Optical spectroscopy of X-Mega targets – I. CPD -59° 2635: a new double-lined O-type binary in the Carina Nebula

J. F. Albacete Colombo, ^{1★}†‡ N. I. Morrell, ¹†§¶ V. S. Niemela ¹†|| and M. F. Corcoran ^{2,3}

Accepted 2001 March 5; Received 2001 January 3; in original form 1999 September 1

ABSTRACT

Optical spectroscopy of CPD -59° 2635, one of the O-type stars in the open cluster Trumpler 16 in the Carina Nebula, reveals this star to be a double-lined binary system. We have obtained the first radial velocity orbit for this system, consisting of a circular solution with a period of 2.2999 d and semi-amplitudes of 208 and 273 km s⁻¹. This results in minimum masses of 15 and 11 M $_\odot$ for the binary components of CPD -59° 2635, which we classified as O8V and O9.5V, although spectral type variations of the order of 1 subclass, which we identify as the *Struve–Sahade effect*, seem to be present in both components. From *ROSAT* HRI observations of CPD -59° 2635 we determine a luminosity ratio $\log(L_{\rm x}/L_{\rm bol}) \approx -7$, which is similar to that observed for other O-type stars in the Carina Nebula region. No evidence of light variations is present in the available optical or X-ray data sets.

Key words: binaries: general – stars: early-type – stars: individual: CPD -59° 2635 – open clusters and associations: individual: Trumpler 16 – X-rays: stars.

1 INTRODUCTION

The very young Carina Nebula region contains several open clusters with a rich population of O-type stars. Among them, Trumpler 16 is one of the most conspicuous. One of its members, CPD -59° 2635 ($V=9.27,\ \alpha_{2000}=10^{\circ}45'12.78'',\ \delta_{2000}=-59^{\circ}44'46.6'';$ Massey & Johnson 1993) has been observed in the context of the international X-Mega campaign (Corcoran et al. 1999), which involves optical spectroscopy of OB stars showing X-ray emission on ROSAT HRI images (Corcoran et al. 1999) CPD -59° 2635 is one of the OB stars in the neighborhood of η Carinae also detected as a bright X-ray source. Fig. 1 shows an X-ray image centred on CPD -59° 2635 obtained through combination of three deep ROSAT HRI pointings (see below) along with the optical field from the Digitized Sky Survey.

Because a massive binary system could influence its emergent X-ray flux as a result of colliding stellar winds (e.g. Chlebowski &

Garmany 1991), it is important to verify the frequency of close multiple systems among the Carina OB stars that are detected as X-ray sources. We wonder, for example, if wind collision might be the physical reason that makes CPD -59° 2635 brighter in X-rays than its close neighbour HD 93343, which is very similar in both visual brightness and spectral type (see Fig. 1).

CPD -59° 2635 has received different designations in the literature. The IDS catalogue (Jeffers, van den Bos & Greeby 1963) refers to it as IDS 10452 S 5946, possibly forming a visual binary with HD 93343, of similar V magnitude, about 14 arcsec to the South. Stephenson & Sanduleak (1971) gave to CPD -59° 2635 the number 1872 in their catalogue of Luminous Stars in the Southern Milky Way (LSS), but misleadingly provided the crossidentification as HD 93343? Feinstein, Marraco & Muzzio (1973) assigned to CPD -59° 2635 the number 34, among the probable members of the open cluster Trumpler 16.

*E-mail: albacete@lilen.fcaglp.unlp.edu.ar

†Visiting Astronomer, CASLEO, operated under agreement between CONICET and National Universities of La Plata, Córdoba and San Juan, Argentina.

‡Fellow of CIC, Prov. de Buenos Aires, Argentina.

§Visiting Astronomer, CTIO, NOAO, operated by AURA Inc., for NSF.

¶Member of Carrera del Investigador Científico, CONICET, Argentina.

∥Member of Carrera del Investigador Científico, CIC, Prov. de Buenos Aires, Argentina.

2 OBSERVATIONS


Our observations consist of optical spectrograms of CPD -59° 2635, obtained during 1984 at Cerro Tololo Interamerican Observatory (CTIO), Chile, and between 1997 and 2000 at Complejo Astronómico El Leoncito (CASLEO).

The first set of 11 observations was obtained in 1984 March at CTIO using the Carnegie Image Tube Spectrograph (CTIS) attached to the 1-m Yale telescope. These spectrograms, covering a wavelength range from 3900 to 4900 Å at a reciprocal dispersion of

¹Facultad de Ciencias Astronómicas y Geofísicas, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, Paseo del Bosque S/N, 1900 La Plata, Argentina

²Universities Space Research Association, 7501 Forbes Blvd, Ste 206, Seabrook, MD 20706, USA

³Laboratory for High Energy Astrophysics, Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA






Figure 1. Optical field around CPD -59° 2635 (left) from the Digitized Sky Survey and ROSAT HRI image (right). The fields of view are 6 × 6 arcmin².

43 Å mm⁻¹, were widened to 1 mm and secured on Kodak IIIa-J baked emulsion. A He-A lamp was used as a comparison source. These photographic spectrograms were digitized with a Grant micro-densitometer at La Plata Observatory, and subsequently analysed with IRAF¹ routines.

Spectral CCD images of CPD -59° 2635 were obtained at Complejo Astronómico El Leoncito (CASLEO) observatory, between 1997 January and 2000 June with the 2.15-m Jorge Sahade telescope, mainly as part of the observations for the X-Mega campaign. We used a Recherches et Études d'Optique et de Science Connexes (REOSC) echelle Cassegrain spectrograph and a Tek² 1024×1024 pixel CCD as detector to obtain 27 spectra in the wavelength range from 3500 to 6000 Å at a reciprocal dispersion of $0.17 \,\text{Å}\,\text{pixel}^{-1}$ at $4500 \,\text{Å}$. The signal-tonoise ratio (S/N) of these data is ~ 110 (although it changes, of course, with position within each echelle order).

Four additional observations were obtained at CASLEO with a Boller & Chivens (B&C) spectrograph attached to the 2.15-m telescope, using a PM 3 516 × 516 pixel CCD as detector, and a $6001\,\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ diffraction grating, yielding a reciprocal dispersion of 2.5 Å pixel $^{-1}$. These spectra cover the spectral range from ~ 3800 to $4900\,\mathrm{\mathring{A}}$, and their S/N is ~ 300 . One more spectrum of CPD -59° 2635 was obtained at CASLEO with the REOSC spectrograph in its simple dispersion mode, using a 600 line mm^{-1} grating and the Tek 1024 × 1024 CCD as detector, at a resulting reciprocal dispersion of 1.8 Å pixel $^{-1}$. The central wavelength of this observation is 4700 Å and the corresponding S/N is ~ 300 .

