC 10792'	D16281.1	ISA/32°C		IVA
	KU232017.1	1SA/32°C	UB-CIDEFI	Bartel et al., 2018
11695	NU232U25.1	15A/32°C	UR-CIDELI	Bartel et al., 2018

Brevibacillus borstelensis	3			
RC	MF187641.1	MY PGP/37°C	UB-CIDEFI	Bartel et al., 2018
m348	KP177514.1	MY PGP/37°C	UB-CIDEFI	Alippi and Abrahamovich, 2019

Table 1 (Continued). List of bacterial strains and culture condition used in this study with GenBank accession numbers and references

Strain	Accession Number	Culture conditions ^a	Culture collection ^D	Reference
Brevibacillusbrevis				
ATCC 8246	N/A	MYPGP/37°C	ATCC	N/A
Brevibacillus laterosporus				
LAT169	KX102627.1	MYPGP/37°C	UB-CIDEFI	Bartel et al., 2018
LAT170	KX431223.1	MY PGP/37°C	UB-CIDEFI	Bartel et al., 2018
LAT171	KX431224.1	MY PGP/37°C	UB-CIDEFI	Bartel et al., 2018
Lysinibacillus fusiformis				
mv119	MG004185.1	MYPGP/37°C	UB-CIDEFI	Alippi and Abrahamovich, 2019
Lysinibacillus sphaericus				
ATCC 245	N/A	MYPGP/37°C	ATCC	N/A
m533	MG001492.1	MYPGP/37°C	UB-CIDEFI	Alippi and Abrahamovich, 2019
LMDZA	MG004191.1	MYPGP/37°C	UB-CIDEFI	Alippi and Abrahamovich, 2019
Paenibacillus alvei				
NRRL B-383	N/A	MYPGP/37°C	NRRL	N/A
mv82	MF187643.1	MYPGP/37°C	UB-CIDEFI	Alippi and Abrahamovich, 2019
m291a	MF187632.1	MYPGP/37°C	UB-CIDEFI	Alippi and Abrahamovich, 2019
m420	MF187642.1	MYPGP/37°C	UB-CIDEFI	Alippi and Abrahamovich, 2019
Paenibacillus apiarius				
ATCC 29575	N/A	MYPGP/37°C	ATCC	N/A
Paenibacillus larvae subsp. larvae				
ATCC 9545 ^T	NR_118956.1	MYPGP/37°C	ATCC	Ash et al., 1991
PL36	N/A	MYPGP/37°C	UB-CIDEFI	N/A
Paenibacillus larvae subsp.pulvifaciens				
ATCC 13537 ^T	KT363749.1	MY PGP/37°C	ATCC	Dingman, 2015, unpublished
SAG 290	N/A	MYPGP/37°C	UB-CIDEFI	N/A
SAG 10367	KT363748.1	MYPGP/37°C	UB-CIDEFI	Dingman, 2015, unpublished
Paenibacillus polymyxa				
NRRL B-510	N/A	MY PGP/37°C	NRRL	N/A
Rummeliibacillus stabekisii				
mv111	MF972934.1	MYPGP/37°C	UB-CIDEFI	Alippi and Abrahamovich, 2019

^a TSA: Tryptic soy agar, MYPGP: Müller-Hinton – Yeast –Peptone – Glucose – Pyruvate agar.

 ^b ATCC: American Type Culture Collection, Rockville, USA; CCT: Coleção de Culturas Tropical, Fundaçao André Tosello, Brazil; NRRL: Northern Utilization Research and Development Division, Peoria, Illinois, USA; UB-CIDEFI: Unidad de Bacteriología, Centro de Investigaciones de Fitopatología, Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias y Forestales,Universidad Nacional de La Plata, La Plata, Argentina.

^c N/A: Not applicable.

Table 2. Accession numbers of selected 16S rRNA sequences and whole-genomes from Type strains (T) used for *in silico* analysis and comparisons.

Strains	Accession Number 16S rRNA gene	Accession Number whole-genome
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens ATCC 23350 ¹	NR 118950.1	EN597644
Bacillus anthracis ATCC14578	AF016879	GCA 000007845 1
Bacillus atrophaeus JCM 9070	AB021181	GCA_001584335_1
Bacillus badius ATCC 1/57/	X77790 1	IX P0100009
Bacillus cereus ATCC 14579	AF290546 1	AE016877
Bacillus circulans ATCC 4513	AV724600 1	AV724600
Bacillus circularis ATCC 4013	X76440 1	CD010085
Bacillus citatorious NVH 201	CR000764	CF019903
Bacillus conculans ATCC 7050 ¹	A D 271752 1	CR000700
Bacillus Coaguians ATCC 7050	AB2/1/32.1	CF009709 DCUV01000205
Bacillus IIIIIlus INDRC 15500 Bacillus flower NDRC 15715 ¹	NR_112035.1	BCU101000205
Bacilius liexus INBRC 15715	NR_024091.1	BCVD01000224
Bacillus licheniformis ATCC 14580 ¹	NR_074923.1	AE017333
Bacillus mojavensis RO-H-1 ¹	JH600280	GCA_000507105.1
Bacillus megaterium ATCC 14581	NR_112636.1	JJMH01000057
Bacillus mycoides ATCC 6462	NR_115993.1	ACMU01000002
Bacillus paralicheniformis KJ-16 ¹	KY694465	GCA 001042485.2
Bacillus pumilus ATCC 7061	NR_043242.1	ABRX01000007
Bacillus pseudomycoides DSM 12442	ACMX01000133	GCA 000161455.1
Bacillus safensis FO-36b ¹	ASJD01000027	GCA_003097715.1
Bacilus siamensis KCTC 3613'	AJVF01000043	GCA_000262045.1
Bacillus simplex NBRC 15720'	NR 042136.1	BCVO01000086
Bacillus subtilis IAM 12118	NR-112116.2	ABQL01000001
	AVT004000042	000 000507445 4
Bacillus tequilensis KCTC 13622	AT 1001000043	GCA_000507145.1
Bacilius thunngiensis ATCC 10/92	D16281.1	ACNF01000156
Bacilius toyonensis BC1-7112	CP006863	GCA_000496285.1
Bacillus vallismortis DV1-F-3	JH600273	N/A
Bacillus velezensis CR-502	AY603658	GCA_001461825.1
Bacilus xiamenenis HYC-10	AMSH01000114	GCA_000300535.1
Brevibacillus borstelensis NRRL NRS-818	AB112721	GCA 003710865.1
Brevibacillus brevis NBRC 15304	AB271756.1	GCA_003385915.1
Brevibacillus laterosporus DSM 25	NR 112212.1	CP017705
	-	
Lysinibacillus fusiformis ATCC 7055 ¹	NR_112569.1	GCA_003049525.1
Lysinibacillus sphaericus NBRC 15095 ¹	NR_112627.1	GCA_002982115.1
Describes allow short DOM 00	A 1000404	001 00000005 4
	AJ320491	GCA_000293805.1
Paenibacillus apiarius NRRL NRS-1438	NR_118834.1	GCA_002161865.1
Paenibacillus larvae subsp. larvae ATCC 9545	NR_118956.1	GCA_002003265.1
Paenibacillus larvae subsp.pulvifaciens ATCC 13537	K1363749.1	GCA_002007765.1
Paenibacillus polymyxa ATCC 842'	AJ320493.1	AFOX01000032
Rummeliibacillus stabekisii KSC-SF6a ¹	DQ870754_1	N/A ^a
	_ ~~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	

^a N/A: Not applicable

Table 3. Theoretical prediction of restriction fragments size (in base pairs) generated by *Alul*, *Cfol, Haelll, Hinfl, Rsal*, and *Taql* based on published 16S rRNA sequences from GeneBank.