The usual series of bias, flat field and dark exposures was also secured during each observing night for every CCD data set. The




Figure 2. He II 4686-Å absorption in the spectrum of CPD -59° 2635 at different observing dates, showing the doubling of spectral lines.

CCD images were processed and analysed with IRAF routines at La Plata Observatory.

3 RESULTS AND THEIR DISCUSSION

3.1 Radial velocity orbit of CPD -59° 2635

A first inspection of our high-resolution echelle spectrograms revealed double lines present in some of them, indicating that CPD -59° 2635 was probably a double-lined spectroscopic binary. Fig. 2 shows the behaviour of the He II 4686 Å line in echelle spectra of CPD -59° 2635, obtained at different observing dates.

¹ Image Reduction and Analysis Facility, distributed by NOAO, operated by AURA, Inc., under agreement with NSF.

² Tektronix Inc. SITe, 10500 SW Nimbus Avenue, Trigard, Oregon 97223-4310, USA.

³ Photometrics Ltd., 3440 East Britannia Drive, Tucson, AZ 85706, USA.

Table 1. Radial velocity measurements for CPD -59° 2635.

45777.783† 0.27 -214 56 +256 41 45778.731† 0.63 +179 22 -210 28 45779.715† 0.11 -138 25 +160 10 45780.748† 0.56 +27 25 - - 45781.644† 0.95 +37 28 - - 45782.730† 0.42 -116 46 +98 28 45783.677† 0.84 +175 25 -223 61 45784.682† 0.27 -204 47 +219 68 45785.634† 0.62 +181 27 -210 30 45786.623† 0.12 -135 28 +180 34 50498.826 0.92 +108 10 -131 18 50842.769 0.49 +3 9 - - 50844.713 0.34 -168 10 +236 29 50845.723 0.77 +205 </th <th>HJD 240 0000+</th> <th>phase ϕ</th> <th>Primary km s⁻¹</th> <th>s.d. km s⁻¹</th> <th>Secondary km s⁻¹</th> <th>s.d. km s⁻¹</th>	HJD 240 0000+	phase ϕ	Primary km s ⁻¹	s.d. km s ⁻¹	Secondary km s ⁻¹	s.d. km s ⁻¹
45778.731† 0.63 +179 22 -210 28 45779.715† 0.11 -138 25 +160 10 45780.748† 0.56 +27 25 - - - 45781.644† 0.95 +37 28 - - - 45782.730† 0.42 -116 46 +98 28 45783.677† 0.84 +175 25 -223 61 45784.682† 0.27 -204 47 +219 68 45785.634† 0.62 +181 27 -210 30 45786.623† 0.12 -135 28 +180 34 50498.826 0.92 +108 10 -131 18 50498.826 0.92 +108 10 -131 18 50498.826 0.92 +108 10 -131 18 50498.826 0.92 +108 10 -131 18 50498.826 </td <td>45776.826†</td> <td>0.86</td> <td>193</td> <td>34</td> <td></td> <td>56</td>	45776.826†	0.86	193	34		56
45779.715† 0.11 -138 25 +160 10 45780.748† 0.56 +27 25 - - 45781.644† 0.95 +37 28 - - 45782.730† 0.42 -116 46 +98 28 45783.677† 0.84 +175 25 -223 61 45785.634† 0.62 +181 27 -210 30 45786.623† 0.12 -135 28 +180 34 50495.835 0.64 +163 23 -225 18 50498.826 0.92 +108 10 -131 18 50506.834 0.43 -68 19 +132 13 50842.769 0.49 +3 9 - - 50843.727 0.91 +132 18 -143 16 50844.718 0.34 -168 10 +236 29 50847.649 0.61 +150	45777.783†	0.27	-214	56	+256	41
45780.748† 0.56 +27 25 — — 45781.644† 0.95 +37 28 — — — 45782.730† 0.42 —116 46 +98 28 45783.677† 0.84 +175 25 —223 61 45784.682† 0.27 —204 47 +219 68 45785.634† 0.62 +181 27 —210 30 45785.634† 0.62 +181 27 —210 30 45785.634† 0.62 +181 27 —210 30 45786.623† 0.12 —135 28 +180 34 50495.835 0.64 +163 23 —225 18 50498.826 0.92 +108 10 —131 18 50506.834 0.43 —68 19 +132 13 50841.793 0.07 —86 27 —152 28 50842.769 0.49 +3 9 — — — 50843.727 0.91 +132 18 —143 16 5	45778.731†	0.63	+179		-210	28
45781.644† 0.95 +37 28 - - - 45782.730† 0.42 -116 46 +98 28 45783.677† 0.84 +175 25 -223 61 45784.682† 0.27 -204 47 +219 68 45785.634† 0.62 +181 27 -210 30 45786.623† 0.12 -135 28 +180 34 50495.835 0.64 +163 23 -225 18 50498.826 0.92 +108 10 -131 18 50506.834 0.43 -68 19 +132 13 50841.793 0.07 -86 27 +152 28 28 50842.769 0.49 +3 9 - - - 50842.769 0.49 +3 9 - - - 50842.772 0.91 +132 18 -143 16 50844.718 0.34 -168 10 +236 29 50845.723 0.77 +205 11 -271	45779.715†	0.11	-138	25	+160	10
45782.730† 0.42 -116 46 +98 28 45783.677† 0.84 +175 25 -223 61 45784.682† 0.27 -204 47 +219 68 45785.634† 0.62 +181 27 -210 30 45785.634† 0.62 +181 27 -210 30 45785.634† 0.62 +181 27 -210 30 45785.634† 0.62 +181 27 -210 30 45786.623† 0.12 -135 28 +180 34 50495.835 0.64 +163 23 -225 18 50495.836 0.92 +108 10 -131 18 50506.834 0.43 -68 19 +132 13 50841.793 0.07 -86 27 +152 28 50844.718 0.34 -168 10 +236 29 50845.723 0.77 +205 11 -271 37 50846.828 0.26 -202<	45780.748†	0.56	+27	25	_	_
45783.677† 0.84 +175 25 -223 61 45784.682† 0.27 -204 47 +219 68 45785.634† 0.62 +181 27 -210 30 45786.623† 0.12 -135 28 +180 34 50498.826 0.92 +108 10 -131 18 50506.834 0.43 -68 19 +132 13 50841.793 0.07 -86 27 +152 28 50842.769 0.49 +3 9 - - 50843.727 0.91 +132 18 -143 16 50844.718 0.34 -168 10 +236 29 50845.723 0.77 +205 11 -271 37 50846.828 0.26 -202 27 +270 21 50847.649 0.61 +150 10 -184 35 50851.655 0.35 -162 17 +231 24 50852.828 0.89 +177	45781.644†	0.95	+37	28	_	_
45784.682† 0.27 -204 47 +219 68 45785.634† 0.62 +181 27 -210 30 45786.623† 0.12 -135 28 +180 34 50495.835 0.64 +163 23 -225 18 50498.826 0.92 +108 10 -131 18 50506.834 0.43 -68 19 +132 13 50841.793 0.07 -86 27 +152 28 50842.769 0.49 +3 9 - - 50843.727 0.91 +132 18 -143 16 50844.718 0.34 -168 10 +236 29 50845.723 0.77 +205 11 -271 37 50846.828 0.26 -202 27 +270 21 50847.649 0.61 +150 10 -184 35 50850.724 0.95 +86 17 -101 18 50852.828 0.89 +177 <	45782.730†	0.42	-116	46	+98	28