Signature bands obtained with six restriction enzymes with a 4-bp recognition site						ı site
Species	Alul	Cfol	Haelll	Hinfl	Rsal	Taql
Bacillus amyloiquefaciens ATCC 2 <u>3350¹</u> m39-m287b-m163b-m164b-m291b mv35 xx	430-265-207-201-186-173-68. 430-265-207-186-173-134-24. 430-207-187-186-173. 430-265-207-186-173-94	869-426-235. 869-359-191 869-165-149. 869-319-97	599-457-289-105-22. 599-457-260-81-22. 599-457-266-65-22. 599-457-166-41-22	605-372-316-154-25. 606-372-287-129-25. 605-372-293-114-25. 605-372-193-90-25	501-466-406-99. 501-437-406-75. 501-443-406-59. 501-406-343-35	907-359-214-50. 907-359-147-6. 891-292. 819-359-107
Bacillus badius ATCC 14574 ^T	429-392-206-186-176-53	352-345-336-223-182-2-2.	564-365-292-91-74-34-2.2	975-319-123-25	439-406-354-146-68-18-11.	909-499-34.
Bacillus cereus ATCC 14579' ATCC 11778 m6c-mv33 434 LPcerl m387 MexB MexC	599-224-186-174-169-81-58-21 599-224-186-174-156-64-58-21 599-224-186-174-1156-64-58-21 599-224-186-174-112-79-9 600-398-186-93-58-21-8 601-224-174-114-29 599-224-186-174-111	586-394-346-182-2-2. 569-381-346-182-2-2 585-393-346-182-2-2 503-346-337-182-2-2 513-347-318-182-2-2 534-351-182-71-2- 511-346-336-182-2-2	565-457-291-117-34-22 565-457-301-103-34-22 565-460-297-112-34-22 565-457-262-59-34-22 566-457-212-40-34-33-22 565-266-255-34-22 565-457-243-58-34-22	977-345-165-25 977-328-172-25 977-324-164-25. 977-289-108-25 978-272-90-825 824-293-25 977-270-105-25	495-406-355-146-110 478-406-355-146-97 444-406-355-146-97 493-406-355-146-53 422-407-355-146-53 4423-406-293 420-406-355-146-52	771-541-138-62 771-528-138-45 771-540-138-41 771-484-129-9-6 772-465-127 771-223-138-10 771-483-425
Bacillus circulans ATCC 4513 ^T	824-265-170-84-56-50-38	392-348-336-216-182-2-2	438-406-357-146-111-18-11	607-351-318-166-25-20	438-406-357-146-111-18-11	770-541-122-31-14
<i>Bacillus dausii</i> DSM 8716 ¹ Fr231 m448b	454-419-218-186-88-86-54 419-394-219-186-88-85-26 428-419-218-186-88-70	414-346-337-214-182-62-2-2 354-346-338-193-182-2-2 388-346-337-182-152-2-2	627-264-216-208-85-78-22-5 567-264-217-184-85-78-22 601-264-216-143-85-78-22	790-372-318-25 730-373-289-25 764-372-248-25	433-406-355-146-130-19-11-5 409-406-355-146-70-20-11 406-368-355-146-104-19-11	561-409-380-120-35 561-408-380-120-8 535-380-374-120
Bacillus coagulans ATCC 7050 ^T	616-473-209-174-77	385-348-336-222-182-2-2	404-367-236-155-92-85-76-44	979-366-204	491-406-357-149-146	908-534-35
Bacillus firmus NBRC 15306 ^T	824-425-124-53-51	395-348-336-222-182-2-2	564-459-213-107-44-34-34-22	547-371-318-156-60-25	438-406-357-146-101-18-11	904-312-232-39