45785.634† 0.62 +181 27 -210 30 45786.623† 0.12 -135 28 +180 34 50495.835 0.64 +163 23 -225 18 50498.826 0.92 +108 10 -131 18 50506.834 0.43 -68 19 +132 13 50841.793 0.07 -86 27 +152 28 50842.769 0.49 +3 9 - - 50843.727 0.91 +132 18 -143 16 50844.718 0.34 -168 10 +236 29 50845.723 0.77 +205 11 -271 37 50846.828 0.26 -202 27 +270 21 50847.649 0.61 +150 10 -184 35 50850.724 0.95 +86 17 -101 18 50850.724 0.95 +86 17 -101 18 50852.828 0.89 +177 <td< td=""><td>45783.677†</td><td>0.84</td><td>+175</td><td>25</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	45783.677†	0.84	+175	25		
45786.623† 0.12 -135 28 +180 34 50495.835 0.64 +163 23 -225 18 50498.826 0.92 +108 10 -131 18 50841.793 0.07 -86 27 +152 28 50842.769 0.49 +3 9 - - 50843.727 0.91 +132 18 -143 16 50844.718 0.34 -168 10 +236 29 50845.723 0.77 +205 11 -271 37 50846.828 0.26 -202 27 +270 21 50847.649 0.61 +150 10 -184 35 50848.779 0.10 -133 12 +189 24 50850.724 0.95 +86 17 -101 18 50852.828 0.89 +177 19 -191 18 50862.762‡ 0.18 -192<	45784.682†	0.27	-204	47	+219	68
50495.835 0.64 +163 23 -225 18 50498.826 0.92 +108 10 -131 18 50506.834 0.43 -68 19 +132 13 50841.793 0.07 -86 27 +152 28 50842.769 0.49 +3 9 - - 50843.727 0.91 +132 18 -143 16 50844.718 0.34 -168 10 +236 29 50845.723 0.77 +205 11 -271 37 50846.828 0.26 -202 27 +270 21 50847.649 0.61 +150 10 -184 35 50848.779 0.10 -133 12 +189 24 50851.655 0.35 -162 17 +231 24 50852.828 0.89 +177 19 -191 18 50862.762‡ 0.18 -192 </td <td>45785.634†</td> <td>0.62</td> <td></td> <td>27</td> <td></td> <td></td>	45785.634†	0.62		27		
50498.826 0.92 +108 10 -131 18 50506.834 0.43 -68 19 +132 13 50841.793 0.07 -86 27 +152 28 50842.769 0.49 +3 9 - - - 50843.727 0.91 +132 18 -143 16 50844.718 0.34 -168 10 +236 29 50845.723 0.77 +205 11 -271 37 50846.828 0.26 -202 27 +270 21 50847.649 0.61 +150 10 -184 35 508548.779 0.10 -133 12 +189 24 50851.655 0.35 -162 17 +231 24 50851.655 0.35 -162 17 +231 24 50852.828 0.89 +177 19 -191 18 50862.762‡ 0.18 <td>45786.623†</td> <td>0.12</td> <td>-135</td> <td>28</td> <td>+180</td> <td>34</td>	45786.623†	0.12	-135	28	+180	34
50506.834 0.43 -68 19 +132 13 50841.793 0.07 -86 27 +152 28 50842.769 0.49 +3 9 - - 50843.727 0.91 +132 18 -143 16 50844.718 0.34 -168 10 +236 29 50845.723 0.77 +205 11 -271 37 50846.828 0.26 -202 27 +270 21 50847.649 0.61 +150 10 -184 35 50848.779 0.10 -133 12 +189 24 50850.724 0.95 +86 17 -101 18 50855.655 0.35 -162 17 +231 24 50859.789‡ 0.61 +112 21 - - 50862.762‡ 0.18 -192 18 +243 33 50868.714‡ 0.77 +188	50495.835	0.64	+163	23	-225	18
50841.793 0.07 -86 27 +152 28 50842.769 0.49 +3 9 - - 50843.727 0.91 +132 18 -143 16 50844.718 0.34 -168 10 +236 29 50845.723 0.77 +205 11 -271 37 50846.828 0.26 -202 27 +270 21 50847.649 0.61 +150 10 -184 35 50848.779 0.10 -133 12 +189 24 50850.724 0.95 +86 17 -101 18 50851.655 0.35 -162 17 +231 24 50852.828 0.89 +177 19 -191 18 50859.789‡ 0.61 +112 21 - - - 50861.781‡ 0.76 +189 24 -248 25 50862.762‡ 0.18 -192 18 +243 3	50498.826	0.92	+108	10	-131	18
50842.769 0.49 +3 9 - - - - 50843.727 0.91 +132 18 -143 16 50844.718 0.34 -168 10 +236 29 29 50845.723 0.77 +205 11 -271 37 50846.828 0.26 -202 27 +270 21 37 50846.828 0.26 -202 27 +270 21 37 50846.828 0.26 -202 27 +270 21 37 50847.649 0.61 +150 10 -184 35 50848.779 0.10 -133 12 +189 24 50850.724 0.95 +86 17 -101 18 50855.724 0.95 +86 17 -101 18 50855.724 0.95 +86 17 -101 18 50855.724 0.95 +86 17 -101 18 50855.828 0.89 +177 19 -191 18 50865.734 24 -248 <td>50506.834</td> <td>0.43</td> <td>-68</td> <td>19</td> <td>+132</td> <td>13</td>	50506.834	0.43	-68	19	+132	13
50843.727 0.91 +132 18 -143 16 50844.718 0.34 -168 10 +236 29 50845.723 0.77 +205 11 -271 37 50846.828 0.26 -202 27 +270 21 50847.649 0.61 +150 10 -184 35 50848.779 0.10 -133 12 +189 24 50850.724 0.95 +86 17 -101 18 50851.655 0.35 -162 17 +231 24 50852.828 0.89 +177 19 -191 18 50859.789‡ 0.61 +112 21 - - 50861.781‡ 0.76 +189 24 -248 25 50862.762‡ 0.18 -192 18 +243 33 50868.714‡ 0.77 +188 22 - - 51220.709 0.61 +13	50841.793	0.07	-86	27	+152	28
50844.718 0.34 -168 10 +236 29 50845.723 0.77 +205 11 -271 37 50846.828 0.26 -202 27 +270 21 50847.649 0.61 +150 10 -184 35 50848.779 0.10 -133 12 +189 24 50850.724 0.95 +86 17 -101 18 50851.655 0.35 -162 17 +231 24 50852.828 0.89 +177 19 -191 18 50859.789‡ 0.61 +112 21 - - 50861.781‡ 0.76 +189 24 -248 25 50862.762‡ 0.18 -192 18 +243 33 50868.714‡ 0.77 +188 22 - - - 51220.8709 0.61 +134 17 -214 21 512210.727 0.47	50842.769	0.49	+3	9	_	_
50845.723 0.77 +205 11 -271 37 50846.828 0.26 -202 27 +270 21 50847.649 0.61 +150 10 -184 35 50848.779 0.10 -133 12 +189 24 50850.724 0.95 +86 17 -101 18 50851.655 0.35 -162 17 +231 24 50852.828 0.89 +177 19 -191 18 50859.789‡ 0.61 +112 21 - - - 50861.781‡ 0.76 +189 24 -248 25 50862.762‡ 0.18 -192 18 +243 33 50868.714‡ 0.77 +188 22 - - - 51220.709 0.61 +134 17 -214 21 - - 51210.727 0.47 -36 18 - -	50843.727	0.91	+132	18	-143	16
50846.828 0.26 -202 27 +270 21 50847.649 0.61 +150 10 -184 35 50848.779 0.10 -133 12 +189 24 50850.724 0.95 +86 17 -101 18 50851.655 0.35 -162 17 +231 24 50852.828 0.89 +177 19 -191 18 50859.789‡ 0.61 +112 21 - - - 50861.781‡ 0.76 +189 24 -248 25 50862.762‡ 0.18 -192 18 +243 33 50868.714‡ 0.77 +188 22 - - - 51208.709 0.61 +134 17 -214 21 - - 51210.727 0.47 -36 18 - - - - - - - - - -	50844.718	0.34	-168	10	+236	29
50847.649 0.61 +150 10 -184 35 50848.779 0.10 -133 12 +189 24 50850.724 0.95 +86 17 -101 18 50851.655 0.35 -162 17 +231 24 50852.828 0.89 +177 19 -191 18 50859.789‡ 0.61 +112 21 - - 50861.781‡ 0.76 +189 24 -248 25 50862.762‡ 0.18 -192 18 +243 33 50868.714‡ 0.77 +188 22 - - - 51208.709 0.61 +134 17 -214 21 51210.727 0.47 -36 18 - - 51210.727 0.47 -36 18 - - 51215.682 0.63 +137 17 -197 17 51218.632 0.91	50845.723	0.77	+205			
50848.779 0.10 -133 12 +189 24 50850.724 0.95 +86 17 -101 18 50851.655 0.35 -162 17 +231 24 50852.828 0.89 +177 19 -191 18 50852.828 0.89 +177 19 -191 18 50852.828 0.89 +177 19 -191 18 50865.718‡ 0.76 +189 24 -248 25 50862.762‡ 0.18 -192 18 +243 33 50868.714‡ 0.77 +188 22 - - 51208.709 0.61 +134 17 -214 21 51209.714 0.04 -49 27 - - 51210.727 0.47 -36 18 - - 51211.715 0.90 +118 6 -179 24 51216.653 0.05 -82	50846.828	0.26	-202	27		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	50847.649	0.61	+150	10	-184	35
50851.655 0.35 -162 17 +231 24 50852.828 0.89 +177 19 -191 18 50859.789‡ 0.61 +112 21 - - - 50861.781‡ 0.76 +189 24 -248 25 50862.762‡ 0.18 -192 18 +243 33 50868.714‡ 0.77 +188 22 - - - 51208.709 0.61 +134 17 -214 21 21 - - - 51210.727 0.47 -36 18 - - - 51211.715 0.90 +118 6 -179 24 51211.715 0.90 +118 6 -179 24 51215.682 0.63 +137 17 -197 17 51216.653 0.05 -82 20 +80 12 51217.673 0.49 -12 21 - -	50848.779	0.10	-133	12	+189	24
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	50850.724	0.95	+86	17	-101	18
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	50851.655	0.35	-162	17	+231	24
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	50852.828			19	-191	18
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	50859.789‡	0.61	+112	21	_	_
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	50861.781‡	0.76	+189	24	-248	25
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	50862.762‡	0.18	-192	18	+243	33
51209.714 0.04 -49 27 - - 51210.727 0.47 -36 18 - - 51211.715 0.90 +118 6 -179 24 51215.682 0.63 +137 17 -197 17 51216.653 0.05 -82 20 +80 12 51217.673 0.49 -12 21 - - 51218.632 0.91 +109 32 -149 37 51712.448 0.62 +136 20 -193 30 51712.478 0.63 +179 56 - - 51715.463 0.93 +84 16 -120 10 51716.483 0.37 -165 33 184 19 51716.521 0.39 -131 16 160 23	50868.714‡	0.77	+188	22	_	_
51210.727 0.47 -36 18 - - 51211.715 0.90 +118 6 -179 24 51215.682 0.63 +137 17 -197 17 51216.653 0.05 -82 20 +80 12 51217.673 0.49 -12 21 - - 51218.632 0.91 +109 32 -149 37 51712.448 0.62 +136 20 -193 30 51712.478 0.63 +179 56 - - 51715.463 0.93 +84 16 -120 10 51716.483 0.37 -165 33 184 19 51716.521 0.39 -131 16 160 23	51208.709	0.61	+134	17	-214	21
51211.715 0.90 +118 6 -179 24 51215.682 0.63 +137 17 -197 17 51216.653 0.05 -82 20 +80 12 51217.673 0.49 -12 21 - - 51218.632 0.91 +109 32 -149 37 51712.448 0.62 +136 20 -193 30 51712.478 0.63 +179 56 - - 51715.463 0.93 +84 16 -120 10 51716.483 0.37 -165 33 184 19 51716.521 0.39 -131 16 160 23	51209.714		-49			
51215.682 0.63 +137 17 -197 17 51216.653 0.05 -82 20 +80 12 51217.673 0.49 -12 21 - - 51218.632 0.91 +109 32 -149 37 51712.448 0.62 +136 20 -193 30 51712.478 0.63 +179 56 - - 51715.463 0.93 +84 16 -120 10 51716.483 0.37 -165 33 184 19 51716.521 0.39 -131 16 160 23	51210.727	0.47	-36		_	_
51216.653 0.05 -82 20 +80 12 51217.673 0.49 -12 21 - - 51218.632 0.91 +109 32 -149 37 51712.448 0.62 +136 20 -193 30 51712.478 0.63 +179 56 - - - 51715.463 0.93 +84 16 -120 10 51716.483 0.37 -165 33 184 19 51716.521 0.39 -131 16 160 23	51211.715	0.90	+118	6	-179	24
51217.673 0.49 -12 21 - - 51218.632 0.91 +109 32 -149 37 51712.448 0.62 +136 20 -193 30 51712.478 0.63 +179 56 - - 51715.463 0.93 +84 16 -120 10 51716.483 0.37 -165 33 184 19 51716.521 0.39 -131 16 160 23	51215.682	0.63	+137	17	-197	17
51218.632 0.91 +109 32 -149 37 51712.448 0.62 +136 20 -193 30 51712.478 0.63 +179 56 - - 51715.463 0.93 +84 16 -120 10 51716.483 0.37 -165 33 184 19 51716.521 0.39 -131 16 160 23	51216.653	0.05	-82	20	+80	12
51712.448 0.62 +136 20 -193 30 51712.478 0.63 +179 56 - - 51715.463 0.93 +84 16 -120 10 51716.483 0.37 -165 33 184 19 51716.521 0.39 -131 16 160 23	51217.673	0.49	-12	21	_	_
51712.478 0.63 +179 56 - - 51715.463 0.93 +84 16 -120 10 51716.483 0.37 -165 33 184 19 51716.521 0.39 -131 16 160 23	51218.632					
51715.463 0.93 +84 16 -120 10 51716.483 0.37 -165 33 184 19 51716.521 0.39 -131 16 160 23	51712.448	0.62			-193	30
51716.483 0.37 -165 33 184 19 51716.521 0.39 -131 16 160 23	51712.478	0.63	+179	56	_	_
51716.521 0.39 -131 16 160 23	51715.463					10
	51716.483	0.37	-165	33	184	19
$51718.555 \ddagger 0.27 -220 59 220 31$	51716.521	0.39				
	51718.555‡	0.27	-220	59	220	31