Bacillus flexus NBRC 15715 ^T	615-265-209-199-88-86-67	433-348-245-236-182-101-2-2	598-459-226-146-78-22	978-331-195-25	451-406-357-146-140-18-11	582-500-467
Bacillus licheriformis ATCC 14580 ^T mv55-mv68	823-265-210-141-73-33 823-265-163-141-69-33	380-346-337-219-182-2-2 388-346-337-237-182-2-2	599-457-288-102-22 599-457-306-108-22	605-372-315-151-25 605-372-333-155-25-4	501-435-406-96-19-11 503-453-406-102-19-11	500-408-359-168-33 500-359-176-51
Bacillus megaterium ATCC 14581 [⊤] m327 m435	615-265-209-134-86-54 615-265-209-123-88-86-24-10 388-265-209-123-48	531-350-348-182-2-2 528-358-348-182-2-2 396-348-181-77-27-2-2	598-459-200-120-78-22 598-459-183-80-78-22 459-319-137-118	978-318-165-25 978-288-129-25 866-167	438-406-357-146-110-18-11 438-406-357-146-74-18-11 405-357-146-112-11-2	908-573-48 907-507-6 545-488
Bacillus mycoides ATCC 6462 [⊤] m336	552-224-186-174-169-58-47-30-21 552-224-186-174-134-58-47-25-21	535-394-346-182-2-2 530-359-346-182-2-2	565-457-267-116-34-22 565-457-262-81-34-22	977-294-165-25 977-289-130-25	444-406-355-146-110 439-406-355-146-75	771-541-138-11 771-506-138-6
Bacillus pumilus ATCC 7061 ^T m330-m288-m339-m354-m357-m358 mv41a4-m363-m414-m360-mv49b	428-265-207-186-160-88-85-62 429-265-207-186-134-88-85-24	867-385-229 868-359-191	598-457-260-97-22 598-457-260-79-22	605-371-287-146-25 605-371-287-128-25	501-436-406-91 501-407-406-75-19-11	905-359-173-44 906-359-147-6
mv74 mv81 m116	429-265-207-186-88-8556-25 429-265-207-186-132-88-85-4 429-186-126-88-85-51 429-265-186-130	868-250-223 868-357-171. 747-218 900-250-223	598-429-292-22 598-457-240-79-22 598-287-58-22 598-459-240-79-22	605-371-319-25-21 605-371-267-128-25. 371-314-25-25 605-314-25-25	468-467-406 501-416-406-73. 463-406-96 470-406-96	906-359-38-38 892-359-145. 906-33-26 882-359-145
Bacillus simplex NBRC 15720 [⊤]	615-463-208-88-85-63	423-347-336-230-182-2-2	479-458-221-145-85-78-34-22	917-275-194-60-51-25	446-406-356-146-139-18-11	896-572-45-9
Bacillus subilis IAM 12118 [†] m392-cm45-m191-m13-m347-329-334	430-265-207-186-173-130-51 430-265-207-186-173-134-24	869-342-218 869-359-191	599-457-269-140-22 599-457-260-81-22	605-372-326-189-25 605-372-287-130-25	501-446-406-134-19-11 501-407-406-75-19-11	500-407-359-110-33 500-407-359-147-66
Bacillus thuringiensis ATCC 10792 [⊤] mv50b m395	599-224-186-174-170-58-54-21 600-224-186-174-122-58-26-21 599-224-186-174-162-26	559-395-346-182-2-2 531-347-347-182-2-2 531-346-182-122-2-2	556-457-291-117-34-22 566-457-263-69-34-22. 565-301-263-34-22	977-318-166-25 978-290-118-25 870-290-25	468-406-355-146-111 440-406-356-146-63 440-406-339	771-542-138-35. 772-494-137-8 771-269-138-7