Note: orbital phases have been calculated with the ephemeris of Table 2. † indicates the lower resolution observations obtained with the CITS and ‡ those obtained either with the B&C spectrograph or the REOSC spectrograph in simple dispersion mode.

Radial velocities were determined from our spectra of CPD -59° 2635, fitting Gaussian profiles to the absorption lines.

We used for radial velocity determination several lines of He I along with He II 4686 Å, which appear as the least affected by pair blending. The Pickering (4-n) series of He II absorptions of the two binary components are not well resolved in our spectra, these lines being broader than other He II and He I absorptions. We interpret this fact as indicative of higher pressure broadening acting in the region where these lines form, which must be deeper in the atmosphere than the formation region of He I lines. As a consequence, these (4-n) series lines are more seriously blended than the other absorptions in the spectrum of CPD -59° 2635 and we decided not to include them in the average radial velocities presented in Table 1. We also derived radial velocities from our lower resolution, but higher S/N CCD spectra and from our digitized photographic plates. The radial velocities were computed

Table 2. Circular orbital elements of CPD -59° 2635

P [days]	$2.29995 \pm 2 \times 10^{-5}$
$K_1 [{\rm km s}^{-1}]$	208 ± 2
$K_2 [{\rm km s}^{-1}]$	273 ± 2
$\gamma [\text{km s}^{-1}]$	0 ± 1
T_{max} [HJD]	2450845.664 ± 0.01
$a_1 \sin i [R_{\odot}]$	9.4 ± 0.1
$a_2 \sin i [R_{\odot}]$	12.4 ± 0.1
$M_1 \sin^3 i [\mathrm{M}_{\odot}]$	15.0 ± 0.5
$M_2 \sin^3 i [\mathrm{M}_{\odot}]$	11.4 ± 0.5
$q(M_2/M_1)$	0.76 ± 0.01

Note: T_{max} represents the time of maximum radial velocity of the primary component.




Figure 3. Radial velocity orbit for CPD -59° 2635. The meaning of the symbols is as follows: *filled and open* symbols refer to the primary and secondary stars, respectively. *Circles* represent REOSC echelle spectra (bigger symbols refer to data used in the calculation of the orbital solution); *squares* stand for B&C and REOSC-DS spectra and *triangles* for CITS spectra. Typical error bars for each data set are also indicated.

as unweighted mean values of individual velocities determined for each spectral line.

The journal of our radial velocity observations is presented in Table 1. In successive columns, we quote the Heliocentric Julian Date (HJD), the corresponding orbital phase (as explained below), the measured average radial velocities for the primary and secondary components, and their standard deviations (s.d.). We identified the primary component as the one having deeper absorptions of He II lines.

From the radial velocities listed in Table 1, it was already apparent that the orbital period of CPD -59° 2635 was of the order of a few days. A period search routine based on the modified Lafler & Kinman (1965) method (Cincotta, Méndez & Nuñez 1995) applied to all the radial velocity observations of the primary component of CPD -59° 2635 as listed in Table 1, yielded as the most probable period $P = 2.29995 \pm 0.00002 \,\mathrm{d}$. Initial orbital elements were estimated, leaving the period also as a free parameter, resulting in an orbital solution of negligible eccentricity $(e = 0.005 \pm 0.008)$ with no significant change in the orbital period. We therefore considered the orbit to be circular and the above-mentioned value of the period to be the most probable, and proceeded to find the best fit for the remaining orbital parameters. In order to avoid pair blending effects as much as possible, we computed the orbital elements of CPD -59° 2635 using only radial velocities derived from our high-resolution observations of both binary components, obtained at the orbital phase intervals 0.1 to




Figure 4. Spectra of CPD -59° 2635 obtained at CASLEO with the B&C spectrograph. Spectral features marked are: H Balmer lines, He I(+II) 4026 Å, He I 4143 Å, He II 4200 Å, He I 4388 Å, He I 4471 Å, He II 4541 Å, C III + O II 4650-Å blend, He II 4686 Å, He I 4713 Å and He I 4921 Å. Note the difference in relative intensities of He I 4471 Å and He II 4541 Å between the spectra.

0.4 and 0.6 to 0.9 of the binary period. The resulting orbital elements are listed in Table 2.

Fig. 3 represents the complete set of observed radial velocities as a function of the adopted orbital period, along with the circular orbital solution from Table 2.

3.2 Spectral classification of the binary components of CPD -59° 2635

CPD -59° 2635 has been previously classified by Walborn (1982) as O7Vnn; and by Levato & Malaroda (1982) as O8/9:V '+ companion ?', already pointing out its probable binary nature. Massey & Johnson (1993) classified CPD -59° 2635 as O8.5V. The spectrum of CPD -59° 2635 (identified as star number 516) shown in the last mentioned paper indeed displays double lines, apparently not noticed by the authors.

Two spectra of CPD -59° 2635 from our lower resolution CCD images, corresponding to nearly opposite binary phases, are illustrated in Fig. 4, where a difference in the relative intensities of He I 4471 Å and He II 4542 Å is evident. In the upper spectrum shown in Fig. 4, obtained at binary phase 0.76P, He I and He II absorptions appear similar, indicating a spectral type O7; while in the lower spectrum, obtained at the binary phase 0.18P, He I absorption is clearly stronger than that of He II, corresponding to a spectral type O8–9. Such spectral variations might explain the slightly different classifications given to this star by different authors.

Keeping in mind the known difficulties in classifying spectra of close binaries, and the above illustrated spectral variations, we have nevertheless tried to estimate the spectral types of the binary components of CPD -59° 2635.

An inspection of our high- and intermediate-resolution observations showed that both stars present absorption-line ratios of He I/He II \geq 1, indicating spectral types probably later than O7. From the spectra with maximum separation of the lines of the binary components, and using the classification criteria described by Walborn & Fitzpatrick (1990), we derived spectral types of O8:V and O9.5:V for the primary and secondary components, respectively.

In order to provide an additional estimate for the spectral types of the binary components of CPD -59° 2635, we considered the




Figure 5. He I 4922-Å and He II 5411-Å lines in the spectrum of CPD -59° 2635 at phases 0.26 and 0.77.

He I(4922)/He II(5411) ratio, following the classification criteria proposed by Kerton, Ballantyne & Martin (1999). The equivalent widths (W) of the 5411-Å and 4922-Å lines were measured in normalized spectra, by means of a Gaussian fit to the absorption profiles, though He II 5411 Å looks somewhat blended even at phases of maximum radial velocity separation (as discussed above) which causes less confident W determinations. We found equivalent width ratios $R(W_{4922}/W_{5411})$ of 0.54 ± 0.03 and 1.18 ± 0.04 for the primary and secondary components, respectively, corresponding to spectral types of O8V and O9.5V, with some variations probably depending on binary phase.

Fig. 5 shows the spectral region comprising He I 4922 Å and He II 5411 Å lines on echelle spectrograms of CPD -59° 2635 near the phases of maximum separation of the components.

By inspection of the He I and He II spectral lines observed during different phases of the binary motion, we found line-depth variations that can be appreciated in Figs 2 and 5. We identify this phenomenon as the *Struve–Sahade effect* (cf. Gies, Bagnuolo & Penny 1997 and references therein) observed in massive close binaries, which consists of the deepening of the spectral lines of the secondary star when it approaches the observer. In our spectra, variable line depths seem to be present in both binary components, although stronger variations are observed in the secondary star.

Applying again the classification criteria proposed by Kerton et al. (1999) we found variations of around one subclass in spectral types for both binary components, going from O7 to O8 for the primary and O8.5 to O9.5 for the secondary star. However, the errors involved in the equivalent width (EW) measurements (especially those affecting the He II 5411-Å line) are also considerable and, as a consequence, we cannot address any conclusive statement about the phase dependence of these variations until higher resolution and S/N observations are available.

As the binary components of CPD -59° 2635 are probably in

Primary component Secondary component Calibration Schmidt-Kaler Schmidt-Kaler 38450 35800 34620 31500 $T_{\rm eff}$ [K] -8.3 ± 0.15 -8.1 ± 0.15 -7.1 ± 0.15 -7.0 ± 0.15 $L [L_{\odot}]$ 162000 ± 20000 143000 ± 20000 54000 ± 11000 49000 ± 11000 6.5 ± 0.5 $R_{\rm S-B}$ [R $_{\odot}$] 9.1 ± 0.4 9.8 ± 0.4 7.4 ± 0.5 $R_{S-B}/R_{Roche-lobe}$ $V_{rot} [km s^{-1}]$ 0.8 ± 0.1 0.9 ± 0.1 0.7 ± 0.1 0.8 ± 0.1 200 ± 10 215 ± 10 142 ± 15 164 ± 15

Table 3. Physical parameters of CPD -59° 2635.

Notes: R_{S-B} means Stefan-Boltzmann radii; theoretical Roche-lobe radii were corrected by the $\sin i$ factor using a probable average value for the inclination of the orbital plane, namely 60° .

synchronous rotation with the orbital period, we believe that the later spectral types (i.e. O8V and O9.5V respectively) are more realistic in describing each star in this binary system, the earlier ones probably being produced by photospheric heating on each star from its companion and/or the colliding wind region between the stars.

3.3 Physical parameters

In what follows we will estimate the physical parameters of the binary components of CPD -59° 2635. We adopt for this star the visual magnitude and distance modulus of Trumpler 16 published by Massey & Johnson (1993), namely V = 9.27 and $M_v - V_0 = 12.55 \pm 0.08$, close to the value of $M_v - V_0 = 12.6$ obtained by Feinstein et al. (1973). Also from Massey & Johnson (1993), we take, for CPD -59° 2635, E(B - V) = 0.54, R = 3.2 and thus $V_0 = 7.54$. In order to obtain individual absolute magnitudes, we need an estimate of the luminosity ratio of the binary components. We applied the corrected integrated-absorption method of Petrie (1940) in the way described by Niemela & Morrison (1988). We used equivalent widths measured for He I 4387 and 4471 and He II 4686 Å absorptions in spectra where those features are better resolved. We corrected for continuum overlapping using equivalent widths of the same lines measured for individual stars of similar spectral types, taken from Mathys (1988). Then we calculated for each selected spectral line the quotient $[L_2/L_1 = \langle (BK_a)/(AK_b) \rangle]$, where A is the equivalent width of the line measured in the spectrum of the most intense component, B is the equivalent width of the same line as observed in the weaker component, and $K_{a,b}$ are the equivalent widths measured for single stars of spectral types O8 V and O9 V, respectively. Performing these measurements in several spectra of CPD −59° 2635 observed near phases of maximum separation of the components, we obtained an average value of $L_2/L_1 =$ 0.45 ± 0.14 , which we have used in the following calculations. With this luminosity ratio and the adopted distance modulus, we obtained individual absolute magnitudes of $M_{\rm V} = -4.61 \pm 0.1$ and -3.74 ± 0.1 for the primary and secondary components, respectively.