Brevibacillus borstelensis NRRL NRS-1438 [⊺] RC-m348	403-229-210-200-98-81-33-4 403-212-207-205-189-81-74-334	412-346-337-181-175-31-2-2 358-346-337-181-151-31-1-1	598-457-177-134-44-34-22 598-457-173-80-44-34-22	1001-263-183-39 1001-263-129-15	412-400-355-146-119-19-11-9 415-398-355-146-74-19-11	499-395-357-202-33 499-395-303-202-9
Brevibacillus brevis NBRC 15304 [⊤]	425-403-212-161-159-46-43-12	385-346-337-208-181-2-2	598-457-199-107-44-34-22	1001-252-156-41-9-2	424-405-355-146-101-19-11	499-395-320-202-35
Brevibacillus laterosporus DSM 25 [⊤] lat169-lat170-lat171	452-403-212-181-179-46-33 403-370-212-161-152-46-33	394-346-337-181-177-31-2-2 346-337-330-181-148-31-2-2	457-410-199-188-116-78-22 457-410-188-170-78-52-52	1001-304-165 1001-275-101	454-405-355-146-110 425-405-355-146-46	894-339-202-35 894-275-202-6
Lysinibællus fusiformis ATCC 7055 [⊤] mv119	615-246-209-207-174-64 615-209-207-190-174-27	413-348-336-232-182-2-2 357-348-336-195-182-2-2	598-459-223-135-78-22 598-459-186-79-78-22	978-328-184-25 978-291-128-25	503-448-406-129-18-11 503-411-406-73-18-11	879-562-45-29 908-506-8
Lysinibacillus sphaericus NBRC 15095 ⁺ m533-LMDZ	615-216-209-207-174-64. 615-209-207-189-174-25	400-348-336-232-182-2-2 356-348-336-193-182-2-2	598-459-190-78-72-22 598-459-184-78-78-22	978-295-121-25 978-289-127-25	503-415-406-66-18-11 503-409-406-72-18-11	908-562-45 908-505-6
Paenibacillus alvei DSM 29 [⊤] mv82-m420-m291a	461-419-216-186-157-88 419216-186-116-88	421-346-336-239-181-2-2 357-346-336-198-181-2-2	1124-308-73-22 1133-267-22	1167-335-25 1103-294-25	484-340-170-146-144-137-91 443-340-235-170-146-73-15	789-568-120-50 789-504-120-9
Paenibacillus apiarius NRRL NRS-1438 [⊤]	587-422-186-160-88-46	348-344-336-242-181-34-2-2	596-526-311-34-22	801-338-325-25	596-526-311-34-22	645-528-260-56
Paenibacillus larvae subsp. larvae ATCC 9545 [⊤]	637-364-186-163-73-14	348-336-324-244-181-2-2	286-247-235-231-215-123-78-22	1072-332-25-8	489-405-344-146-38-15	788-473-120-49-7
Paenibacillus larvae subsp.pulvifaciens NRRL B-14154 ATCC 13537 ^T	637-406-186-135-74-14 637-404-186-73-20-14	366-348-336-217-181-2-2 364-348-336-181-101-2-2	286-247-240-231-208-123-78-22 286-247-240-231-208-123-78-22	919-313-195-25 919-313-195-25	462-405-344-146-80-15 462-405-344-146-80-15	789-515-120-28 701-513-120
Paenibacillus polymyxa ATCC 842 ^T	419-390-216-186-88-87-72-62	423-346-336-231-181-2-2	689-288-222-222-44-34-22	799-370-327-25	476-405-342-147-136-15	789-570-120-42