Our classification of the components of CPD -59° 2635 as O8 V and O9.5 V corresponds to $T_{\rm eff} = 38450\,\rm K$ and BC = -3.68 for the primary, and $T_{\rm eff} = 34620\,\rm K$ and BC = -3.36 for the secondary, according to the calibration of effective temperatures ($T_{\rm eff}$) and bolometric corrections (BC) proposed by Vacca, Garmany & Shull (1996, hereafter VGS). However, according to Schmidt-Kaler (1982), the corresponding effective temperatures and bolometric corrections would be $T_{\rm eff} = 35800\,\rm K$ and BC = -3.54 for the primary, and $T_{\rm eff} = 31500\,\rm K$

and BC = -3.25 for the secondary. Therefore, depending on which calibration we adopt, we would find somewhat different values for the bolometric magnitudes, and thus luminosities, of each binary component.

Knowing the luminosities and effective temperatures, we can derive the radii of the stars that we want to compare with the radii of the critical Roche lobes. Those were estimated using the expression given by Paczynski (1971):

 $r_1 \sin i = a \sin i [0.38 + 0.2 \log(M_1/M_2)]$

for a 'mean' Roche radius of r_1 and separation a. We obtained individual Roche radii of $r_1 \sin i = 8.8 \, \mathrm{R}_{\odot}$ and $r_2 \sin i = 7.8 \, \mathrm{R}_{\odot}$. We need to know something about the inclination of the orbital plane in order to compare these critical Roche radii with the Stefan-Boltzmann radii of the stars.

The physical parameters derived for the binary components are summarized in Table 3.

Under the assumption that the system is in synchronous rotation (which seems reasonable in a massive binary of short period) we derived probable rotational velocities for its components (quoted in Table 3) that are higher than the observed rotational velocities of single stars of similar spectral types (e.g. Slettebak et al. 1975; Conti & Ebbets 1977).

We tried to estimate the projected rotational velocities $(V \sin i)$ by comparing the observed absorption profiles of He I 4388 Å and 4471 Å with flux profiles from non-local thermodynamic equilibrium model atmospheres by Auer & Mihalas (1972). We chose models corresponding to $T_{\rm eff}$ of 40 000, 35 000 and 30 000 K and $\log g = 4$ to represent the binary components. The model profiles were convolved with different rotational velocity profiles, finding satisfactory agreement with observations for $V \sin i$ values of $180 \pm 25 \,\mathrm{km}\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ and $140 \pm 30 \,\mathrm{km}\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ for the primary and secondary components, respectively. Comparing these results with the calculated rotational velocities, we obtain, for the inclination of the orbital plane, values of 64° and 79° for the primary and secondary respectively using the radii derived through the calibration by VGS, or 56° and 59° for primary and secondary respectively using the calibration by Schmidt-Kaler (1982). However, the errors involved in the $V \sin i$ determination give room for a large range of inclinations.

Assuming the mass-spectral type relation for normal O-type stars by VGS (1996), we can expect masses near 25 and 21 M_{\odot} for the individual binary components of CPD -59° 2635. These values are similar to (or slightly larger than) those obtained from the observation of eclipsing binary systems with O8V and O9V components (e.g. EM Car, Andersen & Clausen 1989; Y Cyg, Burkholder, Massey & Morrell 1997; CQ Cep, Kartascheva & Svechnikov 1989). This also points to an orbital inclination inclination of $56^{\circ} \pm 6^{\circ}$, similar to the values estimated from the

Table 4. Three deep *ROSAT* HRI pointings which include CPD -59° 2635.

Sequence identification	Begin date	End date	Exposure
RH900385N00	1992-07-31	1992-08-02	11527
RH900385A03	1994-07-21	1994-07-29	40555
RH202331N00	1997-12-23	1998-02-10	47095




Figure 6. HRI X-ray light curve of CPD -59° 2635.

linewidths. If these estimates are correct, the system is not likely to present eclipses. However, some kind of light variations may occur as a result of tidal deformation, considering that both components are hot luminous stars in a close binary system. Also, if our guess for the inclination is as supposed, the system would be detached, with both components within their critical Roche lobes, as we would expect for a young binary with non-evolved components.

4 X-RAY EMISSION

CPD -59° 2635 was detected as a serendipitous X-ray source during numerous pointing in the Carina Nebula by the *ROSAT* X-ray satellite observatory with both the Position Sensitive Proportional Counter (PSPC) and the High Resolution Imager (HRI). In the PSPC images the star is unresolved from other nearby X-ray sources (notably the O stars HD 93343 and Tr 16 #182) because of the rather coarse spatial resolution of the PSPC (\sim 1 arcmin). The HRI has finer spatial resolution (\sim 10 arcsec) and so can better resolve CPD -59° 2635 from surrounding sources, providing a more accurate measure of the uncontaminated X-ray emission from the star. Table 4 lists three deep *ROSAT* HRI pointings, which include CPD -59° 2635.

The source lies about 4.2 arcmin off-axis, and at this position the 50 per cent encircled energy radius is about 3 arcsec. We extracted source counts from these three HRI sequences in an 8-arcsec region centred on CPD -59° 2635. We extracted background counts from a region of blank sky centred at $\alpha_{2000} = 10^{\rm h}45^{\rm m}19.6$, $\delta_{2000} = -59^{\circ}44'43.2$ using an extraction radius of 40 arcsec to reduce the statistical uncertainty in the net rate.

Fig. 6 shows the extracted net light curve for the source. There is no evidence for variability. We could not determine X-ray luminosity by direct spectral fitting because the HRI has no spectral resolution. Instead, to determine the X-ray luminosity we converted the total net count rate to luminosity by assuming a Raymond–Smith thermal source spectrum with a temperature $kT = 0.5 \, \mathrm{keV}$ and an absorbing

column $N_{\rm H} = 2 \times 10^{21} \, {\rm cm}^{-2}$, which should reasonably approximate the X-ray emission from OB type stars in the Carina nebula. At 4 arcmin off-axis, the HRI vignetting correction is 1.01 (David et al. 1997); the total net count rate, corrected for vignetting, is $1.35 \pm$ 0.14×10^{-3} HRI count s⁻¹. With our assumed spectral parameters, this corresponds to an X-ray luminosity $L_x = 3.8 \times 10^{31} \,\mathrm{erg \, s^{-1}}$ in the ROSAT band (0.2-2.4 keV), uncorrected for absorption, using $M_v - V_0 = 12.55$. After correcting for absorption, the X-ray luminosity at the source is approximately $L_{x,unabs} = 9 \times$ 10^{31} erg s⁻¹ in the *ROSAT* band. With a total bolometric luminosity $L_{tot} \sim 200000 \, L_{\odot}$ the ratio of the unabsorbed X-ray luminosity to the total luminosity is $\log L_x/L_{\text{tot}} \sim -7$, similar to the canonical value of this ratio in the ROSAT band (Berghöffer et al. 1997). According to this, CPD -59° 2635 does not show excess in its X-ray flux compared with other O-type stars, and the question about why it looks brighter than its neighbour HD 93343 in the ROSAT HRI image of Fig. 1 remains to be clarified when more observations of both stars are available.

5 CONCLUSIONS

In the present study we demonstrate that CPD -59° 2635 is a close binary system in a circular orbit. Both binary components are O-type stars, and the short period of binary motion suggests strong interactions between the stellar winds.

Variations of the order of one subclass in the spectral types of both components are observed, probably related to the phenomenon known as Struve–Sahade effect. A possible explanation for the Struve–Sahade effect, analysed by Gies et al. (1997), is that it is present in systems expected to contain colliding winds with X-ray generation from the bow shock between the stars. However, the observed X-ray light curve does not show any significant variations, and moreover, the ratio $L_{\rm x}/L_{\rm bol}$ seems to be similar to that observed for other O-type stars.

This star is a massive close binary, with hot, luminous components (O8V+O9.5V) and thus a good candidate for further exploration of colliding wind effects.

With the estimated inclination $\sim 60^{\circ}$, we can conclude that the stellar radii are smaller than the corresponding Roche lobes, the system being detached, as one would expect from the evolutionary status of a member in a cluster still containing unevolved O3 stars.

Though the system is not expected to present eclipses, future photometric studies could reveal the presence of phase-locked light variations produced by tidal deformation of the binary components. This would provide the opportunity of making a better estimate of the inclination of the orbital plane, and consequently lead to the derivation of absolute individual masses for the components of CPD -59° 2635. No need to recall that this is of fundamental astrophysical interest concerning O-type stars.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the director and staff of CASLEO for technical support and kind hospitality during the observing runs. NIM is indebted to the director and staff of CTIO for the use of their facilities. We acknowledge use at CASLEO of the CCD and data acquisition system supported under US NSF grant AST-90-15827 to R. M. Rich.

The Digitized Sky Survey in the southern hemisphere is based on photographic data obtained using The UK Schmidt Telescope. The UK Schmidt Telescope was operated by the Royal Observatory Edinburgh, with funding from the UK Science and

Engineering Research Council, until 1988 June, and thereafter by the Anglo-Australian Observatory. Original plate material is copyright the Royal Observatory Edinburgh and the Anglo-Australian Observatory. The plates were processed into the present compressed digital form with their permission. The Digitized Sky Survey was produced at the Space Telescope Science institute under US Government grant NAG W-2166. This research has made use of NASA's Astrophysics Data System Abstract Service. This research has made use of data obtained from the High Energy Astrophysics Science Archive Research Center (HEASARC), provided by NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center. Useful discussions with R. Barbá are very much appreciated.

REFERENCES

Andersen J., Clausen J. V., 1989, A&A, 213, 183

Auer L. H., Mihalas D., 1972, ApJS, 24, 193

Berghöffer T., Schmitt J. H. M. M., Danner R., Cassinelli J., 1997, A&A, 322 167

Burkholder V., Massey P., Morrell N. I., 1997, ApJ, 490, 328

Chlebowski T., Garmany C. D., 1991, AJ, 368, 241

Cincotta P. M., Méndez M., Nuñez J. A., 1995, ApJ, 449, 231

Conti P. S., Ebbets D., 1977, ApJ, 213, 438

Corcoran et al., 1999, in van der Hucht K. A., Koenigsberger G., Eenens P. R. J., eds, Proc. IAO Symp. 193, Wolf-Rayet Phenomena in Massive Stars and Starburst Galaxies. Astron. Soc. Pac., Chelsea, p. 772

Corcoran M. F., 1999, Rev. Mex. Astron. Astrofis., Ser. Conf., 8, 131

David L. et al., 1997, *ROSAT* High Resolution Imager Calibration Report, RSDC/SAO

David P., Goldwurm A., Murakami T., Paul J., Laurent P., Goldoni P., 1997, A&A, 322, 229

Feinstein A., Marraco H. G., Muzzio J. C., 1973, A&AS, 12, 331

Gies D. R., Bagnuolo W. G., Jr, Penny L. R., 1997, AJ, 479, 408

Jeffers H. M., van den Bos W. H., Greeby F. M., 1963, Index Catalogue of Visual Double Stars, Publ Lick Obs., Vol XXI. Univ. California

Kartascheva T. A., Svechnikov M. A., 1989, Astrofiz. Issled. Izv. Spets. Astrofiz. Obs., 28, 3

Kerton C. R., Ballantyne D. R., Martin P. G., 1999, AJ, 117, 2493

Lafler J., Kinman T. D., 1965, ApJS, 11, 199

Levato H., Malaroda S., 1982, PASP, 94, 807

Massey P., Johnson J., 1993, AJ, 1053, 980

Mathys G., 1988, A&AS, 76, 427

Niemela V. S., Morrsion N. D., 1988, PASP, 100, 1436

Packzynski B., 1971, ARA&A, 9, 183

Petrie R. M., 1940, Publ. Dom. Astroph. Obs. Victoria, 7, 205

Schmidt-Kaler Th. in Schaifers K., Voigt H. H., eds, 1982, Landolt-Börnstein, NS, Vol. 2. p. 455

Slettebak A., Collins G. W., Boyce P. B., White N. M., Parkinson T. D., 1975, ApJS, 29, 137

Stephenson C. B., Sanduleak N., 1971, Publ. Warner & Swasey Obs., 1, 1

Vacca W. D., Garmany C. D., Shull J. M., 1996, ApJ, 460, 914 (VGS)

Walborn N., 1982, AJ, 87, 1300

Walborn N., Fitzpatrick E., 1990, PASP, 102, 379

This paper has been typeset from a TEX/LATEX file prepared by the author.