<i>Rummeliibacillus stabekisii</i> KSC-SF6g [⊺] mv111	615-215-209-207-86-85-32 615-209-207-192-86-85	382-360-348-182-165-8-2-2 380-359-348-182-161-8-2-2	598-459-209-70-44-37-34-22 598-459-184-70-44-34-22-11	893-339-156-85 893-314-130-85	434-406-357-146-101-18-11 409-406-357-146-75-18-11	904-531-14 904-508-10	

A CERTER MANUSCRIPT

	S	ion enzymes with	a 4-bp recognition		
	Alul	Cfol	Haelll	Hinfl	Rsal
-m291b-mv35-xx	430-265-210-186-170	869-359-191	600-457-260	606-372-287-130	501-437-406
	430-390-205-186-170	352-345-336-223-182	564-365-292	975-319-123	438-406-354-146
34LPcer1-m387-MexB-MexC	600-224-186-170	585-393-346-182	565-460-297-112	977-324-164	444-406-355-149-10
	824-265-170	395-348-336-216-182	438-406-357-146-111	607-351-318-166	438-406-357-146
	428-420-220-190.	354-346-338-193-182	600-264-216-143	764-372-248	409-406-355-146
	620-470-200-170	395-348-336-222-182	404-367-236-155	979-366-204	491-406-357-149-14
	824-425-125	395-348-336-222-182	564-459-213-107	547-371-318-156	438-406-357-146
	823-265-210-170	388-346-337-237	600-457-260	606-372-287-130	503-453-406-102
	615-265-210-170	571-424-348-182	598-457-173	978-288-130	438-406-357-146
	552-224-186-170	535-394-346-182	565-460-297-112	978-289-130-	439-406-355-146
n339-m354-m357-m358 mv41aA- nv74-mv81-m350-m116	430-265-210-186	868-359-191	600-457-260	606-372-287-130	501-407-406
n191-m13-m347-329-334	430-265-210-186-170	869-359-191	600-457-260	606-372-287-130	501-407-406
5	593-220-186-170	531-347-347-182	565-460-297-112	978-288-130	440-406-356-146
	400-210-190	358-346-337-181-151	598-457-173	1001-263-129	405-398-355-146
	425-403-210-160	385-346-337-208-181	598-457-173	1001-263-129	425-405-355-146
	425-403-210-160	395-348-336-216-182	457-410-188-170	1001-263-129	425-405-355-146
	615-210-200-170	415-350-336-232-182	598-457-173	978-288-130	503-410-406
	615-210-200-170	360-350-336-190	598-457-173	978-288-130	503-410-406
n291a	420-390-220-190	420-350-335-232-182	1133-267	1100-294	443-340-235-170-14
10000	590-420-186-160	352-345-336-220-182	596-526-311	800-338-325	487-339-234-170
. Iarvae	640-400-186	352-345-336-220-182	286-247-235-231-215	1072-332	489-405-344-146
. <i>puiviraciens</i> G 10367	640-400-186	352-345-336-220-182	286-247-240-231-215	920-313-195	462-405-344-146
	420-390-220-190	420-350-335-232-180	689-288-222	800-338-325	476-405-342-147-13
I	615-215-210-200	380-360-350-180-160	598-457-173	893-314-130	434-406-357-146-10

Table 4. Gel detectable restriction fragments size (in base pairs) of a PCR-amplified 16S rRNA fragment of 1,490 bp digested with *Alul*, *Cfol*, *Haelll*, *Hinfl*, *Rsal*, and *Taql*.

Table 5. Restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) patterns of PCRamplified 16S rRNA genes among aerobic spore-forming species used in this study.

Creation	Pattern obtained with restriction enzyme:					
Species	Alul	Cfol	Haelll	Hinfl	Rsal	Taql
Bacillus amvloliquefaciens	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α
Bacillus badius	В	В	В	В	В	В
Bacillus cereus sensu stricto	С	С	С	С	С	С
Bacillus circulans	D	D	D	D	В	D
Bacillus clausii	Е	В	E	E	D	Е
Bacillus coaqulans	F	D	F	F	Е	F
Bacillus firmus	G	D	G	G	В	G
Bacillus licheniformis	Н	E	A	А	F	Н
Bacillus megaterium	I	F	Н	Н	В	В
Bacillus mycoides	J	G	С	Н	В	С
Bacillus pumilus	K	A	А	А	G	А
Bacillus subtilis	А	Α	А	А	G	Н
Bacillus thuringiensis	L	Н	С	Н	С	С
Brevibacillus borstelensis	М		Н	I	D	I
Brevibacillus brevis	Ν	E	Н	I	Н	I
Brevibacillus laterosporus	Ν	D	I	1	Н	J
Lysinibacillus fusiformis	0	J	Н	Н	I	В
Lysinibacillus sphaericus	0	K	Н	Н	I	В
Paenibacillus alvei	Р	L	J	J	J	K
Paenibacillus apiarius	Q	В	K	K	K	L
Paenibacillus larvae subsp. larvae	R	В	L	L	L	М
Paenibacillus larvae subsp. pulvifaciens	R	В	L	М	М	Ν
Paenibacillus polymyxa	Р	L	Μ	K	Ν	0
Rummeliibacillus stabekisii	0	М	Н	Ν	0	В
Total	18	13	13	14	15	15
V						

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that no conflict of interest exists.

Street P in the second

Highlights

- PCR-RFLP differentiates 26 aerobic spore-forming bacteria reported in honey.
- Forty-six RFLP patterns were found by using *Alul*, *Cfol*, and *Taql* enzymes.
- *B. subtilis* group can be separated by using a combination of *Alul* and *Taql*.
- Species within the *B. cereus* group can be separated by using *Alul* or *Cfol*.
- Species of *Paenibacillus* from honey can be separated by using *Taql*.





















Figure 2